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Abstract

This paper describes a new simulation tool for the prediction of aerosol formation and behavior in gas–liquid contact devices such as absorbers, scrubbers, quench coolers, and condensers as well as multi-stage gas cleaning processes, respectively.

Aerosol formation can impact severely the separation efficiency of gas cleaning processes. Aerosol or fog formation can arise by spontaneous condensation or desublimation in supersaturated gas phases.

The rigorous description of the mass and energy transfer between the gas phase, the liquid phase, and the growing aerosol droplets leads to a system of partial differential and algebraic equations. For the solution of these systems we have developed the plant simulation tool AerCoDe. This program bases upon the linearly–implicit Euler discretisation, which in combination with extrapolation permits an adaptive step size and order control.

Typical simulation results of a multistage industrial flue gas scrubbing process are presented. It is shown, that experimental data can be confirmed if the number concentration of condensation nuclei as an input parameter is roughly known.
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1 Introduction

Since several years, the phenomenon of undesired aerosol formation, or fog formation, respectively, in industrial gas cleaning or separation processes has been identified as a reason for various severe problems, like unacceptably high pollutant concentrations in exhaust gases [1, 2, 3] or contamination of products in downstream process steps. In gas-liquid contact devices like absorbers, scrubbers, quench coolers, or condensers, aerosols can be formed by spontaneous condensation or desublimation in supersaturated gas–vapour mixtures. Supersaturation is a necessary precondition of spontaneous phase transitions and aerosol formation. The degree of saturation $S$ in a multicomponent mixture of a inert carrier gas and $K$ condensable (vapour) components can be defined by

$$ S = \frac{p(T, y_1^G, \ldots, y_K^G)}{p_s(T, y_1^G, \ldots, y_K^G)} , $$

(1)

where $p$ is the actual total pressure of all vapour components $i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, K + 1$, component $K + 1$ being the inert gas) and $p_s$ the total equilibrium pressure at the dew point of the vapour mixture.

The total pressure $p$ or $p_s$, respectively, can be calculated as the sum of the individual partial pressures of all condensable components according to Dalton.

Excluding chemical reactions in the gas phase, supersaturation ($S > 1$) in a gas–liquid contact device can arise if the dew line is crossed by the process trajectory (or process path) which describes the change of state of the gas phase due to simultaneous heat and mass transfer between both phases.

The saturation $S$ has to exceed a critical barrier before nucleation and consequently aerosol formation can take place. Two nucleation mechanisms can be distinguished. Homogeneous nucleation occurs if critical molecule clusters, so called nuclei, are formed only by molecules of condensable components. This mechanism requires a high degree of critical saturation $S_{\text{crit}}$.

If gas borne fine particles are present, aerosol formation can be initiated by heterogeneous nucleation, which requires only a very small critical supersaturation close to unity [4, 5]. Heterogeneous nucleation is the dominant mechanism of aerosol formation in industrial gas cleaning processes, because one can find a high number of ultra fine wettable particles in many process gases, especially in flue gases [6]. After nucleation the aerosol droplets grow by condensation until the supersaturation of the gas phase disappears.

A detailed survey on the basic principles of aerosol formation in absorption, scrubbing and condensation processes and the research results in this field is given by Schaber [1, 7]. There, it has been shown that aerosols can be formed in absorption processes if high-strength inorganic acid gases like SO$_3$ (H$_2$SO$_4$), HCl, HBr or HNO$_3$ are absorbed in aqueous solutions.
If SO\textsubscript{3} or H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, respectively, is absorbed in aqueous solutions, homogeneous nucleation is possible, because high degrees of saturation may occur. For all the other acid gases homogeneous nucleation on absorption can be excluded due to relatively low supersaturation at typical industrial process operation conditions. That means, for example, HCl—aerosols are only formed, if a sufficient concentration of wettable fine particles is present.

In condensation processes, heterogeneous nucleation as well as homogeneous nucleation can take place. Especially, if saturated gas mixtures are cooled at high temperature differences between gas and cooling liquid, high supersaturation and consequently homogeneous nucleation may occur [8, 9, 10, 1].

In various investigations, characteristic aerosol parameters in absorption and condensation processes have been measured with optical in situ methods [7, 6, 11]. These measurements yield typical aerosol droplet sizes between 0.5 and 3 \( \mu \)m and number concentrations of droplets mainly between \( 10^5 \) and \( 5 \cdot 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3} \). This corresponds to typical number concentrations of wettable condensation nuclei in industrial waste gases. The upper limit of condensation nuclei is caused by Brownian coagulation of fine particles. A higher number concentration of particles is reduced quickly within a gas residence time lower than ten seconds.

In this paper the new plant simulation tool \textbf{AerCoDe} \textit{(Aerosol Formation in Contact Devices)} based on heterogeneous nucleation is presented.

A key feature of this code is that the material and energy balances as well as the transport equations between both, the gas and liquid phase of a contact device on one hand, as well as the growing aerosol droplets and the super-saturated gas phase on the other hand are solved simultaneously. Thus it is possible to calculate the actual saturation of the gas and to predict the growth of aerosol droplets along the interfacial area of a contact device [12, 13].

The rigorous modelling leads to a system of differential–algebraic equations which has to be solved numerically. Special methods have been developed for the efficient treatment of such kind of problems, this will be treated in section 3.

In this paper only monodisperse aerosols are considered, i.e. the number concentration of wettable particles is treated as a constant input parameter. In a forthcoming paper, the modelling and simulation of polydisperse aerosols will be described, where population balances are used.

2 Modelling

2.1 Basic assumptions

Since the physical situation in an arbitrary gas–liquid contact device is far too complex for a detailed description, we have to set up a simplified model: The contact device is considered to be equivalent to two streams flowing side by side in contact with one another across some interfacial area \( A \).
The competing heat and mass transfer processes between gas and liquid phase on the one side and between gas and dispersed phase on the other side have different time scales (i.e. seconds for the first and milliseconds for the latter process). Therefore, we have to find a common reference axis for the two processes. This is exactly the contact device’s interfacial area $A$. Therefore, we can choose the interfacial area as independent coordinate. The fluid–dynamical complexities are entirely hidden in the device’s mapping to its interfacial area. The connection between the actual device and its interfacial area can be taken from various publications [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Introductory and elucidating examples can be found in the literature [22, 23]. Considering a plug flow in the contact device, a mapping of the spatial coordinate $z$ to the interfacial area $A(z, t)$ according to

$$A(z, t) = A_c \int_0^z a(z', t) \, dz'$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

becomes possible. $A_c$ and $a(z, t)$ are the local cross sectional area and the local specific interfacial area of the contact device, respectively. The specific interfacial area is defined as the derivative of the interfacial area of the entire contact device $A$ with respect to the entire device’s volume $V$:

$$a := \frac{dA}{dV}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Usually, $A_c$ and $a$ are supposed to be constant in space and time. Since $A_c$ and $a$ are positive the mapping (2) between $A$ and $z$ is unique for every time $t$.

The general balance equation for an extensive physical quantity $F(r, t)$ can be written as [24, 25, 22]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_F(z, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} j_F = q_F(z, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\rho_F(z, t)$ is the local momentary volume density of $F$ (in $F$ units per volume), $j_F(z, t)$ is the local momentary flux of $F$ (in $F$ units per time and area), and $q_F(z, t)$ is the local momentary net production rate of $F$ (in $F$ units per time and volume).

To express a given balance equation in the desired coordinates $A$ and $t$ we use

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial z} = A_c a(z, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

which follows from equation (2) and get, using the uniqueness of $A(z, t)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} j_F(z, t) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \frac{\partial}{\partial A} j_F(A, t) = A_c(z, t) a(z, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial A} j_F(A, t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)
To transform the volume specific production rate \( q_F \) we have to take into account the volume \( V^P \) of the considered phase. This is given by

\[
V^P(z, t) = \int_0^z A_c(z', t) \varepsilon^P(z', t) \, dz',
\]

(7)

where \( \varepsilon^P(z, t) \) is the local momentary volume fraction of the phase \( P \). Since \( \varepsilon^P(z, t) \) and \( A_c \) are positive there exists always an inverse function \( z(V^P, t) \), which is – as an example – for constant \( \varepsilon^P \) simply given by

\[
z = \frac{V^P}{A_c \varepsilon^P}.
\]

(8)

In general, this inverse function is not given explicitly. Equations (2) and (7) yield for the interfacial area

\[
A(V^P, t) = A_c \int_0^{V^P} a(z', t) \, dz',
\]

(9)

from which follows

\[
\frac{\partial A(V^P, t)}{\partial V^P} = \frac{a(V^P, t)}{\varepsilon^P(V^P, t)}.
\]

(10)

This equation leads us finally to the expression for the volume specific production term in equation (4):

\[
q_F(z, t) = \frac{a}{\varepsilon^P} q_F(A, t).
\]

(11)

Thus, the balance equation for an extensive physical quantity \( F \) within the phase \( P \) in coordinates \( A \) and \( t \) becomes

\[
\varepsilon^P \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_F(A, t) + \varepsilon^P A_c \frac{\partial}{\partial A} j_F(A, t) = q_F(A, t).
\]

(12)

Very often, other coordinates are more convenient, e.g. the overall number of transfer units \( \text{NTU}^G_i \) of a component \( i \) in absorption processes. This value is defined by

\[
\text{NTU}^G_i(z, t) = A_c \int_0^z a(z', t) \frac{\beta^G_i(z', t)}{N^G(z', t)} \, dz',
\]

(13)

where \( \beta^G_i(z, t) \) is the overall gas phase’s momentary local mass transfer coefficient and \( N^G(z', t) \) is the local momentary total mole flow of the gas phase.
A similar argumentation as above for equation (12) leads to the balance equation (4) in coordinates NTU\textsubscript{i} and \( t \):

\[
\frac{\dot{N}^G}{\rho_i^G} \frac{\varepsilon^P}{\text{NTU}_i^G} \partial_t \rho_F(\text{NTU}_i, t) + \varepsilon^P A_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \text{NTU}_i} j_F(\text{NTU}_i, t) = q_F(\text{NTU}_i, t) \ .
\]

(14)

Equations (12) and (14) form the core of the model presented in this paper. For any generic quantity \( F \) we get the balance equations valid in our model from the classical conservation law simply by transformation with equation (12) or (14). This will be repeatedly shown in section 2.4 where the resulting system of PDEs is presented.

Usually, the density \( \rho_F \) and the flux \( j_F \) in the balance equations do not depend explicitly on \( A \) and \( t \) and therefore the transformation from equation (12) to equation (14) becomes to a simple multiplication of all time derivatives by the factor \( \frac{\dot{N}^G}{\rho_i^G} \) and expressing the production rate in terms of \( q_F(\text{NTU}_i) \) instead of \( q_F(A) \).

For simplicity's sake the equations in the following text are only presented in coordinates \( A \) and \( t \). Furthermore we assume constant values for \( \varepsilon^P \) for all phases.

2.2 Mass and Energy Transfer between Gas and Liquid Phase

Gradients of concentration and temperature lead to the transport of mass and energy within and among the phases. In our simplified, spatially one dimensional model there is only transport among the phases. Each phase itself is considered to be ideally mixed.

There are several different models describing these exchange processes in the literature. Convenient mass transfer equations in chemical engineering lead to a mole flux \( \dot{n}_i \) of a component \( i \) in a \( K \) component system from the phase's interior to its border [22]

\[
\dot{n}_i = \dot{j}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k = \dot{j}_i + \gamma_i \dot{n}_i \ .
\]

(15)

In this equation

\[
\dot{j}_i = \beta_{yi}^* (y_i - y_i^*)
\]

(16)

is the diffusional mole flux caused by the difference between the mole–fraction \( y_i \) in the phase and the mole–fraction \( y_i^* \) at its border. \( \beta_{yi}^* \) is the mass transfer coefficient in the presence of a non vanishing convectional mass flux\(^1\).

\(^1\)In German literature the mass transfer coefficient \( \beta \) is commonly referenced to molar concentrations and has the dimension m/s, while for our purposes the reference to mole
It can be calculated from the mass transfer coefficient without convection \( \beta_y \) by using film theory [26] or penetration theory [27]. Both options are implemented in AerCoDe.

The second term on the right hand sides of equation (15)

\[
\hat{n}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{n}_{k}
\]

(17)

takes into account the effects of a mass transport perpendicularly to the interface which is caused by non-vanishing mass transfer rates \( \hat{n}_i \).

In analogy to equation (15) the energy flux from the phase’s interior to its border can be written as

\[
\hat{e} = \hat{q} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{H}_k \hat{n}_k
\]

(18)

The second term on the right hand side represents the convective energy transfer.

As in equation (16) the heat flux caused by the temperature difference between the phase’s interior (\( T \)) and its border (\( T^* \)) is given by

\[
\hat{q} = \alpha^*(T - T^*)
\]

(19)

Again, the heat transfer coefficient in the presence of non vanishing mass fluxes \( \alpha^* \) has to be calculated from the heat transfer coefficient without convection \( \alpha \). This can be done by applying either film or penetration theory [28].

2.3 Mass and Energy Transfer between Gas and Single Aerosol Droplets

To model the transfer processes between an aerosol and its surrounding gas phase, we have to consider the interactions between one droplet and the gas phase first. After that we assume that the entire aerosol behaves as an ensemble of independent single droplets.

We consider a single spherical droplet as shown in figure 1 in a surrounding gas phase of \( K \) components and one inert carrier gas. The droplet is assumed to be ideally mixed and floating along with the gas stream (no-slip assumption). The gas phase directly above the droplet’s surface has mole fractions \( y_i^D \) which are considered to be equilibrium values to the given droplet composition \( x_i^D \),

fractions is more convenient. We use therefore \( \beta_y \) in the dimension of mol/s/m² . The relation between \( \beta_c \) and \( \beta_y \) is given by

\[
\beta_y = \frac{\rho \beta_c}{M}
\]
droplet temperature $T_D$ and its radius $R$ (Kelvin effect). The gas phase “far away” from the droplet has the temperature $T_G$ and the composition $y_i^G$.

In the continuum regime where the droplet diameter is much bigger than the gas phase’s mean free path, the solution of the generalized Stefan–Maxwell–Equations [29] yields for the mole flux of component $i$ from a single droplet to the surrounding gas phase phase [30]

$$
\dot{n}_i^D = \frac{e^G D_i \text{gas}}{R} \cdot \ln \left( \frac{1 - y_i^G}{1 - y_i^D} \right) \ .
$$

Approximating the thermal conductivity $\lambda(r)$ with the average values of the bulk gas phase ($\lambda^G$) and the value at the droplet’s surface ($\lambda^D$) the heat flux from a single droplet to the gas phase can be written as

$$
q^D = \frac{\lambda^D + \lambda^G}{2R} \cdot (T_D - T_G) \ .
$$

The radiative heat flux $q^D_{rad}$ in figure 1 is neglected which is justified for droplets smaller than about 10 $\mu$m [31].

With the above equations, we finally obtain for the growth of a single droplet

$$
\frac{Dn_i^D}{Dt} = \frac{D(e^D V^D)}{Dt} = -4\pi R^2 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^D \ .
$$
With the droplet’s volume $V^D = 4/3 \pi R^3$ this gives

$$\frac{DR}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{c^D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{n}_k^D - \frac{R}{3c^D} \frac{Dc^D}{Dt}. \quad (23)$$

Similar derivations yield the balance for the droplet composition

$$\frac{Dx_i^D}{Dt} = -\frac{3}{Re^D} \left[ \hat{n}_i^D - x_i^D \sum_{k=1}^{K} \hat{n}_k^D \right] \quad (24)$$

and the droplet temperature

$$\frac{DT^D}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{C_p^D} \cdot \frac{3}{Re^D} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{H}_k^G(T^D) - \hat{H}_k^L(T^D)) \hat{n}_k^D + q^D \right]. \quad (25)$$

To follow the motion of the droplets in space and time, we have used in the above equations substantial derivatives

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \{\ldots\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \{\ldots\} + v^G \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \{\ldots\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \{\ldots\} + A_c a v^G \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \{\ldots\} \quad (26)$$

see e.g. Bird et al. [22].

### 2.4 The Balance Equations

#### 2.4.1 Mass and Energy Balance for the Gas–Liquid Interface

The gas–liquid interface is assumed to be in equilibrium with the both phases:

$$y_i^* = \frac{p_i^*}{p} = f(x_i^L, T^*). \quad (27)$$

Since we assume further no mass or energy accumulation in the gas–liquid interface, the balance equations for the interface interlink the fluxes between gas and liquid phase.

For the component mole balance, this simply results in

$$\hat{n}_i := \hat{n}_i^G = \hat{n}_i^L. \quad (28)$$

The interface’s energy balance can be used to determine the interfacial temperature $T^*$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} (\hat{H}_k^G(T^G) - \hat{H}_k^L(T^L)) \cdot \hat{n}_k + \alpha^G(T^G - T^*) - \alpha^L(T^* - T^L) = 0. \quad (29)$$
2.4.2 Mass and Energy Balance for the Liquid Phase

To set up the mass balance equation for the liquid phase, we simply have to replace the generic quantity $F$ in equation (12) by the total number of moles $n_i^L$ in the liquid phase. The density $\rho_F$ becomes the molar volume concentration $\varepsilon^L$ and the flux $j_F$ becomes the product of $\varepsilon^L$ and the local momentary velocity $v_i^L$ of the liquid phase. The net production rate $q_F$ finally is replaced by the sum of all mole fluxes entering or leaving the liquid phase.

With the liquid phase’s velocity

$$v_i^L = \frac{\dot{N}_i^L}{\varepsilon^L \varepsilon_i^L \Delta c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

and the molar volume concentration

$$\varepsilon^L = \frac{\rho_i^L}{M_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

the total mole balance of the liquid phase is given by

$$\frac{\varepsilon^L}{a} \frac{\partial \dot{N}_i^L}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \dot{N}_i^L}{\partial A} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^L .$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

To derive the liquid phase’s component mole balance for component $i$, $F$ in equation (12) is replaced by the mole number $n_i^L = x_i^L \cdot n_i^L$ of component $i$ and the density is replaced by the product of molar volume concentration and the mole fraction $x_i^L$. The flux of component $i$ is equal $x_i^L \varepsilon^L \ v_i^L$ (ignoring dispersive effects). The resulting mole balance of component $i$ in the liquid phase is

$$\frac{\varepsilon^L}{a} \frac{\partial x_i^L}{\partial t} = -\dot{N}_i^L \frac{\partial x_i^L}{\partial A} + \beta_r^i (y_i^G - y_i^L) + (y_i^G - x_i^L) \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^G .$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Replacing $F$ in equation (12) by the inner energy $\tilde{U}^L$ of the liquid phase and using the definition of the enthalpy $H = U + pV$ yields the liquid phase’s energy balance:

$$\frac{\varepsilon^L}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k^L \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_k^L}{\partial t} = -\dot{N}_i^L \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k^L \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_k^L}{\partial A} + q_i^L .$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Inserting the component mole balance (33), using the enthalpy’s total differential

$$d\tilde{H} = C_p \ dT - \left[ T \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial T} \right)_p - \tilde{V} \right] dp + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial x_k} \ dx_k ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)
neglecting the thermal dilatation coefficient \((\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}_L}{\partial T})_p\) and assuming \(\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = 0\) results with the heat flux (19) finally in the liquid phase's energy balance:

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^L}{a} c^L \tilde{C}_p \frac{\partial T^L}{\partial t} = -\dot{N}^L \tilde{C}_p \frac{\partial T^L}{\partial A} - \frac{\dot{N}^L}{c^L} \frac{\partial p}{\partial A} + \alpha^*(T^* - T^L) .
\]  

(36)

### 2.4.3 Mass and Energy Balance for the Gas Phase

The total mole balance for the gas phase is derived from equation (12) in the same way as the total mole balance for the liquid phase (32):

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{\partial c^G}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \dot{N}^G}{\partial A} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^G + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^A .
\]

(37)

Deriving the component mole balance for the gas phase considering axial dispersion effects (which are neglected in the liquid phase) works in principle the same way as for the liquid phase. The flow velocity \(v_i^G\) of component \(i\) now is given by the superposition of the average gas velocity \(v^G\) and the diffusional and dispersional velocities relative thereto. From equation (12) we get

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} c^G \frac{\partial y_i^G}{\partial t} + \frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} y_i \frac{\partial c^G}{\partial t} = -y_i \frac{\partial \dot{N}^G}{\partial A} - \dot{N}^G \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial A} - \dot{n}_i^G + \dot{n}_i^A - \varepsilon^G A \frac{\partial j_i}{\partial A} .
\]

(38)

Neglecting thermo-diffusional effects, we may write

\[
j_i = -D_{i,\text{mat}} c^G \frac{\partial y_i^G}{\partial z} .
\]

(39)

The material axial dispersion coefficient \(D_{i,\text{mat}}\) for laminar flows is given by [32]

\[
D_{i,\text{mat}} = D_{i,\text{gas}} + \frac{(d v_i^G)^2}{192 D_{i,\text{gas}}} .
\]

(40)

For turbulent flows it is given by [33]

\[
D_{\text{mat}} = 5.05 d v_i^G \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{8}} .
\]

(41)

In the above equations, \(d\) is a characteristic diameter of the device (e.g. the diameter of a single packing element ) and \(\zeta\) can be calculated using well known relations from fluid dynamics [34], e.g.

\[
\zeta = \frac{l 64}{d \text{Re}}
\]

(42)
with a single packing element’s length \( l \) and the Reynolds number \( Re \). Together with the total mole balance (37) this finally leads to the component mole balance for component \( i \) in the gas phase:

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^G c^G}{a} \frac{\partial y_i^G}{\partial t} = - \dot{N}_G \frac{\partial y_i^G}{\partial A} - \beta_y^G (y_i^G - y_i) + \dot{n}_i^A - y_i^G \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}_k^A + \varepsilon^G A_c^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left( a c^G D_{i,\text{mat}} \frac{\partial y_i^G}{\partial A} \right) .
\]

From equation (12) we get for the energy balance of the gas phase

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{\partial (\varepsilon^G H^G)}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial (\varepsilon^G \dot{N}_G)}{\partial A} - \dot{q}_G + \dot{q}_A - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{H}_k^G (\dot{n}_k^G - \dot{n}_k^A) - \varepsilon^G A_c \frac{\partial \dot{q}_k}{\partial A} .
\]

Setting

\[
\dot{q}_k = - D_{th} c^G \bar{c}_p^G \frac{\partial T^G}{\partial z} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{H}_k^G j_k
\]

with the thermal axial dispersion coefficient for turbulent flows

\[
D_{th} = d \left( 5.05 v^G \sqrt{\frac{\zeta}{8} + 2.515 v^G} \right)
\]

and equal to the material one for laminar flows [35], we get finally the energy balance for the gas phase

With the enthalpy’s total differential (35) this leads to the energy balance for the gas phase

\[
\frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} c^G \bar{c}_p^G \frac{\partial T^G}{\partial t} = - \bar{c}_p^G \dot{N}_G \frac{\partial T^G}{\partial A} - \alpha^G (T^G - T^*) + \dot{q}_A + \varepsilon^G A_c^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left( a c^G \bar{c}_p^G D_{th} \frac{\partial T^G}{\partial A} \right) .
\]

Here we have assumed again \( \partial p/\partial t = 0 \) and additionally \( T(\partial \bar{V}_i/\partial T)_p - \bar{V}_i = 0 \) which is exactly valid only for ideal gases.

Please note that in the above equations Taylor dispersion was used only as an example to demonstrate the capabilities of the presented model. Since we do not know if it is really applicable to gas-liquid contact devices and since we assume the effects to be negligible we usually ignore it in our simulations. But the model itself as well as the programme \textbf{AerCoDe} are able to handle this effect.
2.4.4 Mass and Energy Balance for the Aerosol

Since we do not yet consider effects like coagulation, deposition, or homogeneous nucleation the balance equation for the total number of droplets becomes quite simple: With the droplet number concentration $c_N$ (in droplets per volume) we get for the “droplet flow” (in droplets per time)

$$ \dot{N}^D := \dot{N}^G \frac{c_N}{c^G} . $$

(48)

Even though we assume a constant number of wettable nuclei or droplets, the number concentration $c_N$ is due to the temperature changes of the gas phase not constant in time and $A$. Only the droplet flow $\dot{N}^D$ is constant. Neglecting dispersion effects leads in analogy to equations (32) and (37) to the balance equation for the droplet number equation:

$$ \frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n^D c_N) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left( \dot{N}^G \frac{c_N}{c^G} \right) . $$

(49)

With $n^D$ being the total number of moles in one droplet, the total (liquid) mole flow carried along by the entire aerosol population is given by

$$ \dot{N}^A := n^D \dot{N}^D . $$

(50)

From equation (12) we get immediately

$$ \frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (n^D c_N) = - \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \dot{N}^A - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}^A_k . $$

(51)

With the balance for the number of droplets (49) and the definition of $\dot{N}^A$ this yields

$$ \frac{c_N \varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{\partial n^D}{\partial t} = - \dot{N}^D \frac{\partial n^D}{\partial A} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}^A_k . $$

(52)

The source terms $\dot{n}^A_k$ may be written as

$$ \dot{n}^A_i(z) = c_N 4\pi R^2 \dot{n}^D_i $$

(53)

or, using equation (11),

$$ \dot{n}^A_i(a) = \frac{\varepsilon^G c_N}{a} 4\pi R^2 \dot{n}^D_i . $$

(54)

With this equation and the relation $n^D = c^D 4\pi R^3 / 3$ one obtains from (52) again the mass balance for a single droplet, respectively the equation for the droplet radius, equation (23). Similar considerations hold for the aerosols component mole balance and its energy balance: For a monodisperse aerosol
the balance equations of single droplets and those of the entire droplet ensemble are, except for a scaling factor, completely equivalent. Therefore we get the balances for the aerosol phase from the the corresponding equations for a single droplet simply by transforming the substantial derivatives in equations (23), (24) and (25) by means of (26). This gives the following equations for the droplet radius

$$\frac{\varepsilon^G c^G}{a} \frac{\partial R}{\partial t} + \frac{R}{3 c^D} \frac{\partial c^D}{\partial t} = - \left( \frac{\partial R}{\partial A} + \frac{R}{3 c^D} \frac{\partial c^D}{\partial A} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon^G c^G}{a} \frac{1}{N^G} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}^D_k,$$

(55)

deepthth the droplet composition

$$\frac{\varepsilon^G c^G}{a} \frac{\partial x_i^D}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial x_i^D}{\partial A} - \frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{3 c^G}{R c^D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}^D_k \left[ \dot{n}^D_k - x_i^D \sum_{k=1}^{K} \dot{n}^D_k \right],$$

(56)

and the droplet temperature

$$\frac{\varepsilon^G c^G}{a} \frac{\partial T^D}{\partial t} = - \frac{C_p^D}{a} \frac{\partial T^D}{\partial A} - \frac{\varepsilon^G}{a} \frac{3 c^G}{N^G R c^D} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left[ \dot{H}^G_k - \dot{H}^D_k \right] \dot{n}^D_k + q^D.$$

(57)

These above droplet equations complete our model.

3 Numerical Scheme

The whole system of balance equations consists of one-dimensional partial differential equations of convective type and of some pure algebraic equations. The space discretization of this system on an appropriate grid by the method of lines results in a very large system of differential-algebraic equations (DAEs).

We solved these systems using the extrapolated linearly–implicit Euler method with an adaptive control of step size and order, the LIMEX–code [36]. This method enables an effective integration even of large sparse systems using iterative solvers and a flexible incomplete factorization of the linear systems. Furthermore the code detects inconsistent initial values of a DAE system and can compute consistent ones.
4 Application: Simulation of a Multi Stage Absorption Process

As a demonstration of the capabilities of the above presented model and its implementation in AerCoDe, we present the simulation results of a two stage gas cleaning process. A hot flue gas is first quenched in an adiabatic co-current packed column and then cleaned in a counter current packed column (see figure 2).

For this simulation we use the following assumptions and models:

- The number of wettable condensation nuclei \(c_N\) and their critical saturation \(S_{\text{crit}}\) are given input parameters. Even though there are theories to calculate these values from contact angles and surface tensions (e.g. [37]) missing data make theoretical predictions concerning these parameters unreliable. So we use experimentally determined values [6, 38, 39] or treat \(c_N\) and \(S_{\text{crit}}\) as input parameters. The critical saturation was set to unity for all simulations.

- Physical properties (surface tension, enthalpies, densities etc.) and phase equilibria of the system HCl/H\(_2\)O/Air are taken from the literature [40, 41].

- The growth of the droplets is calculated according to Kulmala et al. [42].

- The specific interfacial area, HTU and NTU values, heat and mass transfer coefficients for the packing are calculated according to Billet [43, 44].

The composition and flow rates entering the first stage are given in table 1. In Gretscher’s experiments, the number concentration of foreign nuclei available for the heterogeneous nucleation process is typically \(1.0 \times 10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\). The inlet pressure is 98500 Pa and the first stage’s pressure drop is 700 Pa. The washing liquid is pure water. The packing consists of Ceramic-Hiflow-Rings Type 20–4 in the upper (hot) third of the quench and of PP-Hiflow-Rings Type 25–7 in the lower (cool) part.

Table 2 shows the simulation results for the first stage without aerosol formation and with an aerosol number concentration of \(1.0 \times 10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\).

Figure 3 shows the calculated saturation profile for different number concentrations of nuclei. Until the saturation reaches unity (at an NTU value of 4.3) the plotted profiles do not differ. But due to the onset of heterogeneous nucleation and droplet growth the different number concentrations take effect beyond this point. The more nuclei (and thus the more droplets) we have in the gas phase the faster the supersaturation is decreasing because of the droplet growth. But even without droplets, the saturation tends back to unity because of the system’s urge to equilibrium at infinite values of the
interfacial area $A$. Figure 4 shows the calculated droplet diameters in the quench for different number concentrations. Obviously fewer droplets lead to bigger droplet diameters.

This can be explained by the fact that more droplets provide more interfacial area between gas phase and aerosol as shown in figure 5. This leads to higher mass flows from the gas phase to the aerosol population (see figure 6) and consequently to a higher total mass of the aerosol population in the first stage’s outlet.

The fast decrease of the saturation at high number concentrations of droplets slows down the droplet growth. Consequently, the entire mass flow to a single droplet is smaller at higher number concentrations as shown in figure 7. Thus, although more droplets withdraw more matter from the gas phase, higher number concentrations lead to smaller droplets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input data of the first stage</th>
<th>Gas Phase</th>
<th>Washing Liquid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>257.33</td>
<td>2988.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inert gas flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>252.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>2988.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HCl}$ flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature [$^\circ\text{C}$]</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ load [kg $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ / kg inert gas]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{HCl}$ load [kg $\text{HCl}$ / kg inert gas]</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: Calculated saturation profiles in the quench for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels.

Figure 4: Calculated droplet diameters in the quench for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels.
Table 2: Simulation results for the adiabatic quench cooler without aerosol formation (differing results with \( c_N = 1 \cdot 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3} \) are given in parenthesis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output data of the first stage</th>
<th>Gas Phase</th>
<th>Washing Liquid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>273.78</td>
<td>2971.27 (2971.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inert gas flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>252.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O} ) flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>2971.27 (2971.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl flow rate [kg/h]</td>
<td>7.6 \cdot 10^{-4} (4.4 \cdot 10^{-4})</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature [°C]</td>
<td>50.15 (50.29)</td>
<td>49.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O} ) load [kg ( \text{H}_2\text{O} / \text{kg} ) inert gas]</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl load [kg HCl / kg inert gas]</td>
<td>3.01 \cdot 10^{-6} (1.763 \cdot 10^{-6})</td>
<td>9.7 \cdot 10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Surface of the entire aerosol population for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels

The simulation results for the quench simulation with \( c_N = 1 \cdot 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3} \) agree well with the experimental data published by Gretsch [39, 6]. He measures with the given process conditions a droplet diameter of 1.2 micrometers at the first stages’ outlet (NTU \( \approx 6 \)). More comparisons between experimental and calculated data can be found in his thesis [39]. The simulation results of the first stage were used as input for the simulation of the second stage (non-adiabatic, counter current column). The cooling rate \( Q_{\text{cool}} \) is 3.0 kW, which results in an inlet temperature of the recycled washing liquid of 30°C. The packing consists of PP–Hilflow–Rings Type 25–7. The pressure drop is 500 Pa.
Figure 6: Mass flow to the entire aerosol population for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels and resulting total aerosol mass in the outlet

Figure 7: Mass flow to a single droplet for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels and resulting droplet mass in the outlet
Column: $t_{in}^G = 50^\circ C$, $Y_{H2O} = 0.09$, $Y_{HCl} = 1.1*10^{-6}$

![Graph](image)

Figure 8: Calculated saturation profiles in the column for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels

The saturation profiles for the above number concentrations are shown in figure 8. Evidently, the gas phase reaches equilibrium ($S = 1$) at the end of the column for all number concentrations. The more droplets in the gas the faster the supersaturation of the gas phase decreases since the droplets withdraw water and hydrogen chloride from the gas phase. But even without droplets, the gas phase reaches equilibrium at the end of the packed column if the NTU value (and therefore the interfacial area) is high enough.

In figure 9 the growth of the droplets entering the second stage is shown. Again, lesser droplets grow to bigger radii. The same considerations concerning the droplet growth in the first stage hold here.

The totally emitted pollutant concentration is the sum of the gas phase's pollutant concentration and the droplets' contribution thereto. Figure 10 shows the totally emitted amount of the pollutant (hydrogen chloride in this case). Even though the droplets at the higher number concentration are the smaller, their impact on the gas cleaning efficiency is worse. Even worse is the fact, that smaller droplets are harder to withdraw from the gas stream leaving the contact device than larger ones.
Figure 9: Calculated droplet diameters in the column for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels

Figure 10: Calculated total pollutant concentration in the column for different number concentrations of nucleation kernels
Column: $t^G_{in} = 50.3^\circ C$, $Y_{H_2O} = 0.086$, $Y_{HCl} = 1.63 \cdot 10^{-6}$, $c_N = 1 \cdot 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$

![Graph of pollutant concentration vs NTU$_{HCl}$](image)

Figure 11: Calculated pollutant concentration in the column for $c_N = 1.0 \cdot 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$.

In figure 11 this worst case (highest number concentration and smallest droplets) is demonstrated separately. The gas phase itself gets cleaned well (less than 0.03 mg HCl per standard cubic meter inert gas) but the HCl carried downstream by the droplets leads to a total pollutant concentration in the column’s outlet of about 5 mg HCl per standard cubic meter inert gas. Commercial plant simulation tools are usually not able to handle aerosol formation and therefore predict lower pollutant concentrations (i.e. the lower curves in figures 10 and 11) than our tool *AeCoDe*.

5 Conclusions

The presented model of aerosol formation in gas–liquid contact devices and its implementation are powerful instruments for gaining insight in the formation and behaviour of aerosol droplets in technical plants. *AeCoDe* is a useful utility for making parameter studies on aerosol behaviour. Especially the latter is of great practical importance for minimising (or sometimes even avoiding) aerosol problems in industrial processes. Currently further improvements as population balancing, refined numerical methods, and an extension of the implemented technical and physical systems are done.
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# Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>interfacial area</td>
<td>$m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_c$</td>
<td>cross sectional area</td>
<td>$m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>specific interfacial area</td>
<td>$m^2 / m^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{C}_p$</td>
<td>molar heat capacity at constant pressure</td>
<td>$J / \text{mol} / \text{K}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{pi}$</td>
<td>partial molar heat capacity at constant pres-</td>
<td>$J / \text{mol} / \text{K}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sure of component $i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>molar volume concentration</td>
<td>mol / $m^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_N$</td>
<td>particle number concentration</td>
<td>particles / $m^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{i,gas}$</td>
<td>diffusion coefficient of component $i$ in gas</td>
<td>$m^2 / s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{\text{mat},i}$</td>
<td>material axial dispersion coefficient of com-</td>
<td>$m^2 / s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ponent $i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{th}$</td>
<td>thermal axial dispersion coefficient of com-</td>
<td>$m^2 / s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ponent $i$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>diameter</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{e}$</td>
<td>energy flux</td>
<td>$J / m^2 / s = W / m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>generic extensive physical quantity</td>
<td>$F$ units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{H}$</td>
<td>molar enthalpy of a mixture</td>
<td>$J / \text{mol}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{H}_i$</td>
<td>partial molar enthalpy of component $i$</td>
<td>$J / \text{mol}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{j}_F, \dot{j}_F$</td>
<td>flux of generic physical quantity $F$</td>
<td>$F$ units / $m^2 / s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>number of material components</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l$</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>molar mass</td>
<td>kg / mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{M}$</td>
<td>a mixture’s average molar mass</td>
<td>kg / mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{N}$</td>
<td>mole flow</td>
<td>mol / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{n}$</td>
<td>mole flux</td>
<td>mol / s / $m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>mole number</td>
<td>mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU$_i$</td>
<td>number of transfer units of component $i$, see</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>eq. (13) for definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>total pressure</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_s$</td>
<td>total equilibrium pressure at dew point</td>
<td>Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{q}$</td>
<td>heat flux</td>
<td>$J / m^2 / s = W / m^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_F$</td>
<td>net production rate of generic physical quan-</td>
<td>$F$ units / $m^3 / s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tity $F$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>droplet radius</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>vector in physical space</td>
<td>$m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S$</td>
<td>saturation ratio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{\text{crit}}$</td>
<td>critical saturation ratio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Meaning</td>
<td>Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$</td>
<td>volume</td>
<td>m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{V}$</td>
<td>molar volume</td>
<td>m$^3$ / mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tilde{V}_i$</td>
<td>partial molar volume of component $i$</td>
<td>m$^3$ / mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_i$</td>
<td>liquid mole fraction of component $i$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_i$</td>
<td>gas mole fraction of component $i$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>spatial coordinate</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient without convection</td>
<td>W / K / m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha^*$</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient with convection</td>
<td>W / K / m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_c$</td>
<td>mass transfer coefficient without convection with respect to molar concentration</td>
<td>m / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_c^*$</td>
<td>mass transfer coefficient with convection with respect to molar concentration</td>
<td>m / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_y$</td>
<td>mass transfer coefficient without convection with respect to mole fraction</td>
<td>mol / m$^2$ / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_y^*$</td>
<td>mass transfer coefficient with convection with respect to mole fraction</td>
<td>mol / m$^2$ / s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
<td>J / s / m / K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>volume fraction</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_F$</td>
<td>volume density of generic physical quantity</td>
<td>$F$ units / m$^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Superscript Meaning

- **A**: aerosol
- **D**: single droplet
- **G**: gas phase
- **L**: liquid phase
- **P**: arbitrary phase
- **×**: interface, equilibrium

### Subscript Meaning

- $i$, $j$, $k$: component and summation indices
- **mat**: material
- **th**: thermal
- **crit**: critical
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