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Abstract

Bovine fertility is the subject of extensive research in animal sciences, especially because fertility of dairy cows has declined during the last decades. The regulation of estrus is controlled by the complex interplay of various organs and hormones. Mathematical modeling of the bovine estrous cycle could help in understanding the dynamics of this complex biological system. In this paper we present a mechanistic mathematical model of the bovine estrous cycle that includes the processes of follicle and corpus luteum development and the key hormones that interact to control these processes. The model generates successive estrous cycles of 21 days, with three waves of follicle growth per cycle. The model contains 12 differential equations and 54 parameters. Focus in this paper is on development of the model, but also some simulation results are presented, showing that a set of equations and parameters is obtained that describes the system consistent with empirical knowledge. Even though the majority of the mechanisms that are included in the model are based on relations that in literature have only been described qualitatively (i.e. stimulation and inhibition), the output of the model is surprisingly well in line with empirical data. This model of the bovine estrous cycle could be used as a basis for more elaborate models with the ability to study effects of external manipulations and genetic differences.
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1 Introduction

Systems biology is a relatively new research area in the field of animal sciences. It aims at understanding how the various components of a biological system function together, rather than investigating only individual parts. One approach is the translation of a conceptual biological model into a set of mathematical equations that represent the dynamic relations between system components. The purpose of building such mathematical models is to interpret and predict the dynamics of complex biological systems, and to identify new research questions.

One example of a dynamic biological system is the bovine estrous cycle, the hormonally controlled recurrent periods when the cow is preparing for reproduction by producing a fertilizable oocyte. Concurrent with selection for increased milk yield, a decrease in dairy cow fertility has been observed during the last decades (for reviews see [59, 75]). This decline in fertility is shown by e.g. alterations in hormone patterns during the estrous cycle, reduced expression of estrous behavior and lower conception rates [79]. However, it is hard to understand which underlying mechanisms cause this decline in fertility. The regulation of estrus is controlled by the interplay of various organs and hormones. Mathematical modeling of the involved mechanisms is expected to improve insight in the biological processes underlying the bovine estrous cycle, and could thereby help to find causes of declined fertility in dairy cows [11].

Although the endocrine and physiologic regulation of the bovine estrous cycle is studied extensively, mathematical models of cycle regulation are scarce and of limited scope [49, 70]. A number of models have been developed for other ruminant species, especially ewes [13, 35], but these models do not contain all the key players that are required to simulate follicle development and the accompanying hormone levels throughout consecutive cycles. A model that integrates the major tissues and hormones involved, and that is able to simulate the dynamics of follicular development, has been developed for the human menstrual cycle [61]. This model describes the dynamics of hormones, enzymes, receptors, and follicular phases throughout the cycle in a set of differential equations.

The objective of the work described in this paper was to develop a mathematical model of the dynamics of the bovine estrous cycle on individual cow level, that is able to simulate follicle development and the accompanying fluctuations in hormone concentrations. Physiologic and endocrine mechanisms that regulate the cycle are very similar between human and cows. Therefore, some mechanisms of the human model in [61] could be used (although sometimes with simplifications), and extended with other mechanisms like follicular wave emergence and corpus luteum regression.

Focus in this paper is on the model development. Section 2 describes the biological mechanisms of the bovine estrous cycle and how these mechanisms are incorporated in the model. In Section 3, the mathematical description and all model equations and parameters are given. Simulation results are presented in Section 4, showing that a set of equations and parameters is obtained that describes the system consistent with biological data for cows. In Section 5, it is discussed how the current model could be applied and extended.
2 Biological background

2.1 The bovine estrous cycle

The main tissues and organs involved in the regulation of the estrous cycle are the ovaries, the uterus, the hypothalamus and the anterior pituitary. These organs interact via hormones in the blood (reviewed in [11]). In the bovine, most estrous cycles have two or three waves in which a cohort of follicles start to grow and produce estradiol (E2). The first one or two waves produce a dominant follicle that does not ovulate, but undergoes regression under influence of progesterone (P4). The dominant follicle that develops in the last wave becomes the ovulatory follicle. The average duration of the bovine estrous cycle is 20 days for 2-wave and 22 days for 3-wave cycles (reviewed in [3]). During pro-estrus, when the corpus luteum (CL) is regressed and the concentration of P4 is decreased, the dominant follicle, deviated from a cohort of antral follicles, develops and matures under the influence of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) secreted from the pituitary. FSH plays an important role in the beginning of follicular growth, while LH is important for maturation up to ovulation. During development to preovulatory size, the dominant follicle secretes increasing amounts of estradiol (E2), and also inhibin (Inh), which inhibits FSH synthesis and, hence, inhibits the growth of subordinate follicles [10, 27]. E2 inhibits gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) secretion from the hypothalamus and therefore LH secretion from the pituitary throughout most of the cycle. However, during pro-estrus, elevated E2 levels increase the secretion of GnRH, which, together with direct effects of E2 on the pituitary, triggers the LH surge, which induces ovulation. Once an oocyte is successfully ovulated, the remains of the follicle form a new P4-producing CL. P4 maintains the readiness of the endometrium for receiving the embryo. If conception has failed, the CL regresses under influence of prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α) produced in the endometrium [47], P4 levels decrease, and the cycle restarts (reviewed in [11]).

2.2 Follicle development

Follicle development is controlled by changes in hormone levels. In each cycle, one follicle ovulates, while all other growing follicles go into regression at a certain stage of their development. The follicles produce E2 and Inh, which are released into peripheral blood. Different follicles are recruited, growing, and regressing in each cycle and in each wave. However, total E2 and Inh production capacity is modeled as a continuous function throughout subsequent waves and cycles, sometimes rising, sometimes falling, representing the total amount of hormone production of the follicles present at any moment. Small follicles of an emerging cohort may release very small amounts of E2 and Inh per follicle, but taken together, this amount is not negligible. Furthermore, there is always a medium-size or large follicle present [36, 80, 81], which results in a basal hormone production throughout the cycle. The capacity of follicles to produce E2 and Inh is denoted as “follicular function” in the rest of this paper.
2.3 Follicular waves

Two different patterns of follicle development are identified in mammals. In humans (and rats and pigs), the development of follicles to ovulatory size occurs only during the follicular phase, while in cattle (and sheep and horses), development of follicles to ovulatory or near-ovulatory size occurs throughout the cycle [26]. A normal bovine estrous cycle includes two or three wave-like patterns of follicle development. The ovaries contain a pool of primordial follicles, consisting of an immature oocyte surrounded by a single layer of epithelial cells. Follicle growth starts with the transformation of primordial to primary follicles. Under influence of FSH, a cohort of 8-41 growing follicles emerge [3]. One follicle becomes the dominant follicle and continues to grow [3]. Growing follicles produce increasing amounts of Inh. Inh suppresses FSH secretion, and above a certain Inh production this will cause a decline in FSH serum levels. Inh production declines with ovulation or regression of the dominant follicle, thereby allowing FSH to rise again. This FSH rise induces the emergence of the next follicular wave [27]. Follicle growth is associated with increased mRNA expression for LH and FSH receptors and steroidogenic enzymes, which regulates the follicles ability to produce steroid hormones [6]. Approximately two days after cohort recruitment, one follicle of 8-9 mm in diameter is selected to grow to 15 mm [6]. The growing dominant follicle secretes increased amounts of Inh, which suppresses FSH release, thereby inhibiting the growth of subordinate follicles. Because the dominant follicle increases its sensitivity for FSH and LH, it continues to grow, even when FSH levels are decreased [7, 28]. Follicular stages differ in expression of steroidogenic enzymes and therefore differ in E2 production. Enzyme concentrations in follicles depend on LH and FSH concentrations in the blood and on concentration of activated LH and FSH receptors at the follicle. Deviation of the dominant follicle from the cohort of growing follicles is associated with increased FSH and LH receptor binding, activating the enzymes that catalyze steroidogenesis, resulting in increased E2 production and higher E2 serum levels. If P4 remains at luteal levels, the dominant follicle goes into regression. Follicle regression is associated with down-regulation in mRNA expression of receptors for LH and FSH and steroidogenic enzymes, resulting in declined E2 production [6]. Therefore, neither the LH surge nor ovulation take place [3, 6]. The dominant follicle that is present at the onset of CL regression becomes the ovulatory follicle [3, 6]. In the preovulatory follicle, the LH peak induces a shift from E2 to P4 production [18] by changing the expression of steroidogenic enzymes [6, 72]. Follicle development is modeled as growth of E2 and Inh producing tissue. In the model, emergence of a follicular wave is induced when FSH exceeds a threshold. This threshold for FSH becomes lower when follicles become larger, representing that larger follicles are more sensitive to FSH. Follicle regression is promoted by high P4 levels and by the LH surge (Equation 7). The current model comprises three follicular waves per cycle: two non-ovulatory waves and a third wave that is ovulatory.

2.4 Corpus luteum

The LH surge at the end of the third wave induces ovulation of the dominant follicle and differentiation of cells of the follicular wall into luteal cells [51]. Continued CL growth is stimulated by autocrine and paracrine mechanisms
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[68]. The CL develops within 2-3 days after ovulation, grows until a maximum diameter of about 30 mm, and starts to regress at day 17-18 of the cycle [50, 73], although P4 levels may start to decline earlier [81]. The primary function of the CL is P4 secretion. Maintenance of P4 secretion is prerequisite for pregnancy. If pregnancy does not occur, regression of the CL is required to allow the next ovulation. CL regression is induced by pulsatile PGF2α secretion from the uterus [51]. In each cycle a new CL develops, but CL development is modeled as a continuous function of P4 producing tissue. The capacity of the CL to produce P4 is denoted as “CL function” in the rest of this paper. In the model, CL development is induced by the LH surge. A threshold and delay are incorporated in the effect of LH on the CL, to account for the time required for the process of transition from follicle to CL. If the CL reaches a certain size, it continues to grow without further stimulation by LH. A couple of days after P4 reaches a threshold, PGF2α rises and induces a decline in CL function and thereby in P4 serum levels (Equation 9).

2.5 Dynamics of ovarian and uterine hormones

The production of E2, Inh, P4 and PGF2α depends largely on follicle and CL stage. The follicle is the main producer of E2 and Inh, while the CL mainly produces P4, and PGF2α is produced in the endometrium. E2, P4 and Inh are transported via peripheral blood. Transport of PGF2α to the ovaries occurs via a counter current mechanism between the uterine vein and the ovarian artery [39], but concentration is assumed to be represented by peripheral PGFM, the main PGF2α metabolite [43, 82].

2.5.1 Estradiol

E2 affects LH synthesis and release [31] and FSH release [7, 41]. Furthermore, E2 plays an important role in the expression of estrous behavior (reviewed in [11]). E2 concentration in follicular fluid increases when follicles grow, and the concentration in fluid of ovulatory follicles is twice as high compared to non-ovulatory follicles [3]. Serum peak concentrations measured around estrus range from 6.8-16.1 pg/ml [10, 23, 24, 30, 37, 80]. E2 serum levels are higher in ovulatory compared to non-ovulatory waves [10, 23]. This suggests that the preovulatory follicle has the largest capacity to produce and release E2, although its maximum size is not significantly different from the maximum size of non-ovulatory dominant follicles. E2 production and conductivity of E2 efflux to blood is thus not constant throughout the cycle, but appears to change under influence of differential gene expression patterns affected by LH, FSH, P4 and PGF2α [2, 1]. Considering the results in [2, 1], where a better vascularity of the ovulatory follicle is reported, it is reasonable that the ovulatory follicle can secrete more E2 than non-ovulatory follicles and, consequently, E2 serum levels are highest at estrus. In our model, the rate of E2 production and release to the blood is taken as proportional to follicular function (Equation 11).

2.5.2 Inhibin

Inh inhibits FSH synthesis and thus release [27]. Inh production is increased when follicles grow. Inh secretion by dominant follicles suppresses FSH serum
levels and thereby reduces the growth of subordinate follicles [48]. The dominant follicle expresses more LH and FSH receptors, which means that the sensitivity of the follicle for LH and FSH is increased, and it can therefore continue to grow even when LH and FSH serum levels are low [25]. FSH induces the emergence of a new follicular wave. However, when follicles grow, also Inh concentration grows, which suppresses FSH secretion, and from a certain level on thus causes a decline in FSH serum levels. Inh production declines with ovulation or regression of the dominant follicle, thereby allowing FSH to rise again, and a new follicular wave will emerge [7]. Peak Inh levels in serum are on average 332 pg/ml in non-ovulatory and 464 pg/ml in ovulatory waves respectively, and minimum Inh levels are about 180 pg/ml [55]. There are different forms of inhibin, but only inhibin A is considered in the model, as it is the predominant form in bovine follicular fluid [7]. In our model, Inh production rate is taken as proportional to follicular function (Equation 12).

2.5.3 Progesterone

Serum P4 concentration determines if a dominant follicle will be able to develop to an ovulatory follicle. Ovulation of the dominant follicle of the first two waves of the cycle is inhibited because P4 levels are high, and the follicle will regress. The dominant follicle in the third wave will not regress, because P4 drops below a certain threshold. Ovulation can then take place because the inhibiting effect of P4 on the LH surge is removed [43]. The CL is the main source of P4. P4 levels decline as a result of CL regression [3]. P4 inhibits the luteolytic signal [43], and controls the life span of the CL by regulating uterine PGF$_2\alpha$ secretion [9]. Receptors for E2 and P4 are highly expressed in the CL in the early luteal phase, which probably stimulates P4 secretion and prevents apoptosis [65]. Serum P4 concentration is near to zero around estrus and high during the luteal phase, with average peak levels ranging from 4.1-9.9 ng/ml [4, 17, 23, 38, 71]. A high correlation between CL diameter and P4 output was reported in [58, 64, 81]. However, Adams [4] found a correlation between CL size and P4 serum levels in the early luteal phase only, which could be due to changes in CL vascularity during the cycle, resulting in changes in P4 releasing capacity [46, 50]. In our model, the rate of P4 release into the blood is taken as proportional to CL function (Equation 10).

2.5.4 Prostaglandin F2$\alpha$

Pulsatile PGF$_2\alpha$ release from the uterus induces CL regression, and is regulated by oxytocin (OT), P4 and E2 [67]. In the first half of the cycle, P4 inhibits expression of genes for E2 synthesis and OT receptors in the endometrium, to prevent a too early release of PGF$_2\alpha$ pulses. Simultaneously, P4 stimulates synthesis of enzymes required for PGF$_2\alpha$ production. In the second half of the cycle, expression of P4 receptors is down-regulated and expression of OT receptors is up-regulated, resulting in a gradual decrease in the suppression of PGF$_2\alpha$ [22]. As a result of down-regulation of P4 receptors in the hypothalamus, OT secretion increases, which induces uterine pulses of PGF$_2\alpha$ [47]. At the end of the luteal phase, PGF$_2\alpha$ is secreted in 5-8 discrete pulses with 6-8h interval [67]. A broad range of PGF$_2\alpha$ levels is reported in literature, but in general peak levels are 3-fold higher than basal levels. Average basal and peak levels
are 20-40 pg/ml and 80-150 pg/ml, respectively [22, 44, 66], but higher values (300 and 700 pg/ml for basal and peak levels respectively) were reported in [5]. Exposure to substantial amounts of P4 must last for a couple of days to induce PGF2α pulses [45]. In the model, PGF2α increases a couple of days after P4 levels reach a threshold. P4 with another delay induces the decline in PGF2α a few days later (Equation 8).

2.6 Dynamics of hypothalamic and pituitary hormones

Effects of P4 and E2 on LH and FSH synthesis and release occur directly on the anterior pituitary, but also via pulsatile GnRH release. GnRH is released from the hypothalamus to the anterior pituitary via the portal blood system. LH is required for normal luteal function and follicle growth, and FSH is important during early follicular development.

2.6.1 Gonadotropin releasing hormone

Pulsatile signaling of GnRH regulates LH and FSH release, and controls LH secretion [56]. Because GnRH induces the LH surge, it indirectly induces ovulation [74]. The GnRH pulse generator is located in the hypothalamus and is modulated by P4 and E2 [32]. During the luteal phase, both P4 and E2 suppress the activity of the GnRH pulse generator. During pro-estrus however, elevated E2 induces a GnRH surge [31, 32]. GnRH is produced and stored in hypothalamic neurons and released in pulses when these neurons are stimulated by high E2 concentrations. GnRH is released from the hypothalamus into the portal circulation of the pituitary and binds to GnRH receptors of the anterior pituitary [76]. Low P4 levels, combined with increased E2 serum levels and pulsatile GnRH release up-regulates expression of GnRH receptors [32, 76], which will make the pituitary more sensitive to GnRH. GnRH pulsatility is important because prolonged constant GnRH exposure leads to down-regulation of GnRH receptor [76, 77]. GnRH pulse frequency affects height of the LH peak [76], and also treatment with a single GnRH injection can increase LH peak height [14]. Administration of GnRH induces an LH surge within 30 minutes [54]. In the model, GnRH stimulates LH, resulting in an LH surge concurrently with the GnRH surge. GnRH synthesis is taken constant as long as the amount of GnRH in the hypothalamus is below a threshold (Equation 1). GnRH release is inhibited when P4 levels are above a threshold and when both P4 and E2 levels are above a threshold. GnRH release switches when P4 levels are low and E2 reaches a threshold (Equation 1b), resulting in a surge of GnRH. GnRH concentration in the pituitary depends on GnRH amount released from the hypothalamus, and is further increased by high E2 levels, representing that E2 up-regulates expression of GnRH receptors (Equation 2).

2.6.2 Luteinizing hormone

LH is required for normal CL function and follicle development [76]. The LH surge at the day before ovulation induces ovulation of the ovulatory follicle and formation of the CL. The LH surge is caused by continued increase in serum E2 during the ovulatory wave. Besides direct effects of E2 on the pituitary, E2 stimulates the LH surge because it stimulates GnRH [32]. The LH surge will
shut down E2 and Inh production capacity of the ovulatory follicle [12, 81] and induces a shift from E2 to P4 production [19]. LH level in the blood declines because the LH content of the anterior pituitary is reduced and further LH synthesis therein and release to the blood is decreased because E2 is decreased. Experiments in ewes showed that LH content of the anterior pituitary is highest at the day before ovulation and is declined by 89% two days after ovulation [69].

High P4 levels prevent ovulation in the first two follicular waves, because P4 suppresses the release of LH via the inhibition of the GnRH pulse generator [8]. Additionally, high P4 levels decrease pituitary sensitivity to E2, thereby increasing the amount of E2 required to induce an LH surge above physiological levels [32].

Basal LH levels are about 1-2 ng/ml, and average peak levels of the LH surge range from 6.8-14.5 ng/ml [10, 23, 40], and even higher peak values were found in e.g. [18]. In the model, LH synthesis is stimulated by E2 and inhibited by P4 (Equation 5a). Besides a small basal LH release, there is a surge of LH when GnRH in the pituitary reaches a threshold (Equation 5b).

2.6.3 Follicle stimulating hormone

Each follicular wave is initiated by an increase in FSH release from the anterior pituitary [29]. Growth of small follicles is dependent on FSH [48]. FSH synthesis is inhibited by Inh produced by growing follicles [7]. P4 and E2 modulate FSH release via effects on the anterior pituitary and on the GnRH pulse generator in the hypothalamus. Some studies in cows show an FSH peak concurrently with the LH surge [38, 40], while other studies fail to show a clear peak [4, 10, 24]. Basal FSH levels are about 5-10 ng/ml, and peak levels about 15 ng/ml [10, 24]. In our model, FSH synthesis in the pituitary is increased when Inh levels are below a threshold (Equation 3a). FSH release from the pituitary to the blood is stimulated by P4 and GnRH, and inhibited by E2 (Equation 3b).

3 Mathematical formulation

The mathematical approach used for the bovine model is comparable to the approach used for the model of the human menstrual cycle [60], which has been developed at the Zuse Institute. The system is considered in four compartments: hypothalamus, anterior pituitary, ovaries and uterus, connected through peripheral and portal blood (Figure 1). The model includes the processes of follicle and CL development and the key hormones that interact to control these processes as described in Section 2. The complete mechanisms are shown in Figure 2.

Based on these mechanisms, 12 ordinary differential equations (ODEs) with 54 parameters are formulated. If necessary, time delays are incorporated to model the time between events and their effects, representing the duration of intermediate steps in biological processes. In this case, the ODE is turned into a delay differential equation (DDE). To solve the system of differential equations, we use the solver RADAR5 [33], which has been designed for the solution of stiff delay differential equations.
Figure 1: Schematic representation of the compartments in the model of the bovine estrous cycle.

Figure 2: Complete mechanisms of the bovine model. Boxes represent the 12 key components of the system. Differential equations are derived for these 12 components. Arrows denote functional dependencies. Stimulating and inhibiting effects are indicated by + and - respectively.
3.1 Hill functions

Because the exact mechanisms are often not known or more specific than necessary, Hill functions are used to model stimulatory and inhibitory effects of the hormones. They are used whenever there is a nonlinear relation between two substances. A Hill function is a sigmoidal function between zero and one, which switches at a specified threshold from one level to the other with a specified steepness. Positive Hill functions are used for stimulating effects and are defined as

$$h^+(S(t); T, n) := \frac{S(t)^n}{T^n + S(t)^n}.$$  

$S(t)$ represents the effector, $T$ the threshold for change of behavior, and $n$ controls the steepness of the curve. Negative Hill functions are used for inhibitory effects and are defined as

$$h^-(S(t); T, n) := \frac{T^n}{T^n + S(t)^n}.$$  

Here, the value of the function has its maximum at the lowest value of the initiating substrate $S(t)$, and switches to zero if this substrate passes the threshold $T$.

![Figure 3: Scaled positive Hill functions with different steepness.](image)

Whenever a Hill function is used, it is provided with another parameter $m$ that controls the height of the switch. This parameter serves as maximum stimulatory respectively inhibitory effect. For abbreviation of notation, we use $H^+(S)$ instead of $m \cdot h^+(S(t); T, n)$. We usually choose the steepness coefficient $n = 2$, but, when appropriate, we set $n = 1, 5, $ or $10$ to capture smoother or steeper effects. The complete set of Hill functions is specified in A, and parameter values can be found in B.

3.2 Model equations

The amount of GnRH in the hypothalamus is a result of synthesis in the hypothalamus and release into the pituitary,

$$\frac{d}{dt} GnRH_{Hypo}(t) = Syn_{GnRH}(t) - Rel_{GnRH}(t).$$  

GnRH synthesis depends on its current level in the hypothalamus. If this level approaches a specified threshold, synthesis decreases to zero. This effect is
modeled as logistic growth,

\[ \text{Syn}_{\text{GnRH}}(t) = c_{\text{GnRH},1} \left( 1 - \frac{\text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}(t)}{\text{GnRH}_{\text{max}}_{\text{Hypo}}} \right). \]  

As long as GnRH is far below its maximum, the factor \( 1 - \frac{\text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}(t)}{\text{GnRH}_{\text{max}}_{\text{Hypo}}} \) has only a small impact. The release of GnRH from the hypothalamus to the pituitary is dependent on its current level in the hypothalamus. E2 inhibits GnRH release during the luteal phase, i.e. if P4 and E2 are high at the same time, described by \( H_{1}^{+} (P_4 \& E_2) \). \( H_{1}^{+} (P_4 \& E_2) \) denotes the sum of two Hill functions minus their product, and inhibits GnRH release only if both substrates are above their threshold. Additionally, the release of GnRH is inhibited by P4 only,

\[ \text{Rel}_{\text{GnRH}}(t) = \left( H_{1}^{+} (P_4 \& E_2) + H_{2}^{-} (P_4) \right) \cdot \text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}(t). \]  

Changes in GnRH amount in the pituitary are dependent on the released amount from the hypothalamus, but also on the presence of E2. E2 increases the number of GnRH receptors in the pituitary. This effect is included in the equation as a positive Hill function. GnRH clearance from pituitary portal blood is proportional to the GnRH level in the pituitary, i.e. GnRH clearance is represented by \( c_{\text{GnRH},2} \cdot \text{GnRH}_{\text{Pit}}(t) \), in which \( c_{\text{GnRH},2} \) is a constant,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{GnRH}_{\text{Pit}}(t) = \text{Rel}_{\text{GnRH}}(t) \cdot H_{3}^{+} (E_2) - c_{\text{GnRH},2} \cdot \text{GnRH}_{\text{Pit}}(t). \]  

FSH is synthesized in the pituitary and released into the blood,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{FSH}_{\text{Pit}}(t) = \text{Syn}_{\text{FSH}}(t) - \text{Rel}_{\text{FSH}}(t). \]  

FSH synthesis rate in the pituitary is only dependent on delayed Inh, as in Harris (2001). FSH is synthesized when the Inh level is low, i.e. high Inh levels inhibit FSH synthesis, which is included as a negative Hill function,

\[ \text{Syn}_{\text{FSH}} = H_{4}^{-} (\text{Inh}_{\tau}). \]  

The index \( \tau \) stands for a delayed effect of Inh, i.e. Inh is considered at time \( t - \tau \). FSH release from the pituitary to the blood is stimulated by P4 and GnRH, and inhibited by E2,

\[ \text{Rel}_{\text{FSH}} = \left( H_{5}^{+} (P_4) + H_{6}^{-} (E_2) + H_{7}^{+} (\text{GnRH}_{\text{Pit}}) \right) \cdot \text{FSH}_{\text{Pit}}(t). \]  

Concluding, FSH serum level is a result of the difference between the released amount from the pituitary and clearance in the blood,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{FSH}_{\text{Blood}}(t) = \text{Rel}_{\text{FSH}}(t) - c_{\text{FSH}} \cdot \text{FSH}_{\text{Blood}}(t), \]  

where \( c_{\text{FSH}} \) is the FSH clearance rate constant.

Like FSH, the LH serum level depends on synthesis in the pituitary, release into the blood and clearance thereof,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{LH}_{\text{Pit}}(t) = \text{Syn}_{\text{LH}}(t) - \text{Rel}_{\text{LH}}(t). \]
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LH synthesis in the pituitary is stimulated by E2 and inhibited by P4,

\[ \text{Syn}_{\text{LH}}(t) = H_8^+(E_2) + H_9^-(P_4). \] (5a)

We assume a low constant basal LH release \( b_{\text{LH}} \) from the pituitary into the blood. On top of that, LH release is stimulated by GnRH,

\[ \text{Rel}_{\text{LH}}(t) = (b_{\text{LH}} + H_{10}^+(\text{GnRH}_{\text{Pit}})) \cdot \text{LH}_{\text{Pit}}(t). \] (5b)

Summarizing, LH in the blood is obtained as

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{LH}_{\text{Blood}}(t) = \text{Rel}_{\text{LH}}(t) - c_{\text{LH}} \cdot \text{LH}_{\text{Blood}}(t), \] (6)

where \( c_{\text{LH}} \) is the LH clearance rate constant.

Follicular function is stimulated by FSH, whereas its decrease is promoted by P4 and the LH surge,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{Foll}(t) = H_{11}^+(\text{FSH}) - (H_{12}^+(P_4) + H_{13}^+(\text{LH}_{\text{Blood}})) \cdot \text{Foll}(t). \] (7)

The sensitivity of the follicles to respond to FSH grows with their size. In the model, the threshold of FSH to stimulate the follicular function decreases with increasing follicular function. For this effect of a rising FSH sensitivity, a negative Hill function is included to control the threshold of FSH,

\[ \tilde{T}^{\text{Foll}}_{\text{FSH}}(t) := T^{\text{Foll}}_{\text{FSH}} \cdot h^-(\text{Foll}(t); T^{\text{Foll}}_{\text{FSH}}, 1), \]

and the Hill function for the effect of FSH on follicular function becomes

\[ H_{11}^+(\text{FSH}) := m_{\text{Foll}}^{\text{FSH}} \cdot h^+(\text{FSH}_{\text{Blood}}(t); \tilde{T}^{\text{Foll}}_{\text{FSH}}(t), 2). \] (7a)

PGF2α initiates the functional regression of the CL, and thereby the decrease in P4 levels. After a large time delay, PGF2α synthesis is stimulated by elevated P4 levels above a specified threshold value. The PGF2α level declines a couple of days after its rise, which is included as a delayed positive effect of P4 on the decay of PGF2α,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{PGF2α}(t) = H_{14}^+(P_4, \tau) - H_{15}^+(P_4, \tau) \cdot \text{PGF2α}(t). \] (8)

The LH peak initiates growth of the CL with a specified delay. After reaching a certain size, the CL continues to grow on its own as long as PGF2α is low. The CL starts to regress when PGF2α levels rise above a threshold,

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \text{CL}(t) = H_{16}^+(\text{LH}_\tau) + H_{17}^+(\text{CL}) - H_{18}^+(\text{PGF2α}) \cdot \text{CL}(t). \] (9)

The production of P4 is assumed to be proportional to CL function, and the production of E2 and Inh is assumed to be proportional to follicular function,

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} P_4(t) &= c_{\text{P4}}^{\text{CL}} \cdot \text{CL}(t) - c_{\text{P4}} \cdot P_4(t), \\
\frac{d}{dt} E_2(t) &= c_{\text{Foll}}^{\text{E2}} \cdot \text{Foll}(t) - c_{\text{E2}} \cdot E_2(t), \\
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Inh}(t) &= c_{\text{Foll}}^{\text{Inh}} \cdot \text{Foll}(t) - c_{\text{Inh}} \cdot \text{Inh}(t).
\end{align*} \] (10-12)

The parameters \( c_{\text{P4}}, c_{\text{E2}} \) and \( c_{\text{Inh}} \) denote the respective clearance rate constants.

Figure 2 gives an overview of all mechanisms described by the model equations. Detailed notations for the Hill functions, parameters, and equations are given in A, B, and C respectively.
3.3 Parameter identification and sensitivity analysis

Parameter identification is a mathematical challenge on its own. Many of the parameters are not measurable, sometimes the range of values is known, and some are completely unknown. Under those circumstances, estimating all 54 parameters simultaneously is impossible. To obtain good initial guesses of the parameter values for the optimization procedure, we use a model decomposition approach and successively enlarge the set of estimated parameters.

Figure 4: The first steps in generating good initial guesses for parameter optimization and enlarging the set of estimated parameters.

The first step in parameter estimation is to define input curves representing the development of Inh, P4, and E2 levels in the blood over time. Composition of these input curves is based on published data for endocrine profiles of cows with a normal estrous cycle, see for example [57],

\[
E_2(t) = 0.1111 + 0.44444 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 8)^2}{2}\right) + 0.8889 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 1)^2}{3}\right) + 0.4444 \exp\left(-\frac{(t - 6)^2}{2}\right) + 0.8462 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 29)^2}{3}\right),
\]

\[
P_4(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{(t - 9.5)^2}{30}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 11.5)^2}{30}\right),
\]

\[
Inh(t) = 0.1538 + 0.6154 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 8)^2}{2}\right) + 0.8462 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 1)^2}{2}\right) + 0.6154 \exp\left(-\frac{(t - 6)^2}{2}\right) + 0.6154 \exp\left(-\frac{(t + 29)^2}{2}\right).
\]

Moreover, we use the data for FSH, LH and GnRH as listed in Table 1. These are “hand-made” data chosen in such a way that the data points cover the qualitative behavior of the hormones as described in the literature. Therefore, we refer to these data as *artificial* data. They are normalized to be between zero and one in order to simplify parameter identification. However, as soon as we want to use measurement data with certain dimensions, the model components can be scaled easily. Note that the data points are chosen such that the LH peak occurs on day zero.
Table 1: Artificial data values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>day</th>
<th>LH</th>
<th>GnRH</th>
<th>FSH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-9</td>
<td>0.1105</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8</td>
<td>0.1137</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0.1189</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0.1232</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
<td>0.1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0.1253</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-4</td>
<td>0.1263</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3</td>
<td>0.1368</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0.1579</td>
<td>0.1079</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
<td>0.2632</td>
<td>0.1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1579</td>
<td>0.1079</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1368</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.1263</td>
<td>0.0263</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1253</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1232</td>
<td>0.0232</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1189</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.1137</td>
<td>0.0137</td>
<td>0.1492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1105</td>
<td>0.0105</td>
<td>0.3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>0.7449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5: Simulated curves of the closed model together with the artificial data points used for parameter optimization. Day zero corresponds to the day of LH peak.
Following the approach in [34], we first take a small part of the model: the influence of P4 and E2 on GnRH. For this purpose, P4 and E2 are replaced by the corresponding input curves, and a subset of 10 parameters is optimized such that the simulated GnRH profile fits to the data values from Table 1. Thereafter, we consider the influence of P4, E2, and GnRH on LH, and optimize 8 more parameters to obtain a good fit for the LH blood level. Subsequently, Inh is also replaced by its input curve, and 9 additional parameters are now estimated such that the resulting FSH blood profile fits our artificial data. These first steps are visualized in Figure 4.

Afterwards, the profiles of follicular function, PGF2α and CL function are fitted to be in line with empirical knowledge. Finally, the input curves for P4, E2, and Inh are replaced by their original ODE/DDE description to obtain a closed network. The number of estimated parameters increases to 54 for the complete model. To estimate the remaining parameters, we generate artificial data for E2, P4, and Inh by evaluating the input functions at 21 days with $t = \{-10, -9, \ldots, 10\}$. An overview of the parameters is given in B.

Parameter estimation is done subsequently while generating the components of the model and enlarging the set of parameters. A parameter value obtained by the optimization procedure can be overwritten throughout the modeling process; the previous value then serves as starting value for the optimization procedure in the next modelling step. The final parameter values are listed in Table 2, and the corresponding simulation results are illustrated in Figure 5.

Throughout the model development, parameter identification is performed with the software package NLSCON [53], which has been developed and improved at the Zuse Institute over many years [52, 16]. This program takes into account parameter sensitivities and linear dependencies, and it includes a number of optimization methods such as, for example, affine covariant Gauss-Newton methods [15].

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the results of a sensitivity analysis for the complete model. The column norms of the sensitivity matrix contain the information...
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4 Simulation results

The figures in this section show the computed dynamics of follicle and CL development and accompanying fluctuations in hormone levels over consecutive cycles. The simulation results show that the current set of model parameters generates curves consistent with empirical knowledge for cows with a normal estrous cycle with three follicular waves. Notice that the model generates consecutive cycles that are not entirely identical, but that vary slightly in patterns and peak heights between cycles. Small differences in model output at the end of a cycle result in a different starting point of the next cycle, which leads to variation between the curves. This variation in hormone levels between cycles could well resemble variation within a cow over consecutive cycles. Each estrous cycle contains three waves of follicular growth (Figure 8). The CL starts to grow a few days after ovulation and is large during the first two follicular waves, which suppresses follicle growth. As the follicles grow, Inh increases and thus FSH decreases. Therefore, the support for the growth of the follicles declines, while the negative effect of P4 is still in place, leading to the decline of these follicles. After regression of the CL, the dominant follicle of the third follicular wave can continue to grow, leading to ovulation, which causes a sharp decline in follicular function.

The pattern of serum E2 levels is a result of follicular function (Figures 8 and 9). The third wave of follicular growth takes place when P4 levels are
Figure 8: Output curves of follicular function (Foll) and CL function (CL) over time for one cycle (a) and in consecutive cycles (b).

Figure 9: Output curves of serum concentrations of E2 and LH, and portal concentration of GnRH over time for one cycle (a) and in consecutive cycles (b).
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Figure 10: Output curves of serum concentrations of Inh and FSH over time for one cycle (a) and in consecutive cycles (b).

low, resulting in increased E2 levels. These increased E2 levels induce a steep GnRH and LH surge, which is the trigger for ovulation. Notice that the height of the GnRH surge is determined by the E2 peak level. During the remaining cycle, GnRH and LH levels are low, representing the lower pulse frequency and amplitude compared to the surge.

Increased FSH levels induce the growth of a follicular wave and thereby the start of Inh increase, but FSH is suppressed when Inh levels are above a certain level (Figure 10). Notice that FSH peak levels in the third wave of the cycle differ in consecutive cycles because of corresponding differences in height of the GnRH surge (Figures 9 and 10). When Inh has declined due to follicular regression, FSH increases again and induces the next follicular wave. Because follicular growth is modeled in three waves, also Inh levels rise in three waves in a cycle.

P4 serum levels are proportional to CL function. P4 concentration is small during the first days of the cycle and rises when the CL starts to grow (Figure 8). Notice that a lower LH peak height results in a less steep P4 increase and lower levels of P4 in the following cycle (Figures 9 and 11). Increased P4 levels induce a rise in PGF2α after a couple of days, which causes CL regression and declining P4.
Figure 11: Output curves of serum concentrations of P4 and PGF2α over time for one cycle (a) and in consecutive cycles (b).

5 Discussion and Outlook

The current mathematical model describes the interaction between a number of key physiological processes of the bovine estrous cycle. The model is able to simulate the dynamics of follicle and CL growth and development, as well as the associated hormone level changes in consecutive cycles. The current model comprises 12 equations and 54 parameters. The estrous cycles generated by the model are not entirely identical and could well resemble variations within a cow over consecutive cycles.

Although the current model is based on artificial data describing the mechanisms of an idealized cow, its fitting to measurement data of an individual cow is in principle possible and would represent the next step in the modeling approach. Because empirical data are usually noisy, parameter optimization must then also take into account measurement errors.

In future work, we want to use this model to determine the level of control exerted by various system components on the functioning of the system. Examples of such model applications are to explore the mechanisms that influence the pattern of follicular waves, or to study hormone patterns associated with subfertility. The model can serve as a basis for more elaborate models and simulations, with the ability to study effects of external manipulations and genetic differences. Possible extensions of the model could be in the field of energy metabolism, stress, disease, and factors affecting the expression of estrous behavior. There are relationships between regulation of the estrous cycle and
energy balance, which can cause fertility problems in high producing dairy cows in negative energy balance (for reviews see [20, 62]). Changes in reproductive performance that are associated with high milk production may in part be explained by elevated P4 and E2 clearance rates, as described in the physiological model of [79]. In this physiological model, clearance rates of hormones by the liver of cows with high milk production are increased as a result of elevated feed intake, leading to an increased liver blood flow and metabolic activity. With a similar level of hormone production, circulating hormone levels would thus be lower. Lameness, an example of a stress inducing condition, was found to inhibit the LH surge and ovulation, whereas incidence of estrous behavior (although with less intensity) was not reduced. These observations suggest that stress, caused by lameness, reduces P4 exposure before estrus and/or E2 production by the dominant follicle [21, 78]. Further, a normal endocrinological cycle is prerequisite for appropriate expression of estrous behavior. The relationships found between P4, E2 and intensity of estrous behavior show that hormones involved in regulation of the estrous cycle also affect the expression of estrous behavior [42, 63]. These and other findings and hypotheses about regulation of the bovine estrous cycle could be translated into mathematical equations or modified parameterization and incorporated in the current model.
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A  List of Hill functions

The Hill functions listed below are the full notations of the Hill functions mentioned in Section 3.2 and represent the mechanisms shown in Figure 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1^-(P_4,E_2) & := m_{P_4 E_2} \cdot \left( h^-(P_4(t); T_{P_4}^{GnRH,1},2) + h^-(E_2(t), T_{E_2}^{GnRH,1},2) ight) \\
& \quad - h^-(P_4(t); T_{P_4}^{GnRH,1},2) \cdot h^-(E_2(t), T_{E_2}^{GnRH,1},2) \\
H_2^-(P_4) & := m_{P_4}^{GnRH,2} \cdot h^-(P_4(t), T_{P_4}^{GnRH,2},2) \\
H_3^+(E_2) & := m_{E_2}^{GnRH,2} \cdot h^+(E_2(t), T_{E_2}^{GnRH,2},5) \\
H_4^-(Inh) & := m_{Inh}^{FSH} \cdot h^-(Inh(t - \tau_{inh}), T_{inh}^{FSH},2) \\
H_5^+(P_4) & := m_{P_4}^{FSH} \cdot h^+(P_4(t); T_{P_4}^{FSH},2) \\
H_6^-(E_2) & := m_{E_2}^{FSH} \cdot h^-(E_2(t); T_{E_2}^{FSH},2) \\
H_7^+(GnRH_{P_{4d}}) & := m_{FSH}^{GnRH} \cdot h^-(GnRH_{P_{4d}}(t); T_{GnRH}^{F_{GH}}_{GnRH},1) \\
H_8^+(E_2) & := m_{E_2}^{LH} \cdot h^+(E_2(t); T_{E_2}^{LH},2) \\
H_9^+(P_4) & := m_{P_4}^{LH} \cdot h^+(P_4(t); T_{P_4}^{LH},2) \\
H_{10}^+(GnRH_{P_{4d}}) & := m_{LH}^{GnRH} \cdot h^+(GnRH_{P_{4d}}(t); T_{GnRH}^{LH}_{GnRH},2) \\
H_{11}^+(FSH) & := m_{FSH}^{P_{F_{SH}}} \cdot h^+(FSH_{Blood}(t); T_{FSH}^{F_{SH}}_{FSH}(t),2) \\
& \quad \cdot \tilde{T}_{FSH}^{F_{SH}}(t) := T_{FSH}^{F_{SH}} \cdot h^-(Foll(t); T_{F_{SH}}^{F_{SH}},1) \\
H_{12}^+(P_4) & := m_{P_4}^{Foll} \cdot h^+(P_4(t); T_{P_4}^{Foll},2) \\
H_{13}^+(LH) & := m_{LH}^{Foll} \cdot h^+(LH_{Blood}(t); T_{LH}^{Foll},2) \\
H_{14}^+(P_{4d}) & := m_{P_{4d}}^{PGF2\alpha,1} \cdot h^+(P_4(t - \tau_{P_{4d}}); T_{P_{4d}}^{PGF2\alpha},2) \\
H_{15}^+(P_{4d}) & := m_{P_{4d}}^{PGF2\alpha,2} \cdot h^+(P_4(t - \tau_{P_{4d}}); T_{P_{4d}}^{PGF2\alpha},10) \\
H_{16}^+(LH_{P_{4d}}) & := m_{LH}^{CL} \cdot h^+(LH_{Blood}(t - \tau_{LH}); T_{LH}^{CL},2) \\
H_{17}^+(CL) & := m_{CL}^{CL} \cdot h^+(CL(t); T_{CL}^{CL},2) \\
H_{18}^+(PGF2\alpha) & := m_{PGF2\alpha}^{CL} \cdot h^+(PGF2\alpha(t); T_{PGF2\alpha},1)
\end{align*}
\]
B List of parameters

In our model, \([\cdot]\) stands for the unit of the substance, usually a concentration, and can be specified from measurements. Typical units are \([\text{FSH}]=[\text{LH}]=\text{IU/l}\), \([\text{P4}]=\text{ng/ml}\), and \([\text{E2}]=\text{pg/ml}\). \(t\) denotes “time”; in our model \([t]\) stands for “days”.

Table 2: List of parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(GnRH_{\text{Hypo}}^{\text{max}})</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>([\text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}])</td>
<td>maximum value for GnRH in the hypothalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(c_{GnRH,1})</td>
<td>4.657</td>
<td>([\text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}]/[t])</td>
<td>synthesis rate constant of GnRH in the hypothalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(m_{P4,E2})</td>
<td>1.464</td>
<td>([\text{GnRH}_{\text{Hypo}}]/[t])</td>
<td>maximum part of GnRH synthesis rate constant inhibited by E2 and P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(T_{E2}^{GnRH,1})</td>
<td>0.1433</td>
<td>([\text{E2}])</td>
<td>threshold of E2 to suppress GnRH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(T_{P4}^{GnRH,1})</td>
<td>0.0294</td>
<td>([\text{P4}])</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to allow E2 suppression of GnRH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(m_{E2,P4}^{GnRH,2})</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of GnRH synthesis rate constant inhibited by P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(T_{P4}^{GnRH,2})</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>([\text{P4}])</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to inhibit GnRH release directly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(m_{E2}^{GnRH,2})</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>([\text{GnRH}<em>{\text{Pit}}]/[\text{GnRH}</em>{\text{Hypo}}])</td>
<td>maximum scaling of pituitary sensitivity for GnRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>(T_{E2}^{GnRH,2})</td>
<td>1.276</td>
<td>([\text{E2}])</td>
<td>threshold of E2 to increase pituitary sensitivity for GnRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>(c_{GnRH,2})</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>GnRH clearance rate constant in the pituitary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(T_{\text{Inh}})</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>delay of Inh in FSH synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(m_{\text{Inh}}^{FSH})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>([\text{FSH}]/[t])</td>
<td>maximum FSH synthesis rate in the pituitary in the absence of Inh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>(T_{\text{Inh}}^{FSH})</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>([\text{Inh}])</td>
<td>threshold of Inh for inhibition of FSH synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(m_{P4}^{FSH})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of FSH release rate that is stimulated by P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>(T_{P4}^{FSH})</td>
<td>0.0966</td>
<td>([\text{P4}])</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to stimulate FSH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>(m_{E2}^{FSH})</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of FSH release rate that is inhibited by E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(T_{E2}^{FSH})</td>
<td>2.846</td>
<td>([\text{E2}])</td>
<td>threshold of E2 to inhibit FSH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>(m_{GnRH}^{FSH})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of FSH release rate that is stimulated by GnRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>(T_{GnRH}^{FSH})</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>([\text{GnRH}])</td>
<td>threshold of GnRH to stimulate FSH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>(c_{FSH})</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>FSH clearance rate constant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on next page...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>$m_{E_2}^{LH}$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[LH]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of LH synthesis that is stimulated by E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$T_{E_2}^{LH}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>[E2]</td>
<td>threshold of E2 to stimulate LH synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>$m_{P_4}^{LH}$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>[LH]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of LH synthesis that is inhibited by P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$T_{P_4}^{LH}$</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>[P4]</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to inhibit LH synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>$m_{GnRH}^{LH}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of LH release rate that is stimulated by GnRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$T_{GnRH}^{LH}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>[GnRH]</td>
<td>threshold of GnRH to stimulate LH release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>$b_{LH}$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>basal LH release rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$c_{LH}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>LH clearance rate constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>$m_{FSH}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>[Foll]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum increase of follicular function stimulated by FSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$T_{FSH}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>[FSH]</td>
<td>threshold of FSH to stimulate follicular function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>$T_{Foll}^{FSH}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>[Foll]</td>
<td>threshold of follicular function to downscale FSH threshold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$m_{P_4}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of follicular decay stimulated by P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>$T_{P_4}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>0.1127</td>
<td>[P4]</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to stimulate decrease of follicular function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>$m_{LH}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1/[t]</td>
<td>maximum part of follicular decay stimulated by LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$T_{LH}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>[LH]</td>
<td>threshold of LH to stimulate decrease of follicular function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>$\tau_{P_4,1}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>delay of P4 until stimulating PGF2α increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>$m_{P_4,1}^{PGF2\alpha}$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>[PGF2α]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum growth rate of PGF2α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>$T_{P_4}^{PGF2\alpha,1}$</td>
<td>0.1672</td>
<td>[P4]</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to stimulate PGF2α increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>$\tau_{P_4,2}$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>delay of P4 until stimulating PGF2α decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>$m_{P_4}^{PGF2\alpha,2}$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>[PGF2α]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum decay rate of PGF2α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>$T_{P_4}^{PGF2\alpha,2}$</td>
<td>0.0966</td>
<td>[P4]</td>
<td>threshold of P4 to stimulate PGF2α decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>$\tau_{LH}$</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>[t]</td>
<td>delay of LH in CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>$m_{LH}^{CL}$</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>[CL]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum increase of CL stimulated by LH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>$T_{LH}^{CL}$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>[LH]</td>
<td>threshold of LH to stimulate CL increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>$m_{CL}^{CL}$</td>
<td>0.0334</td>
<td>[CL]/[t]</td>
<td>maximum increase of CL stimulated by itself</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on next page...
Table 2 – continued from previous page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>$T_{CL}^{CL}$</td>
<td>0.0651</td>
<td>[CL]</td>
<td>threshold of CL to stimulate self-growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>$m_{PGF2a}^{PGF2a}$</td>
<td>6.536</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>$T_{PGF2a}^{CL}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[PGF2α]</td>
<td>threshold of PGF2α to initiate decrease of CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>$c_{P4}^{CL}$</td>
<td>3.856</td>
<td>([P4]/[CL])</td>
<td>1/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>$c_{P4}$</td>
<td>2.737</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>$c_{E2}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>([E2]/[Foll])</td>
<td>1/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>$c_{E2}^{Inh}$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>$c_{Inh}^{Foll}$</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>([Inh]/[Foll])</td>
<td>1/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>$c_{Inh}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C List of equations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Initial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GnRH$_{Pit}$</td>
<td>1.598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GnRH$_{Blood}$</td>
<td>0.05003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FSH$_{Pit}$</td>
<td>0.3994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FSH$_{Blood}$</td>
<td>0.7996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LH$_{Pit}$</td>
<td>20.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LH$_{Blood}$</td>
<td>0.1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Foll</td>
<td>0.3988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PGF2α</td>
<td>0.03992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>0.9808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>P₄</td>
<td>0.9995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>E₂</td>
<td>0.009995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Inh</td>
<td>0.1001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Initial values

The equations listed below are the full notations of the equations developed in Section 3.2. Parameters are denoted with $p$ and are numbered according to Table 2. Components numbering and initial values can be found in Table 3.
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} y_1(t) &= p_2 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{y_1(t)}{p_1}\right) - \left(p_3 \cdot (h^-(y_{10}(t); p_5, 2) + h^-(y_{11}(t); p_4, 2)\right) \\
&\quad - h^-(y_{10}(t); p_5, 2) \cdot h^-(y_{11}(t); p_4, 2)) - p_6 \cdot h^-(y_{10}(t); p_7, 2)) \cdot y_1(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_2(t) &= \left(p_3 \cdot (h^-(y_{10}(t); p_5, 2) + h^-(y_{11}(t), p_4, 2)\right) \\
&\quad - h^-(y_{10}(t); p_5, 2) \cdot h^-(y_{11}(t); p_4, 2)\right) + p_6 \cdot h^-(y_{10}(t); p_7, 2)) \cdot y(1) \cdot p_8 \cdot h^+(y_{11}(t); p_9, 5) - p_{10} \cdot y_2(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_3(t) &= p_{12} \cdot h^-(y_{12}(t - p_{11}); p_{13}, 2) - \left(p_{14} \cdot h^+(y_{10}(t); p_{15}, 2)\right) \\
&\quad + p_{16} \cdot h^-(y_{11}(t); p_{17}, 2) + p_{18} \cdot h^+(y_2(t); p_{19}, 1) \cdot y_3(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_4(t) &= \left(p_{14} \cdot h^+(y_{10}(t); p_{15}, 2) + p_{16} \cdot h^-(y_{11}(t); p_{17}, 2)\right) \\
&\quad + p_{18} \cdot h^+(y_2(t); p_{19}, 1) \cdot y_3(t) - p_{20} \cdot y_4(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_5(t) &= p_{21} \cdot h^+(y_{11}(t); p_{22}, 2) + p_{23} \cdot h^-(y_{10}(t); p_{24}, 2)\right) - \left(p_{27} + p_{25} \cdot h^+(y_2(t); p_{26}, 2)\right) \cdot y_5(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_6(t) &= (p_{27} + p_{25} \cdot h^+(y_2(t); p_{26}, 2)) \cdot y_5(t) - p_{28} \cdot y_6(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_7(t) &= p_{29} \cdot h^+(y_4(t); p_{30} \cdot h^-(y_7(t); p_{31}, 1), 2) \\
&\quad - \left(p_{32} \cdot h^+(y_{10}(t); p_{33}, 2) + p_{34} \cdot h^+(y_6(t); p_{35}, 2)\right) \cdot y_7(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_8(t) &= p_{37} \cdot h^+(y_{10}(t - p_{36}); p_{38}, 2) - p_{40} \cdot h^+(y_{10}(t - p_{39}); p_{41}, 10) \cdot y_8(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_9(t) &= p_{41} \cdot h^+(y_6(t - p_{42}); p_{44}, 2) + p_{45} \cdot h^+(y_9(t); p_{46}, 2)\right) - p_{47} \cdot h^+(y_8(t); p_{48}, 2) \cdot y_9(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_{10}(t) &= p_{49} \cdot y_9(t) - p_{50} \cdot y_{10}(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_{11}(t) &= p_{51} \cdot y_7(t) - p_{52} \cdot y_{11}(t) \\
\frac{d}{dt} y_{12}(t) &= p_{53} \cdot y_7(t) - p_{54} \cdot y_{12}(t)
\end{align*}
\]
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