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## 1 Introduction

Nowadays the semiconductor devices in an electrical circuit are modelled by equivalent circuits containing basic network elements described by algebraic and ordinary differential equations. But the correct adjustment of these circuits has become a very difficult task for the network design. In [1] a new model for electrical circuits containing semiconductor devices is proposed. There the differential algebraic equations (DAEs) for the basic circuit's elements are coupled to partial differential equations (PDEs), more specifically to one-dimensional Drift-Diffusion (DD) equations, modelling the semiconductor devices in it. Systems of this type are called Abstract Differential Algebraic Systems (ADAS). In [7] the tractability index [4] of this model is analysed and in [6] it is proved that the DAE obtained after discretization in space of the DD equations in it has the same index as the abstract system. In this work we study the index of an abstract system where higher dimensional PDEs describe the behavior of the semiconductor devices in the circuit. In the next section the model is briefly described. The section 3 is devoted to the study of the index of the system, as ADAS. Finally, in section 4 it is shown that the index of the DAE that is obtained after discretization in space of the PDEs is equal to the index of the abstract system. In what follows we consider electrical circuits with only one semiconductor device, the results can easily be generalized to circuits containing more semiconductor devices.

## 2 Abstract Differential Algebraic System for the simulation of electrical circuits

Suppose $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in\{1,2,3\}, x \in \Omega$ represents the space variable and $t$ is the time variable, $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{F}\right]$. The system proposed in [7] for the simulation of electrical circuits containing semiconductor devices couples the Modified Nodal Analysis (MNA) equations for electrical circuits to the DD equations for semiconductor devices.

[^0]The MNA equations for an electrical circuit have the form

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{C} \frac{\mathrm{~d} q_{C}\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}+A_{R} g\left(A_{R}^{T} e, t\right)+A_{L} j_{L}+A_{V} j_{V}+A_{S} j_{S}+A_{I} i_{S} & =0  \tag{1a}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi\left(j_{L}, t\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}-A_{L}^{T} e & =0  \tag{1b}\\
A_{V}^{T} e-v_{S} & =0 \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{C}, A_{R}, A_{L}, A_{V}, A_{S}$ and $A_{I}$ are the element related reduced incidence matrices, $v_{S}(t)$, $i_{S}(t), q_{C}(u, t), g(u, t)$ and $\phi(j, t)$ are given functions and the unknowns are the node potentials, excepting the mass node $e(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{N}}$ and the currents through inductors, voltage sources and semiconductor devices $j_{L}(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}}, j_{V}(t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{V}}$ and $j_{S}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}}$ respectively. The DD equations are given by the following set of PDEs for the electrostatic potential $\psi(x, t)$ and the electrons and holes densities, $n(x, t)$ and $p(x, t)$ respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot(-\varepsilon \nabla \psi)-q(C-n+p) & =0  \tag{1d}\\
-\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{q} \operatorname{div} J_{n}-R=0, \quad J_{n}-q \mu_{n}\left(U_{T} \nabla n-n \nabla \psi\right) & =0  \tag{1e}\\
\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}+\frac{1}{q} \operatorname{div} J_{p}+R=0, \quad J_{p}+q \mu_{p}\left(U_{T} \nabla p+p \nabla \psi\right) & =0 \tag{1f}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider $R=R(n, p), \mu_{n}=\mu_{n}(x), \mu_{p}=\mu_{p}(x)$ and $\varepsilon, q$ and $U_{T}$ as constants. In (1d)-(1f), as suggested in [3], we replace the Poisson equation (1d) by the energy conservation equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot\left(J_{n}+J_{p}-\varepsilon \partial_{t} \nabla \psi\right)=0 \tag{1g}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained after differentiation of (1d) with respect to time and elimination of $\frac{\partial n}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}$ from the continuity equations (1e) and (1f).

We consider the boundary of the semiconductor device to be divided in two disjoint parts $\Gamma=\Gamma_{O} \cup \Gamma_{A}$. The first one are the metal semiconductor contacts (Ohmic contacts) where the external potentials are applied and the second one is an artificial boundary. The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
n=n_{D}(x), p=p_{D}(x), \psi=\psi_{b i}(x)+\psi_{D}(x, e) & \text { on } \Gamma_{O}  \tag{1h}\\
\text { and } \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial n}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \Gamma_{A} \tag{1i}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi_{D}$ denotes the externally applied bias, it depends on the node potentials of the circuit.
Suppose $\Gamma_{O}=\cup_{j=1}^{n_{S}+1} \Gamma_{j}$. The current flowing through the contact $\Gamma_{i} \subset \Gamma_{O}$ of the semiconductor is $j_{i}=\int_{\Gamma_{i}} J_{t o t} \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} \sigma$ where $J_{t o t}=J_{n}+J_{p}-\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \psi$. If $f(x)=\left(f_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) \ldots f_{n_{S}}(x)\right)$ is such that

$$
\Delta f_{i}=0 \text { in } \Omega,\left.\quad f_{i}\right|_{\Gamma_{j}}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } i=j  \tag{1j}\\
0 & \text { else }
\end{array}, j=1,2, \ldots, n_{S}+1,\left.\quad\left(\nabla f_{i} \cdot \nu\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0\right.
$$

the current through $\Gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{S}$ can be calculated as [3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{i} & =\int_{\Gamma_{i}} J_{t o t} \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{\Gamma} J_{t o t} \cdot \nu f_{i} \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{\Omega} J_{t o t} \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \\
j_{i} & =-\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

The current at $\Gamma_{n_{S}+1}$ is the negative sum of the currents through the other contacts ${ }^{1}$. Suppose the contact $\Gamma_{i}$ of the semiconductor device is joined to the $k_{i}$-th node of the circuit for $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n_{S}+1$. We set $\psi_{D}(x, e)=e_{k_{i}}-e_{k_{n_{S}+1}} \forall x \in \Gamma_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{S}$, and $\psi_{D}(x, e)=0, \forall x \in$ $\Gamma_{n_{S}+1}$. Then according to the definition of $A_{S}$ in $[7], \psi_{D}(x, e)=f(x) \cdot A_{S}^{T} e$. Following the convention in [2] the vector $j_{S}$ must be such that

$$
\begin{align*}
j_{S_{i}} & =-j_{i}=-\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{S} \\
j_{S_{i}} & =-\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} j_{S_{i}}^{d}, \quad j_{S_{i}}^{d}=-\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1k}
\end{align*}
$$

Let the following assumptions on the circuit equations be satisfied in the forthcoming sections:

1. the input functions $v_{S}(t)$ and $i_{S}(t)$, associated to the independent voltage and current sources respectively, are continuous,
2. the functions $q_{C}(u, t), \phi(j, t)$ and $g(u, t)$ are continuously differentiable and have positive definite partial Jacobians

$$
C(u, t)=\frac{\partial q_{C}(u, t)}{\partial u}, \quad L(j, t)=\frac{\partial \phi(j, t)}{\partial j}, \quad G(u, t)=\frac{\partial g(u, t)}{\partial u}
$$

3. the circuit contains neither loops of voltage sources only nor cut sets of current sources only. These two conditions hold if and only if the matrices $A_{V}$ and $\left(A_{C} A_{R} A_{L} A_{V} A_{S}\right)^{T}$ have full column rank, respectively,
4. the function $R(n, p)$ is continuously differentiable,
5. the functions $\mu_{n}(x)$ and $\mu_{p}(x)$ are bounded.

## 3 Tractability index of the Abstract Differential Algebraic System

If $u=\left(e, j_{L}, j_{V}, j_{S}, j_{S}^{d}, \psi(\cdot, t), n(\cdot, t), p(\cdot, t)\right)$ the above described model, after homogenization of the electrostatic potential and the densities of electrons and holes ${ }^{2}$, can be written as

[^1]$\mathcal{A} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathcal{D}(u, t)+\mathcal{B}(u, t)=0$ with
\[

\left($$
\begin{array}{c}
A_{R} g\left(A_{R}^{T} e, t\right)+A_{L} j_{L}+A_{V} j_{V}+A_{S} j_{S}+A_{I} i_{S}(t)  \tag{2a}\\
-A_{L}^{T} e \\
A_{V}^{T} e-v_{S}(t) \\
j_{S}^{d}+\varepsilon\left(\begin{array}{c}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(\psi+f \cdot A_{S}^{T} e\right) \cdot \nabla f_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\vdots \\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla\left(\psi+f \cdot A_{S}^{T} e\right) \cdot \nabla f_{n_{S}} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{array}\right) \\
j_{S}+\left(\begin{array}{c}
\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla f_{1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
\vdots \\
\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla f_{n S} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{array}\right) \\
\nabla \cdot\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \\
\frac{1}{q} \cdot J_{n}-R \\
\frac{1}{q} \nabla \cdot J_{p}+R
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}(u, t)=\left(A_{C}^{+} A_{C} q_{C}\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right), \phi\left(j_{L}, t\right), j_{S}^{d}, \nabla \cdot(-\varepsilon \nabla \psi),-n, p\right)^{T} \tag{2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2) $J_{n}=q \mu_{n}\left(U_{T} \nabla\left(n+g_{2}\right)-\left(n+g_{2}\right) \nabla\left(\psi+f \cdot A_{S}^{T} e+g_{1}\right)\right)$, $J_{p}$ has a similar structure and $A_{C}^{+}$is the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of $A_{C} . \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are operators acting on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{D}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{B}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^{n_{N}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{V}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times V \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Y}=\mathbb{R}^{n_{N}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{V}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega), \\
\mathcal{Z}=\mathbb{R}^{n_{C}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega),
\end{array}
$$

where $V=\left\{v \in H^{2}(\Omega)|v|_{\Gamma_{O}}=0,\left.(\nabla v \cdot \nu)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0\right\}$. Note that the definition domain $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\mathcal{B}(u, t)$,

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}=\mathbb{R}^{n_{N}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{V}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times V \times V \times V,
$$

is dense in $\mathcal{X}$. The Fréchet derivative of $\mathcal{D}(u, t)$ is

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A_{C}^{+} A_{C} C\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right) A_{C}^{T} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & L\left(j_{L}, t\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\varepsilon \Delta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\
0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & & 0 & \\
0 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

and because the equation $-\varepsilon \Delta u=f$, completed with homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann conditions, has a unique solution for all $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{im} \mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)=\operatorname{im} A_{C}^{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega), \\
\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)=\left\{w \in \mathcal{X} \mid w_{e} \in \operatorname{ker} A_{C}^{T}, w_{L}=0, w_{S}^{d}=0, w_{\psi}=0, w_{n}=0, w_{p}=0\right\}
\end{array}
$$

On the other hand, the operator $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{A}=\operatorname{ker} A_{C} \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \\
\operatorname{im} \mathcal{A}=\operatorname{im} A_{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{L}} \times\{0\} \times\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{S}} \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega) \times L^{2}(\Omega)
\end{array}
$$

The coupled system has a properly stated leading term because

$$
\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{A} \oplus \operatorname{im} \mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)=\mathcal{Z}, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{X}, \forall t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{F}\right]
$$

and there is a projector $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Z})^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{im} \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{im} \mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)$, $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{A}^{4}$.
Remark The functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots f_{n_{S}}$ defined above are a basis of the linear space

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{v \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid \Delta v=0 \text { in } \Omega,\left.(\nabla v \cdot \nu)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0,\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{j}}=a_{j},\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{n_{S}+1}}=0\right\}
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{S}$ and $a_{j} \in \mathbb{R} \forall j$. Because $(u, v)_{\mathcal{F}}=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x$ is a scalar product in $\mathcal{F}$, the matrix

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla f_{1} \cdot \nabla f_{1} d x & \ldots & \int_{\Omega} \nabla f_{1} \cdot \nabla f_{n_{S}} d x  \tag{3}\\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\int_{\Omega} \nabla f_{n_{S}} \cdot \nabla f_{1} d x & \cdots & \int_{\Omega} \nabla f_{n_{S}} \cdot \nabla f_{n_{S}} d x
\end{array}\right),
$$

is positive definite.
Theorem 3.1 If the conditions on the circuit mentioned in section 2 are satisfied and the circuit contains neither cut sets of inductors and current sources (LI-cut sets) nor loops of capacitors, voltage sources and semiconductor devices with at least one voltage source or one semiconductor device (CVS-loops), the abstract system has tractability index one.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{G}_{0}(u, t)=\mathcal{A D}_{0}(u, t)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{0}(u, t)$ denote the Fréchet-derivative of $\mathcal{B}$. Under the conditions in section $2 \mathcal{B}_{0}(u, t)$ exists. The system has tractability index one if there is a projection operator $\mathcal{Q}_{0} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X})$ onto $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{0}(u, t)$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)=\mathcal{G}_{0}(u, t)+\mathcal{B}_{0}(u, t) \mathcal{Q}_{0}$ is injective and $\overline{\operatorname{im} \mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)}=\mathcal{Y}$ for all $u \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{F}\right]$.

Because the system has a properly stated leading term, $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{0}(u, t)=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{0}(u, t)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{0}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}Q_{C} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ is a projection operator onto $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{0}(u, t)$ if $Q_{C}$ is a projector onto $\operatorname{ker} A_{C}^{T}$. The |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

operator $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ can easily be calculated. Let $w=\left(w_{e}, w_{L}, w_{V}, w_{S}, w_{S}^{d}, w_{\psi}, w_{n}, w_{p}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)$. The fourth equation of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t) w=0$ is $\varepsilon J A_{S}^{T} Q_{C} w_{e}=0$ where $J$ is the matrix in (3), then $\varepsilon J A_{S}^{T} Q_{C} w_{e}=0$ iff $A_{S}^{T} Q_{C} w_{e}=0$. The sixth equation of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t) w=0$ is $-\varepsilon \Delta w_{\psi}=0$, it implies that $w_{\psi}=0$. The rest of the proof is very similar to the ones in [7] or in [6]. We arrive to

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
w \mid w_{\psi}= & 0, w_{n}=0, w_{p}=0, Q_{C} w_{e} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(A_{C} A_{R} A_{V} A_{S}\right.
\end{aligned}\right)^{T} \\
P_{C} w_{e}=-
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $H_{C}\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right)=A_{C} C\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right) A_{C}^{T}+Q_{C}^{T} Q_{C}$ is positive definite. If the circuit contains neither LI-cut sets $\left(\left(A_{C} A_{R} A_{V} A_{S}\right)^{T}\right.$ has full column rank) nor CVS-loops with at least one voltage

[^2]source or one semiconductor device $\left(\left(Q_{C}^{T} A_{V} Q_{C}^{T} A_{S}\right)\right.$ has full column rank), then ker $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)=$ $\{0\}$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)$ is injective. The dense solvability of $\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)\left(\overline{\operatorname{im} \mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)}=\mathcal{Y}\right)$ can be shown using similar arguments as those in [7] and taking into account that $J$ is nonsingular $\square$.

Suppose the circuit contains LI-cut sets or CVS-loops with at least one voltage source or one semiconductor device. Let $Q_{C R V S}$ be a projector onto $\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{C} A_{R} A_{V} A_{S}\right)^{T}$ and $Q_{C-V S}$, a projector onto $\operatorname{ker}\left(Q_{C}^{T} A_{V} Q_{C}^{T} A_{S}\right)$. Because $\operatorname{im} Q_{C R V S} \subset \operatorname{im} Q_{C}, Q_{C R V S}$ can be constructed so that $\operatorname{ker} Q_{C} \subset \operatorname{ker} Q_{C R V S}$. A projector $\mathcal{Q}_{1}(u, t)$ onto $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)$ is then

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}(u, t)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
Q_{C R V S} & 0-H_{C}(\cdot)^{-1}\left(A_{V} A_{S}\right) Q_{C-V S} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
L(\cdot)^{-1} A_{L}^{T} Q_{C R V S} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{C-V S} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -(0 I) Q_{C-V S} & 000 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2 Under the conditions mentioned in section 2 and if the circuit contains LI-cut sets or CVS-loops, the coupled system has tractability index two.

Proof: The ADAS has index two if the operator $\mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t)=\mathcal{G}_{1}(u, t)+\mathcal{B}_{0}(u, t)\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{0}\right) \mathcal{Q}_{1}(u, t)$ is injective and densely solvable for all $u \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{F}\right]$.

The operator $\mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t)$ can easily be calculated. Let $w$ be an element in $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t)$. The third and fourth equations of $\mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t) w=0$, pre-multiplied by $Q_{C-V S}^{T}$, can be written as

$$
-Q_{C-V S}^{T}\left\{\binom{A_{V}^{T}}{A_{S}^{T}} H_{C}(\cdot)^{-1}\left(A_{V} A_{S}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} J^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right\} Q_{C-V S}\binom{w_{V}}{w_{S}}=0
$$

Because $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} J^{-1}\end{array}\right)$ is positive semidefinite and $H_{C}^{-1}(\cdot)$ is positive definite, equation (4) is satisfied iff $Q_{C-V S}\binom{w_{V}}{w_{S}}=0$. The rest of the proof is very similar to the ones in [7] or in [6]. We arrive to $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t)=\{0\}$. The dense solvability of $\mathcal{G}_{2}(u, t)$ can be proved following the lines in [7]

## 4 Index of the Discrete System

Suppose that the coupled system, after discretization in space of the Drift-Diffusion equations has the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{C} \frac{\mathrm{~d} q_{C}\left(A_{C}^{T} e, t\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}+A_{R} g\left(A_{R}^{T} e, t\right)+A_{L} j_{L}+A_{V} j_{V}+A_{S} j_{S}+A_{I} i_{S} & =0,  \tag{5a}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi\left(j_{L}, t\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}-A_{L}^{T} e & =0,  \tag{5b}\\
A_{V}^{T} e-v_{S} & =0,  \tag{5c}\\
j_{S}^{d}+J_{h} A_{S}^{T} e+g(y) & =0,  \tag{5d}\\
j_{S}+j_{S}^{c}\left(A_{S}^{T} e, y, t\right)+\frac{\mathrm{d} j_{S}^{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =0,  \tag{5e}\\
A \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}+b\left(A_{S}^{T} e, y, t\right) & =0, \tag{5f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is a nonsingular matrix and $J_{h}$ is positive definite. The vector $y$ is $y=(\Psi, N, P)^{T}$ and $\Psi, N$ and $P$ define the approximations to $\psi(x, t), n(x, t)$ and $p(x, t)$ by the discretization method. Then, in a similar way as in the previous section it can be shown that its index is always less or equal to two and it is two only if the circuit contains LI-cut sets or CVS-loops.

### 4.1 The Scharfetter-Gummel discretization of the Drift-Diffusion equations

If the so-called Scharfetter-Gummel Discretization is applied to the DD equations in (2) the resulting DAE has the same structure as (5). The Scharfetter-Gummel scheme can be described as a Finite Element Method for the discretization of the Drift-Diffusion equations that is based on the assumption that the current densities $J_{n}$ and $J_{p}$ are constant on each element (triangles, tetrahedrons, etc) of the spatial mesh. For a detailed description of this method we refer to [5].

Suppose $\mathcal{T}=\left\{T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{K}\right\}$ is a conforming triangulation of $\Omega$ and $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{M}, \ldots, P_{N}\right\}$ denotes the set of vertices of elements in $\mathcal{T}$, where $P_{i} \in \Omega \cup \Gamma_{A}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, M$. Let $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{N}\right\}$ be continuous functions that are linear on each $T_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$ and satisfy

$$
\varphi_{i}\left(P_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } i=j \\ 0, & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

The coefficients that define the approximation $\psi_{h}(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Psi_{j}(t) \varphi_{j}(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sum_{T \ni P_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Psi_{j} \int_{T} \nabla \varphi_{j} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, M$. The last $N-M$ values of $\Psi_{j}$ are $\Psi_{j}=\psi_{b i}\left(P_{j}\right)+f_{h}\left(P_{j}\right) \cdot A_{S}^{T} e$ where $f_{h}=\left(f_{1, h}, f_{2, h}, \ldots, f_{n_{S}, h}\right)$ are approximations to the functions $f_{i}$ defined in (1j). Suppose the functions $f_{i, h}$ are calculated as $\sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{i, h}\left(P_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}(x)$. If we substitute $\Psi_{j}, j=M+1, M+2, \ldots, N$ in (6) by their values and introduce the change of variables $\tilde{\Psi}_{j}=\Psi_{j}-f_{h}\left(P_{j}\right) \cdot A_{S}^{T} e, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, M^{5}$ the following equations are obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sum_{T \ni P_{i}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \tilde{\Psi}_{j} \int_{T} \nabla \varphi_{j} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega}\left(J_{n}+J_{p}\right) \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x=0 . \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discretized continuity equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sum_{T \ni P_{i}} \int_{T} n \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} J_{n} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\Omega} R \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x & =0  \tag{7b}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sum_{T \ni P_{i}} \int_{T} p \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} J_{p} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\Omega} R \varphi_{i} \mathrm{~d} x & =0 \tag{7c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, M$. If the integrals involving derivatives with respect to the time of $n$ and $p$ are approximated by a quadrature formula the system (7) has the form $A \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}+b\left(A_{S}^{T} e, y, t\right)=0$ where $A$ is a nonsingular matrix and $y=(\tilde{\Psi}, N, P)^{T}$. The equations for $j_{S}^{d}$ can be written as $j_{S_{i}}^{d}+\varepsilon J_{h} A_{S}^{T} e+g(\tilde{\Psi})=0$ with a positive definite matrix $J_{h}$ that has the same form as (3) but with the functions $f_{i, h}(x)$ instead of $f_{i}(x)$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sum of the currents at the contacts of the semiconductor is zero, $\sum_{i=1}^{n_{S}+1} j_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{S}+1} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} J_{t o t} \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} s=$ $\int_{\Gamma} J_{t o t} \cdot \nu \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot J_{t o t} \mathrm{~d} x=0$.
    ${ }^{2} \psi=\psi-f(x) \cdot A_{S}^{T} e-g_{1}(x)$ where $g_{1}(x)$ is such that $\left.\left(\nabla g_{1} \cdot \nu\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0,\left.g_{1}\right|_{\Gamma_{O}}=\psi_{b i}(x), n=n-g_{2}$ where $g_{2}$ is such that $\left.\left(\nabla g_{2} \cdot \nu\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0,\left.g_{2}\right|_{\Gamma_{O}}=n_{D}$ and $p=p-g_{3}$ where $g_{3}$ is such that $\left.\left(\nabla g_{3} \cdot \nu\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{A}}=0,\left.g_{3}\right|_{\Gamma_{O}}=p_{D}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Y})$ denotes the space of linear operators $A: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.
    ${ }^{4} \mathcal{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}A_{C}^{+} A_{C} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I\end{array}\right)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The (tractability) index of a DAE is invariant under regular variable transformations.

