

SUPPORTING MATERIAL FOR THE TEACHING FORMAT "INNOVATION AND TECHNOLOGY FOR SUSTAINABLE FUTURE"

Ellen Saltevo, LUT University, 2022



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>.

https://doi.org/10.4393/opushwr-4142





CONTENT

Module Description	3
Course Outline	8
Description of Underlying Approaches, Methods and Applied Exercises	9
Resource Overview	14





Module Description

Field of Education: Engineering (Industrial Engineering and Management) Level of studies: Master Innovation and Technology for Sustainable future

Semester Type Frequency Standalone Every academic year Spring semester **Group Size** Length Examination Format(s) (+ weighting of grades if multiple) 7 weeks 30% individual assignments and Approx. 20 ppl seminar participation, 70% group project and movie presentation **ECTS-Credits** Workload (presence hours and self-study hours) Hours per week 3 cr 4 hours per week Presence: 26 h Self-study: 52 h Total: 78 h Lecturer(s) Language **Responsible of Module** English Professor 1) Lecturer (competences: technology, sustainability, innovation management, systems and design competency) 2) Acting/drama coach (competences: theaterbased teaching) 3) Industry expert (competences: real-life business expertise)





Content (Which are the main topics covered in the course?)	The course is focused on understanding the complexities of sustainable technological development and implementation in business and society. Main	
	contents include:	
	Disruptive technologies	
	 Grand challenges and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Design, management, impact assessment, implementation and envisioned 	
	development trajectories of innovations in the context of sustainability	
Which SDGs does the course	Note! Emphasis on specific SDGs depends on the course project topic of each student	
auuress:		
	 SDG 1 No Poverty End poverty in all its forms everywhere SDG 2 Zero Hunger End hunger, achieve food security and improved 	
	nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture.	
	 SDG 3 Good Health and Well-being Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages 	
	 SDG 4 Quality Education Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education 	
	 and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all SDG 5 L Gender Equality L Achieve gender equality and empower all women 	
	and girls	
	 SDG 6 Clean Water and Sanitation Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all 	
	• SDG 7 Affordable and Clean Energy Ensure access to affordable, reliable,	
	 sustainable and clean energy for all SDG 8 Decent Work and Economic Growth Promote sustained, inclusive 	
	and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and	
	 decent work for all SDG 9 Industry Innovation and Infrastructure Build infrastructure 	
	promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation	
	 SDG 10 Reduced Inequalities Reduce inequality within and among countries 	
	SDG 11 Sustainable Cities and Communities Make cities and human	
	 settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable SDG 12 Responsible Consumption and Production Ensure sustainable 	
	consumption and production patterns	
	 SDG 13 Climate Action Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts 	
	• SDG 14 Life below Water Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas	
	 and marine resources for sustainable development SDG 15 Life on Land Protect restore and promote sustainable use of 	
	terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification,	
	 and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss SDG 16 Peace Justice and Strong Institutions Promote peaceful and 	
	inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for	
	all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels • SDG 17 Partnerships for the Goals Strengthen the implementation and	
	revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development	
Brief Course Structure/Outline	Week 1	
	 Introduction to the course and methods used. 	
	 Introduction to short film making. Session 2 (2 h) Introduction to key concepts: SDGs disruptive 	
	technologies and futures thinking.	
	Homework: Getting acquainted with the course contents and schedule	
	 Reading through the requirements for the main course project. 	
 Making groups for the main course project. Self-reflection journal post (+15min) 		
	Week 2	
	Session 3 (2 h) Workshop: short film making (project dovelopment)	
	 Sustainable innovation and design: Responsible innovation and 	
	design thinking	





	•	Session 4 (2 h) Seminar: Stakeholder roleplay.
	•	Homework:
		 Choosing a topic and researching background information (specific
		SDG, technology, and context).
		 Self-reflection journal post (+15min)
	Week 3	
	•	Session 5 (2 h):
		 Workshop: short film making (pre-production).
		 Sustainability impact awareness, assessment and tools – examples
		Ifom the ICT moustry. Session 6 (2 b): Seminar: Bool life company case of a sustainability problem
	•	and the means to solve it
	•	Homework:
	•	• Working on the main course project: written report (part 1) and
		short film (project development).
		\circ Self-reflection journal post (+15min)
	Week 4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	•	Session 7 (2 h)
		• Workshop: short film making (production)
		 Sustainable systems and transitions: Sustainability transitions and
		Socio-technical systems and the multi-level perspective
		 Visioning pathways with foresight and backcasting methods
	•	Homework:
		• Working on the main course project: written report (part 2) and
		short film (pre-production)
		 Self-reflection journal post (+15min)
	Week 5	
	•	Session 9 (2 h)
		 Workshop: short film making (post-production)
		• Ethics of technological innovation and sustainable development.
	•	Session 10 (2 h) Individual assignment: collective story writing. Case
		example: artificial intelligence (AI)
	•	Homework:
		\circ Working on the main course project: written report (part 3) and
		short film (production).
		 Self-reflection journal post (+15min)
	Week 6	
	•	Session 11 (2 h) Seminar: Futures thinking in practice – examples from the
		consulting industry.
	•	Session 12 (2 h) No activities for this week. Students will work on the main
		course project.
	•	Homework:
		 Working on the main course project: short film (post-production) Sale reflection issues (115 min)
	Wook 7	
	Week /	Cossion 12 (4 h) Visions for the future, students will present their chart films
	•	followed by a joint discussion in class about each tonic and the overall rele
		of technology and innovation in sustainable development
	•	Homework:
	•	 Peer evaluation of short films
		• Group members evaluate each others' performance within the
		group throughout the main course project.
		 Self-reflection journal post (+30min)
Pedagogical approaches	•	Active learning
("guiding principles of designing	•	Inter-/transdisciplinary learning
learning processes"*) e.q.:	•	Collaborative learning
Lecture-based learning		
Experiential learning		
(experience via projects,		
internships, community work,		
field trips)		
Collaborative learning		





•	Active learning (passive role of	
	teacher)	
•	Self-directed learning	
•	Inter-/transdisciplinary	
	learning	
•	Creative learning	
•	Other	
lea	ching methods ("specific	Lecture
met	hods in line with" teaching	Group discussion
appi	roaches*) e.g.:	• In-class role play
	Lecture	Case sludy Arts based teaching and learning
	Group discussion	Arts-Daseu teaching and rearring Vision building oversion
	Debate	Vision-Duilding exercise Solf reflection tack/oversise
	In-class role play	 Self-Terretuon task/exercise Interdisciplinary toom tooching
	Virtual reality simulation	
•	Case study	
•	Service-learning project (for	
	community)	
•	Sustainability-related	
	consulting project (e.g. for	
	NGOs)	
•	Sustainability-related research	
	project	
•	Self-reflection task/exercise	
•	Interdisciplinary team teaching	
•	Vision-building exercise (e.g.	
	future workshop, scenario	
	analysis, forecasting and	
	backcasting)	
•	Field trip	
•	Outdoor, nature-related	
	experience	
•	Gamification (e.g. LEGO game)	
•	Arts-based teaching and	
	learning method (e.g. theatre,	
	ardwing exercise, music-based	
	Poor tooching (o.g. student lod	
•	lecture)	
	Flipped classroom	
	Other	
•	other	
Tea	ching Characteristics	
(no	ne, low, medium, high)	
•	Degree of student	High
	participation / activeness	
•	Degree of student	High
	collaboration / group work	
•	Degree of student emotional	Medium
	involvement	
•	Degree of inter-/	High
	transdisciplinarity	
•	u ansuscipili anty	
	Degree of student (self-)	High
	Degree of student (self-) reflection	High
	Degree of student (self-) reflection	High
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection	High Medium
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations	High Medium
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations Degree of nature-related	High Medium (None)
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations Degree of nature-related experiences	High Medium (None)
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations Degree of nature-related experiences Degree of stakeholder	High Medium (None) Medium
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations Degree of nature-related experiences Degree of stakeholder integration	High Medium (None) Medium
•	Degree of student (self-) reflection Degree of experience of real- life situations Degree of nature-related experiences Degree of stakeholder integration Degree of integration between theory and practice	High Medium (None) Medium Medium





Systems Thinking Competency** Sustainability is addressed throughout the course as a wicked problem embedded complex systems and thus in need of systemic, as well as inter-/transdisciplina approaches. Systemic interdependencies are specifically addressed on week (sustainable systems and transitions). Students are expected to apply system thinking in mapping and framing systems and major actors around their selected
sustainable innovation in connection to a specific SDG in their main course project The course combines knowledge from business, engineering, arts, design sustainability sciences and social sciences to promote a holistic understanding sustainability.
Anticipatory Competency** Anticipatory competency is fostered in the course through futures approache addressed on week 1 (futures thinking) and week 4 (forecasting and backcasting Students are expected to apply anticipatory thinking in generating SDG guide scenarios for the future and innovation driven pathways to them in their main cours project.
Normative Competency** Sustainability is introduced throughout the course as a largely normative issue with attached goals on week 1 (SDGs), values on week 2 (sustainable innovation ar design), and ethical standpoints on week 5 (ethics of technological innovation ar sustainable development). Students are expected to reflect on these views subsequent seminar activities (stakeholder roleplay) and individual assignmen (collective story writing), as well as in their main course project in the form of a overview.
Strategic Competency** n/a
Collaboration Competency** Students apply collaborative competencies in respecting, reflecting and learning from the perspectives of others by working in a group to co-create a synthetizing vision the future and communicate it to others in their main course project.
Critical Thinking Competency** Critical thinking is fostered by addressing sustainability as a highly normative issu throughout the course, where sustainability problems and innovations as solutions a questioned and no definitive answers can be found. Students apply critical thinkin in identifying major challenges and potential of innovation of their choosing connection to a specific SDG in their main course project.
Self-Awareness Competency** Students are expected to reflect on one's own constantly developing role as a change maker, individual, business expert, engineer, innovator, etc. in weekly self-reflection posts.
Integrated Problem Solving Competency** Frameworks and tools to evaluate sustainable solutions are addressed throughout the course and case examples are utilized as a baseline for analysis and discussion seminar assignments, and guest lecturers from industry are included to ensure the
practical implementation and integrated understanding of the topics.

*UNESCO (2017). Education for Sustainable Development Goals. Learning Objectives. https://www.unesco.de/sites/default/files/2018-08/unesco_education_for_sustainable_development_goals.pdf

** Rieckmann, M. (2018). Learning to transform the world: Key competencies in Education for Sustainable Development. Issues and Trends in Education for Sustainable Development, 39, 39–59.





Course Outline

Semester Outline		Session Focus	Homework to next Session	
Week 1	Session 1 (2 h)	Introduction to the course and methods used.	Getting acquainted with the course contents, schedule and teaching methods.	
		Introduction to short film making.	Reading through the requirements for the main course project.	
	Session 2 (2 h)	Introduction to key concepts: SDGs, disruptive technologies and futures thinking.	Making groups for the main course project.	
	Interim session (15min)		Self-reflection journal post	
Week 2	Session 3 (2 h)	Workshop: short film making (project development). Sustainable innovation and design: • Responsible innovation • Design thinking	Choosing a topic and researching background information (specific SDG, technology, and context).	
	Session 4 (2 h)	Seminar: Stakeholder roleplay.	-	
	Interim session (15min)		Self-reflection journal post	
Week 3	Session 5 (2 h)	Workshop: short film making (pre- production). Sustainability impact awareness, assessment and tools – examples from the ICT industry.	Working on the main course project: written report (part 1) and short film (project development).	
	Session 6 (2 h)	Seminar: Real-life company case of a sustainability problem and the means to solve it		
	Interim session (15min)		Self-reflection journal post	
Week 4	Session 7 (2 h)	 Workshop: short film making (production) Sustainable systems and transitions: Sustainability transitions Socio-technical systems and the multi-level perspective Visioning pathways with foresight and backcasting methods 	Working on the main course project: written report (part 2) and short film (pre- production).	
	Interim session (15min)		Seir-reflection journal post	
Week 5	Session 9 (2 h)	Workshop: short film making (post- production)	Working on the main course project: written report (part 3) and short film (production).	





	Session 10 (2 h)	Ethics of technological innovation and sustainable development. Individual assignment: collective story writing*. • Case example: artificial intelligence (AI)	-
	Interim session (15min)		Self-reflection journal post
Week 6	Session 11 (2 h)	Seminar: Futures thinking in practice – examples from the consulting industry.	Working on the main course project: short film (post-production).
	Session 12 (2 h)	No activities for this week. Students will work on the main course project.	
	Interim session (15min)		Self-reflection journal post
Week 7	Session 13 (4 h)	Visions for the future: students will present their short films followed by a joint discussion in class about each topic and the overall role of technology and innovation in sustainable development.	Peer evaluation of short films. Group members evaluate each others' performance within the group throughout the main course project.
	Post session (30min)		Self-reflection journal post

Description of Underlying Approaches, Methods and Applied <u>Exercises¹</u>

Underlying Teaching Approaches

Active learning

Active learning is used throughout the course to enable students to take charge of their own level of engagement and input in seminar assignments individual assignments, workshops, as well as in the organization of the main course assignment, while teachers act in the role of facilitators.

Lecture-based learning

Lecture-based learning is used to provide brief transfers of knowledge about main theories and concepts that will act as a baseline to further learning.

Collaborative learning

Collaborative learning is used to enable student interaction in small teams while working on common assignments in seminars and with the main course assignment. Inter- and transdisciplinary learning

¹ Definitions for the mentioned teaching approaches and methods can be found here: Bustamante, S., Martinovic, M. & Shaman, K. (2022). Fundamental Insights about Teaching Formats in the Area of Sustainability and Responsibility. In S. Bustamante, E. Saltevo, M. Schmitz, & M. Martinovic, Shaping a Sustainable Future. Innovative Teaching Practices for Educating Responsible Leader (pp. 31-49). Nomos.





Inter- and transdisciplinary learning is used to gain a holistic view of the integrated issues of innovation and technology development in the context of sustainability by applying principles from multiple fields of study such as business, engineering, arts and design, sustainability sciences and social sciences and analysing their compounded effect throughout the course and especially with the main course assignment.

Applied Teaching Methods

Arts-based teaching and learning

Arts-based teaching and learning, and more specifically theater-based learning, is the dominant method used in this course. Theater-based method is used to develop wide and nuanced insights about the complexity of innovation and technology development in the context of sustainability through visioning, storytelling, roleplay and narrative development in course assignments, while also developing students` soft skills.

Theater-based learning is a method based on using theatrical performance in order to report conversation on chosen topics through some sort of dramatic art (e.g. comedic skit). According to Nissley (2002), theater-based teaching is used to explore future scenarios, develop insights, teach about "soft" topics (e.g. business ethics) and soft skills (communication, presentation, empathy, etc.).

Nissley, N. (2002). Arts-based learning in management education. Rethinking management education for the 21st century, 14(5), 27-61

Lecture

Lectures are used to provide brief transfers of knowledge about main theories and concepts that will act as a baseline to further learning in lectures.

Group discussions

Group discussions are used throughout the course to convey different perspectives, facilitate exchange of information and to solve problems collectively in seminar assignments and in the main course assignment.

In-class role play

In-class role play is used to enable the broadening of one's perspective by assuming the role of another and used in seminar assignments and in the main course assignment.

Case studies

Case-studies are used to enable the examination of real-life sustainability problems, as well as situational analysis and solution building in seminar assignments.

Vision building exercises

Vision building is used to synthesize course main learnings and apply it to the creation of plausible future scenarios of sustainable innovation and technology development as the main course assignment.

Self-reflection tasks/exercises

Self-reflection is used to enable the processing of one's own role in sustainability.

Interdisciplinary team teaching





Interdisciplinary team teaching is implemented by involving lecturers, teachers and experts in different disciplines: business, engineering, arts and design, sustainability sciences and social sciences.

Exercises used in the Course

Used in which Weekly exercise		
part / session of		
the course:		
Main goal of the To enable students to self-reflect on their changing perceptions and		
exercise: own role throughout the course as a change-maker for sustainability.		
Best used with: Individual assignment		
After each weekly session, students are tasked to write short individual self-reflection posts		
on the learning platform. In their posts, students are expected to answer to the following		
questions:		
 "What is my moral obligation/responsibility and capability to act for or influence 		
sustainable development?"		
 "How has the perception of my own role as a change-maker transformed this 		
week?"		
Exercise: Stakeholder roleplay		
Used in which In the second session of the course.		
part / session of		
the course:		
Main goal of the The main goal of this exercise is to enable the students to expand their		
exercise: viewpoints by stepping into the role of another person through roleplay		
The exercise is intended to increase emotional and knowledge-based		
understanding of the importance of including and mitigating al		
stakeholder perspectives in the development and implementation of		
responsible innovations.		
Best used with: 9-20 participants; in groups of 3-4		
This exercise is carried out in groups and conducted early in the course for students to get		
acquainted with theatre-based methods used throughout the course. The students are given		
a range of technological innovations that are viewed as disruptive (e.g., platform economy,		
lab grown meat, biofuels, or robotics and automation). In relation to each innovation, some		
stakeholder roles which are expected to experience differing impacts on the further		
implementation of the innovation are determined. The groups will choose topics on which to		
focus, and within each group assign individual stakeholder roles. The students will continue		
to search information about the topic and the role of their individual stakeholder. The		
students will discuss the gathered information in the group to be able to prepare and		
compose fact-based, but imagination driven, identities and perspectives for each		
stakeholder. Finally, each group will proceed to present their topic in class in the form of a		
dialogue held by all the members of the group acting in the role of their individua		
stakeholder. Each stakeholder will deliver their own view of the topic and mirror this		
perspective in relation to other stakeholder views. Afterwards, the audience is tasked to		





identify the main controversies that exist in the stakeholder views in relation to the topic and to think collectively how they could possibly be mitigated.

Exercise:	Real life company case of a sustainability problem and the means to		
	solve it		
Used in which	In the third session of the course		
part / session of	ession of		
the course:			
Main goal of the	To enable students to understand the holistic nature of sustainability		
exercise:	impact assessments and the difficulties that relate to it in real life.		
Best used with:	9-20 participants; in groups of 3-4		
Students are given	a real-life company case description depicting a sustainability problem		
and a company's te	echnological solution to address this issue. In groups of 3-4, students are		
tasked to familiarize	e themselves with the case in groups and then critically identify using an		
impact assessme	ent framework how that proposed solution succeeds in		
mitigating/eliminatir	ng the different impacts of the sustainability issue in question.		
5 5	5 1 5 1		
Exercise:	Collective Story Writing		
Used in which	In the fifth session of the course.		
part / session of			
the course:			
Main goal of the	To enable students to think about the fundamentally ambiguous nature		
exercise:	of technological development and innovation in relation to sustainability.		
Best used with:	9-20 participants; in groups of 3-4		
What is the value	of technological development and innovation? Is this value intrinsic or		
extrinsic, i.e., conte	xt dependent or not? If related to context, then the value of the innovation		
is dependent on its	use for the general good or bad. But is the definition and distinction of		
good and bad rela	ative or universal? Also, do good intentions matter if the outcome is		
ultimately bad? Thi	s thought process above illustrates a brief and simplified extract of the		
many ethical consid	derations related to assessing technological innovations in the context of		
sustainability. To i	nvoke nuanced ethical contemplations, the students are instructed to		
engage in a digital	version of an improvisation exercise by participating in collective story		
writing around a pre	edetermined topic (e.g., artificial intelligence) in the learning platform. One		
by one the students nost on the platform and continue the story by introducing now			
characters perspectives thoughts events changes of scenery – whatever brings forth an			
illustrative example of an alternative ethical standpoint - and little by little a rich collective			
understanding on th	ne matter is formulated.		
Exercise:	Fact Based Future Fiction		
Used in which	Throughout the course (main course project).		
part / session of			
the course:			
Main goal of the	The main goal of this exercise is to enable the students to summarise		
exercise:	and implement the main course learnings by comprising a holistic		
	understanding of a specific sustainability problem and analysing a		
	possible technological solution for it withstanding all related		
	possible technological solution for it withstanding all related complexities uncertainties differences in perspectives and conflicts by		





processing it in a structured way and translating it into a form t	
	be convincingly conveyed to others.
Best used with:	9-20 participants; in groups of 4-5

The students construct a topic for the project by selecting a sustainability issue from one of the 17 SDGs (UN, 2015) along with a disruptive future technology to explore their compounded impact in a specific context. An overview of disruptive future technologies by Diamandis and Kotler (2022), including quantum computing, artificial intelligence, robotics and automation, material science and nanotechnology, biotechnology, networks and sensors (IoT), augmented and virtual reality (immersive technologies), blockchain, and 3D printing, as a reference point is provided. To illustrate, a possible topic could be "enhancing peace and justice (SDG 16) through immersive technologies (augmented and virtual reality) in peace-tech (context)".

First, students are tasked to delve deeper into the SDG (what are the main issues behind the goal and their contributing factors) and the more specific context chosen (how do these issues manifest in the specific context). Second, they investigate how the chosen technology or technologies could be applied in this specific context to aid in solving the underlying problems and drive forward the SDG's fulfilment. Third, they conduct a holistic impact assessment and an examination of the solution's development trajectory. This will act as knowledge-based background research for the development of a storyline.

Second, the key findings of the research will be formulated into an interesting storyline and produced into a short film with a duration of 15 minutes maximum. By building a storyline, the students are forced to include not only detached factual perspectives of technological and economic analysis, but also more personal and emotional perspectives in order to go beyond what is known, into what should and could be to build visions for the future. To help structure the film, the students are suggested to follow the typical five-step dramatic scheme (Pavis 1998):

- 1. Introduction of the setting and the underlying problem: what is the sustainability problem?
- 2. Evolving action: what is the rising proposed solution?
- 3. Collision or climax: how does the proposed solution affect stakeholders, surrounding systems and structures, and does it create possible conflicts?
- 4. Last twist in the form of surprising events: is there a possibility of unintended consequences or other risks, ethical or cultural considerations?
- 5. Resolution: what the does the future look like?





Resource Overview

Literature	
Week 1	 United Nations (2015). Transforming our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. General Assembly Resolution A/RES/70/1. Diamandis, P. H., & Kotler, S. (2020). The future is faster than you think: How converging technologies are transforming business, industries, and our lives. Simon & Schuster. Vergragt & Quist (2011). Backcasting for Sustainability: Introduction to the special issue. Technological Forecasting & Social Change 78, 747-755.
Week 2	 Adams, R., Jeanrenaud, S., Bessant, J., Denyer, D. & Overy, P. (2015). Sustainability-oriented innovation: A Systematic Review. International Journal of Management Reviews, 00, 1–26. Lubberink, R., Blok, V., Van Ophem, J. & Omta, O. (2017). Lessons for Responsible Innovation in the Business Context: A Systematic Literature Review of Responsible, Social and Sustainable Innovation Practices. Sustainability, 9, 721. Owen, R., Macnaghten, P., & Stilgoe, J. (2012). Responsible research and innovation: From science in society to science for society, with society. Science and public policy, 39(6), 751–760. Stilgoe, J. Owen, R. & Macnaghten, P. (2013). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. Research Policy, 42, 9, 1568–1580. Buchanan, R. (1992) Wicked Problems in Design Thinking. Design Issues, 9 (2), 5–21. Oxman, N. (2016). Age of Entanglement. Journal of Design and Science. https://doi.org/10.21428/7e0583ad 1
Week 3	 James, P, Magee, L., Scerri, A. & Steger, M. (2015) Urban Sustainability in Theory and Practice: Circles of Sustainability, Routledge, London, 2015. Penzenstadler, B., Duboc, L., Akinli Kocak, S., Becker, C., Betz, S., Chitchyan, R.,Easterbrook, S., Leifler, O., Porras, J., Seyff, N. & Venters, C. (2020, January). The SusAF Workshop - improving sustainability awareness to inform future business process and systems design (Version 2). Zenodo. Podder, S., Burden, A., Singh, S. K., & Maruca, R. (2020). How green is your software? Harvard Business Review, Sept.
Week 4	 Bolton,R. & Hannon, M. (2016) Governing sustainability transitions through business model innovation: Towards a systems understanding. Research Policy 45, 9 (2016) 1731–1742. Geels, F.W., (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to sociotechnical system: insights about dynamics and change from sociology and institutional theory. Research Policy 33 (6/7), 897–920. Markard, J., Raven, R., & Truffer, B. (2012) Sustainability transitions: An emerging field of research and its prospects. Research Policy, 41,955–967.





	 Rotmans, J. & Loorbach, D. (2009) Complexity and Transition Management. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 13, 2, 185–1196.
Week 5	 Van de Poel, I. R., & Royakkers, L. M. (2011). Ethics, technology, and engineering: An introduction. Wiley-Blackwell. Bostrom, N. (2002). Existential risks. Journal of Evolution and technology, 9(1), 1–31. Bryden, J., & Gezelius, S. S. (2017). Innovation as if people mattered: The ethics of innovation for sustainable development. Innovation and development, 7(1), 101–118. de Vries, B. J. (2019). Engaging with the Sustainable Development Goals by going beyond Modernity: An ethical evaluation within a worldview framework. Global Sustainability, 2. Stephan, U., Andries, P., & Daou, A. (2019) Goal Multiplicity and Innovation and Innovation Performance*.J PROD INNOV MANAG 2019;36(6):721–743
Week 6	 Miller, R. (2018). Transforming the future: Anticipation in the 21st century. Taylor & Francis. United Nations Development Programme (2018) Foresight Manual. Empowered Futures for the 2030 Agenda. UNDP Global Centre for Public Service Excellence.
(Online) Tool	s / Videos used
Sustainable systems and transitions	 Multi-Level-Perspective by Frank Geels (2020) NEST webinar series, part 3. Video accessible at:https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tm6xVb-TXgk Introduction to Sustainability Transitions by Jochen Markard (2020) NEST webinar series, part 1. Video accessible at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WM3YXeamooM
Ethics of sustainability and technology	 Ethics in the age of technology by Juan Enriquez (2018) TEDxBerlin. Video accessible at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iiAirfn-IBI
Design thinking for sustainability and sustainable technologies	 Design at the intersection of technology and biology by Neri Oxman (2015) TED. Video accessible at:https://www.ted.com/talks/neri_oxman_design_at_the_intersect ion_of_technology_and_biology?language=en.



The creation of these resources has been (partially) funded by the ERASMUS+ grant program of the European Union under grant no. 2019-1-DE01-KA203-005057. Neither the European Commission nor the project's national funding agency DAAD are responsible for the content or liable for any losses or damage resulting of the use of these resources.