

TECHNISCHE HOCHSCHULE INGOLSTADT

FACULTY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

MSc. RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEMS

MASTER'S THESIS

A business case study of green hydrogen production using photovoltaics in Germany

Submitted by

Vaibhav Armal

Issued on: 30.05.2022 Submitted on: 13.07.2022

First Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing Daniel Navarro Gevers Second Examiner: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Sergej Diel

Declaration (§ 18 Para. 4 Nr. 7 APO THI)

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work, that I have not presented it elsewhere for examination purposes and that I have not used any sources or aids other than those stated. I have marked verbatim and indirect quotations as such.

Ingolstadt, (13.07.2022)

Amatis

Vaibhav Armal

Acknowledgement

It was my immense pleasure to study and work at Technische Hochschule Ingolstadt. First of all, I would like to thank the university for giving me this opportunity to be a part of the prestigious organisation and working under the guidance of such well-qualified tutors.

Next, I would like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr.-Ing. Daniel Navarro Gevers for his well-planned assistance and guidance throughout the whole process. Working under his supervision was a great learning experience.

Lastly, I would like to thank all my family, friends, and colleagues for believing in me and supporting and motivating me during the process.

Abstract

The world is moving forward, and its energy need is also increasing daily. Currently, a significant amount of this energy comes from a finite resource called fossil fuels. The biggest problem with this resource is the high amount of carbon emissions, which has an adverse impact on the environment in the form of global warming, greenhouse gases, ozone layer depletion, etc. This in turn could lead to dangerous consequences for the planet and the ecosystems. Governments across the globe are getting serious and taking big resolutions toward green energy, but the set targets are big and still far away. High motivation and strong will among the top leaders are necessary to achieve the target of using green energy for most of the world's energy demands. This must be met with prompt actions and a decisive approach. Hydrogen, the resource available abundantly in the atmosphere, can be the biggest hope towards this target. Studies have shown that hydrogen can take the green energy revolution a long way if produced on a large scale.

A renewed and widespread hydrogen momentum is growing in the energy market. The last years have witnessed a substantial increase in demand for hydrogen, including several countries introducing and developing new hydrogen national strategies and many private companies leaning toward investing in hydrogen-related projects. Green hydrogen's environment-friendly and versatility will lead the world toward a hydrogen economy [1].

Though there are increased investments in the technological advancement in green hydrogen, there exist many unresolved issues related to technical and infrastructural challenges. Nevertheless, a clean and renewable hydrogen economy will not only fight climate change but also offers a comprehensive infrastructure for new opportunities in terms of jobs and employment. Hydrogen may play a crucial role in restructuring the international alliances and conflicts regarding global transformation and decarbonisation of the energy market. As mentioned in [1], by 2050, hydrogen can supply up to 24% of the world's energy needs

This paper is inclined towards a quantitative assessment of the hybrid (with and without battery storage) green hydrogen production system in terms of working and financial feasibility.

Table of Contents

Declaration (§ 18 Para. 4 Nr. 7 APO THI) i
Acknowledgementii
Abstractiii
List of Tablesvi
List of Figuresvii
List of Abbreviationsix
1. Introduction1
2. Hydrogen as an atom
2.1. Importance and usage
2.2. Methods of production and different shades
3. Electrolyzers and their types
3.1. Functioning
3.2. Technology
3.2.1. Alkaline electrolyzers 5
3.2.2. Solid polymer (polymeric membrane) electrolyzers
3.2.3. High-temperature electrolyzers 5
4. Fuel cells and their types
4.1. Functioning
4.2. Types of fuel cells
4.2.1. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) or polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFC)
4.2.2. Alkaline fuel cells (AFC)
4.2.3. Phosphoric acid fuel cells (PAFC)
4.2.4. Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC)10
4.2.5. Molten carbonate fuel cells (MCFC)11
4.2.6. Direct methanol fuel cells (DMFC)11
4.2.7. Reversible fuel cells
5. Green hydrogen
6. Business case study
6.1. Aim
6.2. Available data15
6.3. Sizing guidelines17
6.3.1. Photovoltaic system
6.3.2. Battery system
6.3.3. Electrolyzer system
6.3.4. Fuel cell system
6.3.5. Heat pump 22

6.4.	Calculation process
6.5.	Simulations
6.6.	Results
6.7.	Calculating the cost of energy
7. Stor	rage
8. Tra	nsportation
8.1.	Advantages and disadvantages of hydrogen as a transport fuel
9. Futi	ure scope
10. C	onclusion
11. R	eferencesA
12. A	ppendixD
12.1.	Battery DatasheetD
12.2.	UPS Datasheet E
12.3.	Electrolyzer DatasheetI
12.4.	Fuel Cell DatasheetK
12.5.	Heat Pump DatasheetM
12.6.	Solar Module Datasheet0
12.7.	Helioscope Simulation ResultsQ
12.8.	Hydrogen Storage Tank DatasheetT

List of Tables

Table 1: Shades of hydrogen and production methods [11]	3
Table 2: Principle operation characteristics of some fuel cells [17]	9
Table 3: Physical properties of some fuel cells [17]	9
Table 4: Energy requirement of the office building	16
Table 5: Total energy requirement of the office building	16
Table 6: Final energy consumption of the office building	23
Table 7: Four different load profiles for simulation	25
Table 8: HOMER Result 1	36
Table 9: HOMER Result 2	37
Table 10: Costs of the system components used in HOMER simulation	38
Table 11: Costs of the system components used in developed excel tool simulation.	39
Table 12: The characteristics of hydrogen gas transmission technologies [43]	61

List of Figures

Figure 1: PEM Fuel Cell	8
Figure 2: Flow of electricity from the PV system1	14
Figure 3: Office building	15
Figure 4: PV plant overview1	18
Figure 5: Single Battery Module1	19
Figure 6: Battery Life Cycle 2	20
Figure 7: Electrolyzer Single Module	21
Figure 8: Fuel Cell Single Module2	22
Figure 9: Heat Pump2	<u>2</u> 4
Figure 10: Battery Bank Supply2	26
Figure 11: PV Energy flow into Electrolyzer 2	27
Figure 12: Fuel Cell Energy Flow 2	28
Figure 13: Case 1 Result	31
Figure 14: Case 1.2	33
Figure 15: Case 1.3	34
Figure 16: Homer Result 1 Summary	37
Figure 17: Homer Result 2 Summary	38
Figure 18: Excel Tool Result 1 Summary4	10
Figure 19: Excel Tool Result 2 Summary4	11
Figure 20: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.14	14
Figure 21: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.24	16
Figure 22: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.34	18
Figure 23: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.44	19
Figure 24: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.55	50
Figure 25: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.65	51
Figure 26: Hydrogen Tank Size Variation Result 1.75	53
Figure 27: Hydrogen Tank Size Variation Result 1.85	54
Figure 28: Battery Unit Size Variation Result 1.95	56
Figure 29: Hydrogen storage applications [41]5	59
Figure 30: COE Comparison6	35
Figure 31: Battery datasheet	D
Figure 32: UPS datasheet	E
Figure 33: Electrolyzer datasheet	. I
Figure 34: Fuel cell datasheet	K

Figure 35: Solar module datasheet	0
Figure 36: Helioscope simulation results	Q
Figure 37: Hydrogen tank datasheet	т

List of Abbreviations

CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
LPG	Liquefied petroleum gas
FT synthesis	Fischer–Tropsch synthesis
GTL synthesis	Gas to liquid synthesis
IC engine	Internal combustion engine
DME	Dimethyl ether
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
NaCl	Sodium chloride
КОН	Potassium hydroxide
SPE	Solid polymer electrolyzer
PME	Polymer Membrane electrolyzer
HTE	High-temperature electrolysis
PEM	Polymer Electrolyte Membrane
SOFC	Solid-oxide fuel cells
PEFC	Polymer electrolyte fuel cells
AFC	Alkaline fuel cells
PAFC	Phosphoric acid fuel cells
MCFC	Molten carbonate fuel cells
DMFC	Direct methanol fuel cells
PV system	Photovoltaic system
LCE	Levelized cost of energy
NPC	Net present cost
ACS	Annualized cost of the system
IRR	Internal rate of return

PBP	Payback period
СОР	Coefficient of performance
HDD	Heating degree days
COE	Cost of energy
HOMER	Hybrid Optimization of Multiple Energy Resources
DOD	Depth of discharge

1. Introduction

The world has recently faced huge energy crises because of resource reduction and environmental problems. The increased use of fossil fuels across the newly developed energy infrastructure is said to be the largest source of CO₂ emissions, which is known to be responsible for global warming and climate change. In addition, the fall in crude oil supplies, political instability in the parts of the world with ample oil reserves, and strict regulations against emissions are generating a need for alternative energy resources or, as it can be said, the 'New fuel'. This new fuel must be technically feasible, readily available, environmentally acceptable, and economically competitive. Many such fuels have been proposed in the past years, e.g., biodiesel, natural gas, boron, LPG, methanol, and hydrogen.

One of these suggestions, hydrogen's specific energy content is the highest of all conventional fuels and is present in abundant amounts in the universe. Considering this, hydrogen could be the key contributor to sustainable development in future, as it can be produced in almost unlimited quantities using renewable energy. The biggest challenge to achieving this feat would be the future hydrogen cost, advancements in the technologies producing hydrogen from renewable energy sources, prices of competing energy systems, and the implication of stricter restrictions on greenhouse gases.

Hydrogen, a carbon-less fuel, holds the potential of being a dream fuel of the future, bringing many environmental, economic, and social benefits. It has the credibility of reducing foreign oil dependency and lowering the transportation sector's carbon emissions. Hydrogen has a high calorific value of 122 kJ/g, which is 2.75 times more than other hydrocarbon fuels. This paper studies hydrogen production in Germany using photovoltaic energy and its economic aspects. This study will try to evaluate the future potential and economic feasibility of hydrogen production using photovoltaic energy. [2]

The paper emphasizes the alternate ways of renewable electricity storage and its comparison with battery storage. It is now well known that battery storage is costly and cannot be used on a large scale. Also, it is impossible to store energy for a long time with battery storage technology. On the other hand, using excess electricity from renewable sources for hydrogen production and storing that hydrogen for long periods is possible. This stored hydrogen can be used anytime for electricity production using Fuel Cells. This study aims to evaluate the feasibility of this technology while comparing it with battery storage. In the following chapters, let's understand the processes and components involved in this technology.

2. Hydrogen as an atom

According to the energy crisis and environmental concerns for power generation and high-valued chemical products, hydrogen has been said to be one of the most promising alternative energy carriers. Efficient technologies are necessary for hydrogen production to meet the requirements of global energy demands, which are continuously increasing every year [3].

Hydrogen has been widely used in many industrial processes such as petrochemical, steel, petroleum, and food. These days, hydrogen, also considered as a clean fuel, has recently been used as an alternative to fossil fuel usage, resulting in a dramatic increase in hydrogen demand, leading to less hydrogen supply because of restricted hydrogen sources. Conventionally, hydrogen is produced by steam reforming of natural gas or (LPG) liquified petroleum gas, which emits a high amount of gaseous carbon in the atmosphere causing environmental problems [3]. This resulted in the immediate requirement of alternative hydrogen resources, which must be environment friendly and available in abundant amounts. Green hydrogen made this possible while providing clean and pure hydrogen in large quantities without any carbon emissions.

2.1. Importance and usage

Hydrogen has many potential applications in the real world. To list a few, hydrogen is used in ammonia synthesis and other nitrogenated fertilizer synthesis, hydrogenation of hazardous wastes (dioxins, PCBs), alternative fuels synthesis by Fischer–Tropsch (FT) synthesis, refining and desulphurization (hydrogenation reactions, hydrodesulfurization), gas to liquid (GTL) synthesis technology, chemical plants, food preparation, IC engine fuel, rocket fuel, synthesis of methanol, ethanol, dimethyl ether (DME), and high-temperature industrial furnaces fuel [4]. Ammonia (fertilizer) production itself consumes half of the total hydrogen produced today and is the primary chemical industry use of hydrogen. Table 1 shows the hydrogen consumption in the world by different usage [5].

Hydrogen is also being noticed and getting much attention as a fuel for transportation and stationary application as a technical and policy issue [6]. Hydrogen gas is also tested for use in IC engines and fuel cell electric vehicles. Fuel cells are in the process of being commercialized and can use hydrogen more efficiently. Many big car manufacturers in the world have already presented fuel cell vehicles and have even started to lease a small number of vehicles to selected customers. A hydrogen fuel cell vehicle is said to be more advantageous over hydrogen-fueled IC engines or fuel cells powered by other fuels [7]. Hydrogen used in fuel cells can achieve high electric efficiency and even go above 90% if the heat generated from the electricity production process is used for heating purposes [8]. As explained in this paper, hydrogen and fuel cells are often considered the future key technology for sustainable energy supply.

2.2. Methods of production and different shades

Today the biggest resource for hydrogen production is natural gas [9]. Though there are many different technologies for hydrogen production, a wise way of hydrogen production should be selected which is economical and efficient to sustain the current market scenario and increasing demand. Most importantly, it should not have any carbon emissions [3].

That is why this paper emphasizes on electrolysis process, which is powered by electricity produced from the solar power plant. The electrolysis process has null direct carbon emissions. Hydrogen is named with different shades depending on its method of production. Some essential shades are Grey hydrogen, Blue hydrogen, Turquoise hydrogen, and Green hydrogen [10]. Similar to these shades, there are two more shades named as Pink hydrogen and Yellow hydrogen [11]. The following table shows the differentiation of these shades and the production methods.

SHADES	METHOD	RESOURCE
GREY	Steam methane reforming or gasification	Methane or coal
BLUE	Steam methane reforming or gasification with carbon capture (85-95%)	Methane or coal
GREEN	Electrolysis	Renewable Energy
PINK	Electrolysis	Nuclear Energy
YELLOW	Electrolysis	Solar Energy
TURQUOISE	Pyrolysis	Methane

Table 1: Shades of hydrogen and production methods [11]

3. Electrolyzers and their types

It is well known that hydrogen is one of the most abundantly found elements in the universe, but it rarely exists as an independent molecule. Mostly, it is bound with other elements or molecules to form a compound like carbohydrates, water, nucleic acids and hydrocarbons. It is not easy to break the bonds connecting hydrogen and other elements and requires a certain amount of energy [15]. One fundamental process to split these particles is water electrolysis, which splits water into hydrogen and oxygen using electricity.

The process of separating hydrogen and oxygen from water using electricity is known as water electrolysis. This is the process of converting electric energy into chemical energy. The water electrolysis process, in particular, is important industrially as it produces a pure quality of hydrogen and oxygen. [12] [9] [13]

3.1. Functioning

An electrolytic cell is a device where oxidation-reduction reactions, also known as redox reactions, occur to decompose chemical compounds with the help of electric energy. An electrolyte in the cell, which is present in the form of a base (a hydroxide like NaOH), an acid (like HCL), or a salt (like NaCl), reacts with the solvent, which is usually water and splits into different ions as positive and negative (H+, Na+, OH-, or Cl-). The process induces an electron flow through the external circuit by connecting two electrodes immersed in the electrolytic cell with the outer electric circuit, which is applied by an electromotive force and corresponded by an ion flow in the cell's internal electrolyte solution [14]. The electrons enter the cell through the cathode electrode, where the reduction half-reaction occurs, while the electrons exit the cell through the anode electrode, where the other oxidation half-reaction happens.

Industrial electrolyzers usually contain more electrolytic cells. To increase the productivity and distribute the voltage drop evenly, these electrolyzers are built with multiple cells connected in series and the metallic separator in between two cells works as a bipolar plate. It functions as the anode on one side and the cathode on the other.

The efficiency of electrolyzers is calculated as the ratio between the chemical energy contained in the produced hydrogen to the electrical power required for the process [15].

3.2. Technology

3.2.1. Alkaline electrolyzers

This type of electrolyzer occupies a large portion of the commercial electrolyzer market. They are constructed with the material resistant to the potassium hydroxide (KOH) attack and designed to prevent electrolyte leakage. The anode and cathode are made of nickel and nickel coated with platinum. They operate at around 70-85 °C, and the electric current density on electrodes is about 6-10 kA/m2 and has an efficiency of about 75%-85%.

The alkaline electrolyzer comprises an electrolytic cell in which two electrodes are dipped in a water solution of (KOH) potassium hydroxide. The OH- ions move towards the anode to be oxidized (where it loses electrons) and release water, oxygen molecules and electrons, which enter the external circuit as per the following reaction [15]:

$$20H \rightarrow 02 + H20 + 2e (anode)$$

3.2.2. Solid polymer (polymeric membrane) electrolyzers

In Solid polymer electrolyzer (SPE), or Polymer Membrane electrolyzer (PME), the electrolyte consists of solid polymer, which helps make construction and maintenance easier and more convenient. When it is saturated with water, the polymer becomes acid and permeable to ions. The cells are made with very thin electrolytes and the toughness of the membrane helps cells to function at a pressure up to 4 Mpa and temperatures between 80-150 °C.

The SPE gives good efficiency and high current density as they are made of rare and expensive materials (the cathode is built with porous carbon and the anode is built with porous titanium) [15] [14].

3.2.3. High-temperature electrolyzers

High-temperature electrolysis (HTE) process, as its name says, requires hightemperature heat (around 1000°C) and a very costly production process, but it guarantees good results with a solid and non-corrosive electrolyte (which is based on yttrium and zirconium oxides) capable of conducting oxygen ions. The cathode is made of nickel, and the anode can be made of lanthanum, nickel oxides and nickel. The current density at the electrodes is estimated to be around 3-5 kA/m2 and has a cell voltage in the range of 1-1.6 V, giving a hypothetically reachable efficiency of about 95% [15].

4. Fuel cells and their types

Fuel cells are the devices that convert chemical free energy in gaseous or liquid reactants electrochemically into electrical energy [13]. Because of the electrochemical process instead of the thermal process, fuel cells are the most energy-efficient devices to extract power from fuels. They can be used for extracting energy from a variety of fuels such as hydrogen, biogas, and natural gas. Fuel cells can provide clean power for various applications ranging from less than a watt to multiple megawatts.

As fuel cells work on open flow systems, they scale well for longer- and larger-scale operation, unlike batteries. This helps them to be explored and commercialized in large-scale energy conversion and electricity production, materials handling equipment, automotive and truck applications, and Auxiliary power units, to mention some of the applications [13].

Fuel cells can play an important part in the future by providing an alternative to petroleum, which is currently used in cars and trucks with cleaner and lower-emission fuels like hydrogen or natural gas [16]. Stationary fuel cells can be used for a backup power (even at remote locations), cogeneration (where the excess released heat in the electricity generation process is used for other applications), and distributed power generation. They can be very advantageous in reducing greenhouse gas emissions by using inexpensive natural gas and low-carbon fuels like biogas rather than combustion-based power generators. Fuel cells can be used for almost every portable application, which typically uses batteries, from hand-held devices to portable generators.

Though fuel cells are used with multiple fuel sources, this paper focuses on hydrogen fuel cell usage. Hydrogen is abundantly found in many forms on Earth. Though it is not readily found in its molecular form of H2, it can be extracted or reformed from hydrocarbon fuels, biological and fossil, or water by using the "electrolysis" process, which works on the principle of water splitting [13].

Fuel cells convert the chemical energy in the hydrogen directly into electricity. The byproducts of the process are pure water and heat. This released heat can be used for heating purposes as well. Hydrogen-powered fuel cells are pollution-free and have more than two times the efficiency of traditional combustion technologies. The typical generation efficiency of a conventional combustion-based power plant is 33-35%, whereas fuel cell systems can have a generation efficiency of up to 60% or higher with cogeneration [16].

4.1. Functioning

A single fuel cell is an electrolyte sandwiched between 2 electrodes, a cathode and an anode. The bipolar plates on both sides of the cell help distribute gases and serve as current collectors. In a Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) fuel cell, considered the most promising for light-duty transportation, hydrogen gas flows through channels towards the anode, where hydrogen molecules separate into protons and electrons due to catalyst. Here the membrane allows only protons to pass through it. When the protons pass through the membrane to the cell's other side, the negatively charged electrons travel along the external circuit to the cathode. This flow of electrons is called electricity, which can be used for various purposes.

Air reaches the cathode through the channels on the other side of the cell. When electrons return after completing the external circuit, they react with oxygen in the air and the protons at the cathode, resulting in water formation. This is the exothermic reaction which generates heat that can be used outside of the fuel cell.

The power output of the fuel cell depends on various factors like fuel cell type, size, operating temperature, and the pressure at which gases are supplied [16]. The output of a single fuel cell is in the range of 0.5 to 1 volt, which is hardly enough voltage for even the smallest application. This voltage can be increased by connecting multiple fuel cells in series and forming a stack. Usually, the term fuel cell is used for the whole stack. The stack size of the fuel cell depends on the application. It can range from a few cells to hundreds of cells connected together.



(Source: [17])

4.2. Types of fuel cells

Classification of fuel cells is done based on their electrolyte, which is greatly correlated to the operating temperature. Solid-oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) operate at a higher temperature scale. They operate on various gaseous, liquid fuels at around 700°C and above with ceramic materials and oxygen anion conducting species. Molten carbonate (carbonate anions) works at a little lower temperature and then the proton-conducting cells, including phosphoric acid cells, work at even lower temperatures. Finally, the polymer electrolyte fuel cells which operate at 100°C or lower temperatures. These cells typically operate on hydrogen because of this temperature requirement. However, they may work with denser carriers such as methanol [13].

The below tables shows the principle operation characteristics and physical properties of some of the fuel cells.

FUEL CELL TYPE	MOBILE	OPERATING	APPLICATIONS	
	ION	TEMPERATURE °C		
PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE, PEMFC, PEFC	H⁺	30 - 100	Vehicles, mobile equipment, low- power CHP systems	
DIRECT METHANOL, DMFC	H⁺	20 - 90	Portable electronic systems with low power, long operating times	
PHOSPHORIC ACID (PAFC)	H⁺	~ 200	Large numbers of 200 kW CHP systems	
ALKALINE, AFC	OH-	50 - 200	Space vehicles	
MOLTEN CARBONATE, MCFC	CO3 ²⁻	~ 650	Medium to large-scale CHP systems	
SOLID OXIDE, SOFC	O ²⁻	500 - 1000	All sizes of CHP systems	

Table 3: Physical properties of some fuel cells [17]

FUEL CELL TYPE	MATERIAL AND	OPERATING	ELECTROLYTE	EFFICIENCY	
	CATALYST	TEMPERATURE °C			
PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE, PEMFC, PEFC	Carbon and Platinum	30 - 100	Solid membrane, e.g., Nafion	40 - 50	
DIRECT METHANOL, DMFC	Platinum and Ruthenium	20 - 90	Solid membrane	20 - 50	
PHOSPHORIC ACID (PAFC)	H⁺	~ 200	Phosphoric acid	40 - 50	
ALKALINE, AFC	Carbon and Nickel	50 - 200	Potassium hydroxide	50 - 60	
MOLTEN CARBONATE, MCFC	Stainless steel and Nickel	~ 650	Carbonated metal	> 60	
SOLID OXIDE, SOFC	Ceramic and Perovskites	500 - 1000	Ceramics, e.g., YSZ	50 -60	

4.2.1. Proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) or polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFC)

PEM fuel cell operates at relatively low temperatures, around 80°C. Their efficiency (conversion of hydrogen into electricity) is about 50-60%. In this type of fuel cell, the electrolyte is a solid polymer through which protons can penetrate. This type of fuel cell is being well promoted and is introduced as the power source for ground vehicles. These are small and compact in size and have good start-up behaviour.

PEM fuel cells are also considered as the power source for mobile and big stationary power plants. Their advantage is the solid phase electrolyte in the form of a thin polymer membrane. The surrounding catalyst used is of platinum. This cell is susceptible to the purity of the hydrogen provided. PEM fuel cells were also used on the first human-crewed spacecraft [17]. Though the platinum catalyst is costly, the discovery of the nickel-tin catalyst has helped boost its usage. As a result, these fuel cells can be used as an alternative for batteries in spacecraft.

4.2.2. Alkaline fuel cells (AFC)

This type of fuel cell is used in space vehicles for electricity generation and drinkable water production for astronauts. It has an energy efficiency of about 70% and operates at a temperature of 150-200°C. Its electrolyte mainly consists of potassium hydroxide (KOH). Its significant advantage is that it does not depend upon platinum as the catalyst. The input fuels used are generally pure hydrogen and oxygen; if the air is used (instead of oxygen), it must be free from CO2 [17]. This fuel cell type was used in Apollo and Shuttle Orbiter craft.

4.2.3. Phosphoric acid fuel cells (PAFC)

Phosphoric acid fuel cells have been commercially in operation for a while now. It is used in hospitals, offices, schools, hotels, and airports. It has a conversion efficiency of 40-50%, which is slightly lower than other fuel cells. It operates in the temperature range of 150-200°C. Here the electrolyte is phosphor acid [17]. These fuel cells are usually big in size and heavy, but the technology used is considered as mature.

4.2.4. Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC)

The electrolyte which is used in solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) is zirconia compounds. It conducts oxygen ions formed at the positive electrode [18]. This fuel cell type is considered a good choice for big units, even in remote locations. Its operating temperature is on a higher scale which is around 1000°C. Because of the hightemperature range, high reaction rates can be attained without using expensive catalysts. Also, due to these high temperatures, gases, e.g., natural gas can be used directly or can be internally reformed without any separate reformer unit. It has an efficiency of up to 60% and can also be integrated with steam turbines to generate extra electricity.

4.2.5. Molten carbonate fuel cells (MCFC)

Fuel cell types, such as PEMFC (proton exchange membrane fuel cell), PAFC (phosphoric acid fuel cell), and AFC (alkaline fuel cell), operate on H^+ and OH^- movements in the electrolyte. On the contrary, the SOFC (solid oxide fuel cell) and MCFCs (molten carbonate fuel cells) operate with carbonate ions CO_3^{2-} and oxide ions O^{2-} . [13]

Molten carbonate fuel cells need molten carbonates at around 600°C, and the efforts to manage and control molten carbonates in fuel cell heating appliances are also very tough, but the process is technically feasible [19]. The electrolyte used in this fuel cell consists of carbonates of lithium, potassium, and sodium. It has a working efficiency of about 60% and requires carbon dioxide in the air to function. Like SOFC, it can also be integrated with steam turbines to produce extra electricity.

4.2.6. Direct methanol fuel cells (DMFC)

The direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) is similar to the PEMFC, but instead of hydrogen, methanol is used as a fuel [13]. It has a working efficiency of 30-40% and operates in the temperature range of 50-100C. DMFC can be used in portable devices such as mobiles, computers, etc. [17]. The advantage of these fuel cells is their fuel. Methanol is readily available in cartridges which can be easily connected without particular skill or training, unlike compressed gas cylinders [19].

4.2.7. Reversible fuel cells

A cell that can be used for both purposes, hydrogen generation by electrolysis and electricity production as a fuel cell is known as a reversible fuel cell [17]. Whenever there is extra electricity from solar or wind, the cell can operate as an electrolyzer and produce hydrogen by electrolysis process on water. In fuel cell mode, it will work reverse to produce electricity.

5. Green hydrogen

The idea of CO2 emissions and global warming is not new; it was already said by Arrhenius a century ago that CO2 released from fossil fuel combustion could cause the temperature to rise on earth, making it warm as the infrared opacity of earth's atmosphere will continue to increase [14]. To maintain the earth's temperature and avoid excessive CO2 emissions, there is no alternative to using a clean and abundant energy source.

As per energy crisis and environmental concerns, hydrogen is one of the most favourable alternative energy carriers for power generation and expensive chemical products. [3] Hydrogen production is possible in many renewables and non-renewable ways, which vary in their greenhouse gas emissions. For hydrogen to be the future low-carbon energy system, it is necessary to accept and scale hydrogen production with environment-friendly methods, such as water electrolysis with the use of electricity produced from solar or wind generation systems. The hydrogen produced with this method is called "Green Hydrogen" [20].

Europe, particularly Germany, is one of the largest energy consumers. Its dependence on Russian gas is well known. Germany is focusing more on increasing its renewable energy generation capacity to become more energy independent. Though it is said that green hydrogen could be the best alternative for current fuel and greenhouse gas problems, it is also necessary to evaluate the actual potential of green hydrogen plants.

This paper focuses on designing and evaluating a small green hydrogen production and storage system, which could be used for electricity production in the winter months when the actual electricity generation from the solar system is shallow. The study aims to design a self-sustainable building using green hydrogen, battery bank, electrolyzer, and fuel cell system. The following chapters will focus on system design, operation, financial compatibility of the system compared to orthodox energy production and storage methods, and future scope and challenges for the system.

6. Business case study

Hydrogen and renewable energy sources share a strong synergy [21]. On one side, the benefits of hydrogen as a clean, efficient, and versatile fuel can only be realized if it is produced from renewable energy sources. On the other side, the energy produced from renewable sources needs storage. Hydrogen can be stored easily and for a long duration and hence could be a great source of energy storage for later use as a fuel for electricity production or transportation [22].

The business case to be studied here is one such effort to test the overall performance and feasibility of green hydrogen production and storage system with the help of electricity produced from an oversized photovoltaic system, an electrolyzer, fuel cell, and battery storage system as a backup.

The system will further be compared with the combination of only photovoltaic and battery storage systems to find out which could be the best-optimized system both financially and performance-wise.

6.1. Aim

Hydrogen is one of the most abundant and simplest elements found on Earth. It can store and deliver useful energy effectively, but it does not exist in its true form in nature. It has to be produced or extracted from the compounds containing it, which requires diverse and domestic resources. Currently, a large portion of total hydrogen is produced from fossil fuels, especially natural gas. One more way to produce hydrogen includes electrolysis using electricity from the grid or renewable sources. The hydrogen produced from the electrolysis method using electricity from solar or wind is the cleanest form of hydrogen, and with the current technological advancement, this technology is becoming cheaper and cheaper [23].

Germany has ideally two seasons, summer and winter. Each season occupies six months approximately. This paper aims to evaluate the financial feasibility of green hydrogen production in summer to use for winter electricity production. In the summer season, when the electricity generated from the photovoltaic system is much higher than the actual energy requirement of the building, the extra electricity generated can be used for hydrogen production with the help of the electrolysis method and this hydrogen can be stored to use in the winter season for electricity production with the help of fuel cells. An excel tool is created for the evaluation of the system. The results from the excel tool are later compared with the simulation results from "HOMER" software for the exact configuration of the system. Further, the system will be divided into two parts, i.e., with and without battery storage system, to compare and find the best solution.

Before going further in the topic, it is very important to understand the energy flow in the system. There are more than four components in the system, and it is often very confusing to understand the exact flow of energy. The first and primary energy source in the system is the photovoltaic system. The electricity produced from the photovoltaic system travels throughout the system. The order of electricity flow is as shown below; going forward if it remains extra after every stage,



Figure 2: Flow of electricity from the PV system

The load first consumes the electricity from the photovoltaic system. If the electricity produced at that time is more than what loads require, it will be used for battery system charging. It will then be used for the electrolysis process in the electrolyzer if more energy is available after the battery charging stage. Lastly, the remaining electricity will be fed to the grid if it is still left. In the whole process, there is a certain amount of energy loss at every stage of energy conversion, e.g., the energy is converted from electric form to chemical while charging the batteries, or it is converted to gaseous form from electric in the electrolyzer. Every component has its efficiency, which cannot be changed. This energy loss must be considered when deciding the size of other components. The following chapters will explain the sizing method and its calculations for all the components in the system.

6.2. Available data

The system is planned for a commercial building of approximately 2100 m² area including warehouses and around 1120 m² office area located in Neuss, a city in North-Rhine Westphalia in Germany. The building has two parts, one is a pitched roof facing northeast and southwest, and the other part is a flat roof, as seen in the image below. The photovoltaic system is installed on a pitched roof parallel to the roof. The system is sized much bigger than the actual energy requirement of the building. It is because of the cost-effectiveness of solar energy and the provision of extra electricity for the electrolysis process.



Figure 3: Office building

The energy requirements are given by the respective authorities of the building, and the values are given for a year. The requirement is as follows,

Annual final energy demand for heating	=	37.4 kWh/m² x 1,120m²	=	41,888	kWh
Annual final energy demand for ventilation and cooling	=	9.4 kWh/m² x 1,120m²	=	10,528	kWh
Annual final energy demand for lighting and auxiliary power	=	22.9 kWh/m² x 1,120m²	=	25,648	kWh
Warehouse (lighting/production)			=	15,000	kWh (estimated)
Electromobility			=	100,000	kWh (estimated)

The system needs to be sized according to the energy needs of the building so that energy generation should not be very less or very high than the requirement. Summarizing all the energy demands together, the final energy requirement of the building is as shown in the below table,

Table 5: Total energy requirement of the office building

Total energy consumption in KWh / a	193,087.05
Electromobility [kWh / a]	100,000.00
Heating requirements [kWh / a]	41,888.00
Electricity consumption [kWh / a]	51,199.05
Number of persons in the building	25.00
Living area [m²]	1,120.00

6.3. Sizing guidelines

The renewable energy sources such as wind and solar are totally dependent on the weather and often fluctuate in power output. Storage systems are broadly accepted as the potential solution to filter these fluctuations. It offers advantages like continuous power delivery, fast response capability, and geographical independence [24]. But the most important thing during the implementation of storage systems is to determine the optimum sizing. It is crucial as it determines the balancing between technical improvements brought by the storage system and overall extra cost.

It is also necessary to optimize the other system components like storage systems. Proper sizing of the system not only increases system efficiency but also results in a low overall cost of energy. The following chapters will discuss some important principles to be considered while sizing different system components.

6.3.1. Photovoltaic system

A photovoltaic system is mainly installed in 4 different ways, first is to use the generated electricity for self-consumption and feed the remaining electricity to the grid; the second is to feed all the generated electricity to the grid; the third is self-consumption but in off-grid mode, where the extra generated electricity will be stored in storage systems instead of feeding it into the grid; and the fourth is the hybrid mode, where in addition to the photovoltaic system, other renewable sources, e.g., wind or biomass are also connected.

Every way of PV system installation has different sizing requirements to make it more beneficial, e.g., in the self-consumption system, if sized higher than the actual requirement, most of the produced electricity will go to the grid, which turns out to be non-profitable as the cost of energy per unit paid by the grid is often significantly less than the actual cost of electricity. Whereas, when the system is sized purely for feeding the grid, the higher sizing of the system will be beneficial.

The PV plant used in this system is sized higher than the actual energy requirement. It is because the energy produced from the PV plant is not only self-consumed but also used for storage and hydrogen production purposes. In the first phase of the calculation, the PV plant size is determined by the available space on the rooftop. As PV is the primary energy source in this system, it is necessary to first decide its sizing as per the space availability, and later with the help of the designed tool, the optimized size of the PV plant can be found.

The below image shows the planned installation of the PV plant.

The system is planned with Suntech STP405S-C54/Umh solar module. The module has a power output of 405W. Its dimensions are 1724x1134cx30 mm with a total weight of 22.1 kg. All the other properties of the module can be seen in the appendix section in the attached datasheet. The total system size is 388.8 kWp with 1360 modules (480 facing south-west and 480 facing north-east).



Figure 4: PV plant overview

6.3.2. Battery system

The energy storage system has been in use since the beginning itself. Germany used many local energy storages (batteries) in 1930 to stabilize and support the power system, specifically during nighttime. The energy storage system was a necessary part of the power system at that time as well. It was used for the continuous power supply at night, especially to overcome the problems of limited power supply from generators. The energy storage at that time comprised about 2% of total installed power (7GW) [25].

Energy storage systems are generally used to maintain power stability in case of power demand variations due to fluctuations of power flows produced from renewable energy sources and the dynamics of the necessary load profiles. [26] Cell voltage and impedance are the main factors determining the battery's power capability. The impedance of the battery can vary depending upon the current, time of charge/discharge, state of charge, and temperature. So it is essential to know the operating temperature, operating voltage range, and the duration of usage while sizing the battery system [27].

For sizing the storage system, it is also necessary to evaluate the system on economics and power reliability factors [28]. In economic criteria, parameters such as levelized cost of energy (LCE), net present cost (NPC), the annualized cost of the system (ACS), internal rate of return (IRR), payback period (PBP), etc. are to be considered. Also, as the solar and wind systems are highly intermittent, it is important to evaluate the system's reliability as well. System reliability assessment will give helpful information about energy generation, such as whether it can balance the load demand or not for a given amount of time.

There are many studies available to calculate the sizing of the battery bank. A Chauhan in [28] points out many approaches and methodologies reported in the literature. Also, L A Wong in [29] depicts some interesting techniques for sizing the optimum battery storage systems. One more attractive and easy way of sizing a battery system can be seen in [30] by Á A Bayod-Rújula.

The actual and optimal sizing of the battery system used for the current system will be found out later with the help of a comparison between the results from the developed excel tool and a simulation software 'Homer'. The battery used in this system is a 48V nominal voltage, 3552 Wh capacity lithium-ion battery from BatterX (seen in the below image). The different life cycles proposed by the company can also be seen in the below graph. The detailed datasheet can be seen in the appendix.



Figure 5: Single Battery Module

(Source: https://www.batterx.io/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/batterX_Batterie-Lifepo-DE.pdf)



Figure 6: Battery Life Cycle



6.3.3. Electrolyzer system

O Atlam in [22] gives an electrolyzer sizing methodology depending on the relationship of MPP characteristic of a PV module and the I-V curve of the single PEM electrolyzer. T Maeda in [31] studies to find the best relationship between the control technique and the number of cells on the photovoltaic panel to attain the 0.48 Nm3/h hydrogen production rate with a power consumption of 2.25 kWe. J J Caparrós Mancera in [32] gives an overview of various studies carried out in the past for design and usage of PEM electrolyzers considering the balance of plants.

The current project is designed with the AEM 2.1 electrolyzer from Enapter (seen in the image below). It has a production rate of approx. 0.5Nm3/h, and it consumes 4.8kWh of electricity per Nm3 hydrogen produced. Further parameters can be seen in the appendix. The total number of stacks required for the system will be find out at the end after comparing the results from the Homer simulation and excel tool.



Figure 7: Electrolyzer Single Module

(Source: https://handbook.enapter.com/electrolyser/el40/downloads/Enapter_Datasheet_EL40_EN.pdf)

6.3.4. Fuel cell system

The power produced from the fuel cells should be distributed between the energy units in such a way that the fuel cell will supply constant average power (which will help in increasing the optimum efficiency of the fuel cell) and the storage system will provide the necessary peak power and continue to get recharged by fuel cells during the off-peak periods [33]. The combination of fuel cells with other storage types comes with many advantages. It helps in reducing the required battery power, resulting in longer battery life [34].

Using the fuel cell as the energy generator, batteries can act as an energy buffer, reducing the burden from every component in supplying power demands. This is mainly for the applications where load changes (peak power requirement) occur only at certain times. In such cases, this approach permits the components of the system to be downsized, reducing the overall system cost, and longer operating life cycles, low maintenance, and lower physical size, will result in higher system efficiency.

The fuel cell to be used in this system is PM 400-48 stack module produced by Proton Motors (seen in the image below). The module used has an output power range of 2.1-14.2 kW with an efficiency of about 50%. Further details are available in the appendix.



Figure 8: Fuel Cell Single Module

(Source: https://www.batterx.io/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/batterX_Batterie-Lifepo-DE.pdf)

6.3.5. Heat pump

The required heating energy, though mentioned as 41,888 kWh/yr, is the energy in terms of heat. The system designed in this paper comprises an electric heat pump which will consume energy in terms of electricity and give output in the form of heat. Heat pumps usually have an efficiency of more than 300%, e.g., the heat pump used in this system can convert 1 kWh electricity into up to 5-6 kWh of heat energy. This conversion factor is known as the coefficient of performance (COP).

The heat pump has to be chosen according to the hourly average heat requirement of the building, which in this case is an unknown factor. The only known factor is the total heat requirement for one year. A heating degree days (HDD) method is opted to convert an initial value into hourly usage. Though the final hourly values are not the exact ones, it gives the basic idea about the average hourly heating requirement over the whole year and will be used as a basis for heat pump selection.

The heating degree day is a term used to calibrate the weather component in the user's heating load. In simple words, it is commonly used to evaluate the related energy consumption of the buildings for heating. Heating degree day is defined as a product of how much in degrees and the duration in days where the temperature outside the

building was below a certain level. The certain level mentioned here is known as 'Base Temperature', which is the outside temperature below which the building needs heating. For example, if the base temperature is considered to be 15.5°C, and the average outside temperature on a particular day is 13°C, then the heating degree day of that particular day is [35],

= (15.5 - 13) degree x 1 day

= 2 degree day

The base temperature considered here as 15.5°C is taken from the [36].

The values used for the heating degree days are calculated with a tool developed by 'degreedays.net'. The total heating degree days for the given location were calculated to be 1983.1 for the year 2021. The daily HDD values can be seen in the developed tool. These daily HDD values were later divided into 24 equal parts to get the hourly values. Though the final hourly value will not be the exact value, it can be used as an average reference value to choose the heat pump. After all the calculations, the average hourly heating load was found to be 4.78 kW, and the maximum hourly heating load was found to be 19.63 kW.

After much research, the 'SmartHeat Classic 016 WWi (W10/W35)' (seen in the image below) heat pump was finalized for calculation purposes. It offers a COP in the range of 5.93-6.52 for different ranges of power output between 3.36-16.62 kW. Further details are available in the datasheet attached in the appendix.

The final electric energy required for heating was calculated to be 7448.75 kWh. So, the final energy requirement of the building will be,

Table 6: Final energy consumption of the office building

Electricity requirements in KWh per year	51,199.05
Heating requirements (in the form of electricity) [kWh / a]	7448.75
Electromobility [kWh / a]	100,000.00
Total energy consumption in KWh / a	158,647.8





(Source: https://www.smartheat.de/fileadmin/media/downloads/SmartHeat_classic_2017_Auszug.pdf)

6.4. Calculation process

To evaluate the total system performance, it is important to observe the individual performances of all the components in the system. It can be very easy if a tool could calculate and present the final outcomes of the system and all component's performances. This paper also explains the factors necessary while designing such a tool. This will help in the final comparison of the green hydrogen system when used with or without a battery storage system. It would be very easy and interesting to evaluate and compare with the help of actual numbers instead of mere approximations.

While designing any tool, it is essential to have the reference sizing for every component of the system. This helps in going further with the calculations; to be more precise, it helps in framing the conditional sets for inserting actual operating parameters of the component in the tool. For example, while writing the conditions for the electrolyzer system, the electrolyzer must operate only if there is extra electricity from the PV system after supplying primary load and battery charging. Having reference values of primary load and battery system helps determine the quantity and occurrence of the remaining energy. For that purpose, all the components seen in the previous chapters were sized on a reference basis (except the PV system), and the optimum sizing will be found at the end with the help of the designed tool. The results from the tool will then be compared with simulation results from Homer to examine the correctness.
The following chapters will explain the designing process of the tool, which incurs multiple steps as follows.

Step 1: Distribution and classification of load

As mentioned in previous chapters, the total primary load is divided into three categories: electricity demand for complete lighting, heating demand in terms of electricity, and electromobility demand for charging electric vehicles. Four types of primary load profiles have been created to test the system's optimization with every kind of primary load. The first load profile has loads only from the lighting section. The load is distributed on an hourly basis over one year. This load profile is obtained from the facility authorities for the year 2021. The second load profile is the combination of the first load profile and heating demand. The third load profile is the combination of the first load profile and electromobility demand, and the fourth load profile is the combination of all the three categories. The total load requirement of all the load profiles is as shown in below table,

Table 7: Four different load profiles for simulation

LOAD PROFILES

ONLY LIGHTING (KWH)	51.20	MWh
LIGHTING AND ELECTROMOBILITY (KWH)	151.199	MWh
LIGHTING AND HEATING (KWH)	58.65	MWh
LIGHTING, ELECTROMOBILITY AND HEATING (KWH)	158.65	MWh

It is important to know here that the electromobility load is an estimation based on the number of vehicles and their consumption. Also, this load, in particular, is distributed only on the working days (as there will be no charging on holidays) in the year from 8 A.M. to 6 P.M. On the other hand, the heating demand is not distributed only on working days. Instead, it is calculated for all the days, as the heater will not be switched ON and OFF on a daily basis.

This distribution of loads makes it easier to find the remaining amount of primary energy coming from the PV system, which will be used for the following stages. In the next step, the extra energy from the primary source will be used for battery charging (if needed) and then supplied to the electrolyzer for hydrogen production.

Step 2: Battery system creation

Designing a battery system is the most critical phase of tool creation. The battery is the section which acts as a mediator between all the components in the system. Multiple conditions need to be considered for the battery system.

Condition 1: batteries will always prefer the PV energy in case of the charging phase. If it is not available, or not enough, in that case only it will use the energy from fuel cells.

Condition 2: in case of discharging, energy from the batteries will only be used for supplying the primary load. It can never be used as a supply for electrolyzer.

Condition 3: The battery should supply primary load only if the energy from the PV and fuel cell system is insufficient.

Condition 4: if the PV energy is more than what is needed for battery charging, the remaining energy should go to the electrolyzer.

Condition 5: for every charge/discharge cycle, the fluctuations in the battery state of charge should be visible.

Condition 6: there should be a counter to count the energy supplied and consumed by the battery system.

Condition 7: there should be a counter to count the number of battery cycles.



Figure 10: Battery Bank Supply

Step 3: Electrolyzer system

The electrolyzer keeps the system alive and serves the purpose by producing emissionfree hydrogen, and that too only when there is extra electricity generation from the PV plant. While designing an electrolyzer system, it is essential to track PV energy usage. The electricity remaining after the primary load supply and battery charging (if necessary) should be used for the electrolysis process. The steps included in designing are as follows,

1. keeping track of remaining PV electricity every hour after primary load supply and battery charging

- 2. usage of extra electricity for electrolysis purpose as per electrolyzer parameters
- 3. counting the amount of hydrogen produced every hour
- 4. counting the amount of extra electricity going to the grid after electrolysis.



Figure 11: PV Energy flow into Electrolyzer

Step 4: Fuel cell system

Fuel cell working technology is based on the principle of converting the chemical energy of hydrogen into electrical energy. As mentioned in previous chapters, it is better for the fuel cell system to run without interruption. Therefore, there should be enough supply of hydrogen to keep the fuel cell running for the given period. There comes the optimum sizing of electrolyzer, hydrogen storage cylinders and fuel cell. Every component depends on each other. If the electrolyzer is sized big without enough hydrogen storage cylinders, the system efficiency will reduce drastically, resulting in high overall system costs. With the help of this excel tool, it will be easy to find out the optimum sizing of every component just by comparing different size combinations and choosing the best combination by looking in the results tab, where it shows the overall system efficiency and Levelized cost of energy.

The fuel cell system is planned to operate in the winter season when the PV production is comparatively less than in the summer season and requires additional support for primary load supply. For the first year (it is considered that the system is already installed and starts its operation on the first day of the year), the fuel cell will switch ON two times. The first phase will be from January to April, and the second phase will be from October to December. Overall, the fuel cell system will run for seven months in the year.

The fuel cell system is responsible for supporting the PV system in winter times for primary load supply. The fuel cells can operate in different output ranges as per their technical specifications, e.g., a fuel cell model PM400-48 can operate in the output range of 2.1 kW to 14.2 kW (data sheet attached in the appendix). It means it can generate the power output in the range of 2.1-14.2 kW as per the load requirements. So, whenever there is no power requirement from the fuel cell, it will continue to operate on the lowest possible output. As soon as PV energy is insufficient to supply the load, fuel cells will increase their production maximum until its specified capacity. In case of extra potential, i.e., if the power requirement from the primary load is less than the fuel cell's maximum power output range, it can operate at full load with the remaining amount of power for battery charging. The flow of energy is as follows,



Figure 12: Fuel Cell Energy Flow

The conditions to consider while designing a fuel cell system in the tool can be,

Condition 1: it should operate only in the specified time period

Condition 2: the hydrogen consumption per hour should not exceed the given range of quantity for a particular fuel cell

Condition 3: there should be an indicator which shows the point at which hydrogen supply to the fuel cell system is stopped

Condition 4: fuel cell system should operate as per the load requirement and that too within the technical specifications given by the manufacturer

Condition 5: if there is no load on the fuel cell, it should continue to run at its lowest limit, and the energy generated will be supplied to the grid

Condition 6: there should be a counter to count the exact amount of energy generated by the fuel cell and the amount of energy supplied to the primary load, battery system and grid

Condition 7: there should be a counter to count the amount of hydrogen consumed.

6.5. Simulations

After considering all the conditions mentioned in the previous chapter, an excel program is designed to make all the calculations after inserting the component sizes in the input fields. The most important thing while designing the final product is that there should be a display where the performance of all the system components can be easily seen in one pane, making it easier for the user to understand. The simulation results can be seen in the following steps.

Final simulations of the system are performed in the developed excel tool with combinations of different system components' sizing. Some combination results are compared with the simulation results from "HOMER". Homer being a paid software, has limited access and could be used for some iterations.

Case 1:

The system is designed to generate the maximum power from the PV source. In the first case, the PV system is sized at its maximum possible value, that is 388.8 kWp. The PV system is designed and simulated with the "Helioscope" software, which gives the annual energy production forecast. The software also provides the annual hourly

production forecast, which is used for the excel tool calculations. The sizing for all the components to be considered for case 1 are as follows,

PV System: 388.8 kWp Electrolyzer System: 48 kW Fuel Cell System: 14.2 kWp Battery Storage System: 520 kWh Hydrogen Storage Tank: 1000 kg

The result of the above combination of component sizing is as shown below,

A business ca	se study of G	ireen Hyd	drogen	PV					Fuel Cell							6.01	D 41A/b
producti	on using PV i	n Germai	nv	Total DV Broduction			212 //	MMb	Operating hours		1050	hrc	Unmet elec			0.91	
	<u> </u>			PV energy used direct	ly to supp	ly primary loa	09 12	MM	H2 consumed		2403		Hydrogen	vstom officion	~	20.00	0/
				PV energy used for ha	tterv char	rging	13.83	MWh	Total electricity	nroduced	38.90		PV -> Electrolyser -> Euel Cell -> Ele		-> Elec	tricity	/0
				PV energy used for Ele	ectrolycer		76 50	M/M/b	Energy Supplied	by EC to primary load	22.59		Note : for accurate efficiency, set initial a		itial am	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	388.8 kW			Extra DV Electricity go	ing to GRI	חו	123 07	M/M/b	No. of Starts	by re to primary load	6.00			ate emolency, seen			
				Extra PV Electricity go	ing to GRI		123.97		Extra EC Electric	ty going to CPID	1.7						
Battery Bank Size	520 kWh		Input						Heat produced	ity going to GKID	1.7		Total Flast	isity spins to (125.74	B.41A/b
				Battery Bank					Fear produced		44.5		Total Electr	icity going to e	עואנ	125.74	
Electrolyser Size	48 kW				hattarias	Linitial canadi	20.14	N 4) A / In	Energy Supplied by FC to charge batterie		e 14.5	NWN	Direct DV/			24.44	0/
		_		Energy consumed by I	batteries -	+ initial capaci	30.14	NAWA					Direct PV u	sage	31.41		%
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			Ellergy supplied by ba	atteries		50.01		Hydrogen Tank								-
	-			No. of Battery Cycles			57.34	Cycles					Cost of E	nergy (CO	E)	0.23	€/kWh
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC shee	et							Initial amount o	Initial amount of H2) kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			Electrolycor					Amount of H2 at year end 0.0		7 kg						
H2 Tank Siza	1000 kg	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser					Hydrogen used	by FC in 1st phase	1299.65	5 kg	D\/ Energy				
	1000 kg	0521	tank	Operating hours			1974.00	hrs	Hydrogen used	by FC in 2nd phase	1132.57	7 kg	PV Energy Flo			FIOW	
Initial amount of	1000	8531	storage has	Electricity consumed			76.50	MWh									
Н2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced			1432.84	kg	Hydrogen availa	ble before 2nd phase	1000.00) kg	Total	PV Energy	\Rightarrow	312.44	MWh
									Hvdrogen produ	ced in 2nd phase	132.5	7 kg					
										· ·		<u> </u>	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load				System Cost & E	inergy F	Production			PV COE	0.04	€/kWł	1		•			
Total Load	158.05			System cost for 1st ye	ar		1,509,531.74€				-		Batter	y Charging	\Rightarrow	13.83	MWh
				System cost for 25 year	ars		1,786,453.41€							\mathbf{I}			
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change	the values in		Electricity produced in	n 25 years	5	7810.99	MWh					Electro	lyser Usage	\Rightarrow	76.50	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery'	')		,,													
													Excess Elec	tricity to GRID		123.97	MWh
																	1

Figure 13: Case 1 Result

As seen in the fig. 12, the final cost of energy (COE) (COE is calculated for the period of 25 years. It is the ratio of the total expenditure of 25 years to the total electricity produced) with this combination of components sizes comes as 0.23 €/kWh. Though the COE looks pretty tempting for such a hybrid system, there are many factors to consider before coming to a conclusion. The first thing to note is the amount of hydrogen remaining at the year-end. The amount is very small, and the fuel cell system needs to run for four more months. The second thing is an error in the Fuel cell unit. The cell marked red in the fuel cell unit is the 'number of times fuel cell starts in a year'. As the calculation phase of the system starts in Jan 2021 and ends in Dec 2021, the fuel cell system should start and shut down two times a year because the fuel cell system is designed to run only in the winter months (Oct-Apr). But it can be seen that, the fuel cell system is starting six times, which means there is a shortage of hydrogen supply at some points in the current year. To be specific, the red box at the left bottom shows that the hydrogen tank is getting empty in both the phases of fuel cell operation. To rectify this error, the system needs sizing changes.

It is natural to think that if there is a shortage of hydrogen, then there must be less hydrogen production by the electrolyzer system, and its size should be increased. The results after increasing the electrolyzer size are as shown in fig. 13.

Even after increasing the electrolyzer size, there is not much improvement in the number of fuel cell starts. Also, no significant change in the stored hydrogen quantity can be observed at the year-end, and the increase in electrolyzer size has only resulted in an increase in final COE. This is because of the system limitation for hydrogen storage. The electrolyzer will stop working even if it is supplied with the electricity if the hydrogen tanks are full. The only positive result in this case is that the first phase of the fuel cell operation has no interruptions. Also, to store more hydrogen in summer, the storage tank has to be increased.

A business ca	ise study of Gr	een Hyd	lrogen	PV		Fuel Cell				Unmet electr	icity load		7 52	MM/b
producti	on using PV in	German	ny	Total PV Production	312 44 MW/b	Operating h	OURE	/1880	hrs	onnet ciccu	icity iodu		7.55	
· ·		1	·	PV energy used directly to supply primary loa	98 13 MWh	H2 consume	h	2467.54	ka ka	Hydrogen sys	tem efficiency	,	30.83	%
				PV energy used for battery charging	14.02 MWh	Total electr	icity produced	2407.34	MWh	PV -> Electrolys	ser -> Fuel Cell -	> Elect	ricity	/0
		1		PV energy used for Electrolyser	78 38 MWh	Energy Sun	plied by EC to primary load	22.74	MWb	Note : for accurate efficiency, set in		tial amo	unt of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	388.8 kW			Extra PV Electricity going to GBID	121 91 MWh	No. of Start		4.00		-				
					121.51 1010011	Extra EC Ele	s	1.75	MWb	.				
Battery Bank Size	520 kWh		Input			Heat produced		45.23	MWh	Total Electric	ity going to G	ng to CPID 122		MWb
				Battery Bank		Energy Sup	alied by EC to charge batteri	43.23	MM		ity going to di		123.00	
Electrolyser Size	72 kW			Energy consumed by batteries + initial capacity	Energy		Shed by IC to charge battene	14.93		h Direct BV usage			21 /1 9/	
		-		Energy supplied by batteries	29.32 MWh					Directivusa	ige		31.41	. 70
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW				ef Detten: Curles 29.79 MWN Hydrogen Tank				Contract En		<u> </u>	0.25	C /LANIL	
			No. of Battery Cycles	56.92 Cycles	, ,		1		COST OF EN	ergy (COE)	0.25	€/KWN	
(Note: FC size and data ne	eed to change in FC sheet					Initial amou	int of H2	1000	kg					
as per the details in datas	sheet)			Electrolyser		Amount of H2 at year end		0.00	kg	(· · · · · · ·				
H2 Tank Size	1000 kg	1st phase	If turns RED,	Liectiolysei		Hydrogen used by FC in 1st phase		1308.17	kg		DV/ Eng	rav		
	1000 10	8561	tank	Operating hours	1528.00 hrs	Hydrogen u	sed by FC in 2nd phase	1159.37	kg		FVLIE	igy	FIUW	
Initial amount of	1000 kg	0501	storage has	Electricity consumed	78.38 MWh									
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced	1467.91 kg	Hydrogen a	vailable before 2nd phase	1000.00	kg	Total PV	'Energy		312.44	MWh
						Hydrogen p	roduced in 2nd phase	158.78	kg					
										Direct Con	sumption	\Rightarrow	98.13	MWh
Total Load	1 6 9 6 6 MINA/b			System Cost & Energy Production		PV CC	DE 0.04	€/kWh			•			
	138.05			System cost for 1st year	1,594,661.05 €					Battery C	Charging		14.02	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1,942,686.25 €						-			
(Note : for different	load profile, change t	he values in		Electricity produced in 25 years	7810.99 MWh					Electrolys	er Usage	\Rightarrow	78.38	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")									Į į				<u> </u>
										Excess Electri	icity to GRID	⇒ [121.91	MWh

Figure 14: Case 1.2

Fig. 14 shows the results after increasing hydrogen storage and reducing the electrolyzer value to its previous value of 48 kW.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	lrogen	PV				Fuel Cel									
nun du ati	, on using DV/ in	Courses											Unmet elec	tricity load	_	6.87	MWh
producti	on using PV in	German	iy	Total PV Production		312.44	MWh	Operating	hours		5088	hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply	<pre>primary load</pre>	98.13	MWh	H2 consum	ned		2603.09	kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficiend	сy 🛛	30.90	%
				PV energy used for battery charging	ing	13.49	MWh	Total elect	ricity pro	duced	41.53	MWh	PV -> Electrolyser -> Fuel Cell -> Ele		-> Elect	ricity	
DV Diant Ciza	300 0 1/14			PV energy used for Electrolyser		77.16	MWh	Energy Sup	plied by	FC to primary load	23.91	MWh	Note : for accurate efficiency, set initial		nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	500.0 KVV			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		123.65	MWh	No. of Star	ts		2.00						
Datter Dauly Cine	5 20 Junth		Innut					Extra FC El	ectricity	going to GRID	1.79	MWh					
Battery Bank Size	520 KWN		Input	Bettem: Benk				Heat prod	uced		47.72	MWh	Total Electr	icity going to G	GRID	125.44	MWh
	40 1.11			Battery Bank				Energy Sup	plied by	FC to charge batterie	15.84	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	48 KW			Energy consumed by batteries + in	initial capacit	29.85	MWh						Direct PV u	sage		31.41	%
				Energy supplied by batteries		29.74	MWh		_								
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		56.80 Cycles Hydrogen Tank				Cost of Energy (COE)		E)	0.25	€/kWh			
Note: FC size and data need to change in FC sheet						Initial amount of H2		1200	kg								
as per the details in datas	heet)						Amount of H2 at year end		41.30	kg							
		1st phase	16 to man 050	Electrolyser				Hydrogen used by FC in 1st phase		1308.17	kg						
H2 Tank Size	1200 kg		tank	Operating hours		1991.00	hrs	Hvdrogen	used by F	C in 2nd phase	1294.92	94.92 kg		PV Energ		Flow	
Initial amount of		8760	storage has	Electricity consumed		77.16	MWh	7 0 -				0					
H2	1200 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1445.14	kg	Hvdrogen	available	before 2nd phase	1200.00	kg	Total	PV Energy	-	312.44	MWh
							<u> </u>	Hydrogen	produced	in 2nd phase	136.22	kg					
								1. 10				0	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Tatalland				System Cost & Energy Pro	oduction			PV C	OE	0.04	€/kWh			↓			
Total Load	158.05			System cost for 1st year		1,639,523.36 €					1		Batter	y Charging	\Rightarrow	13.49	MWh
				System cost for 25 years		1,916,243.99€								₽ ₽			
(Note : for different	oad profile, change t	he values in		Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99	MWh						Electrol	yser Usage		77.16	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")															-	<u> </u>
													Excess Elec	tricity to GRID	⇒	123.65	MWh
														,			

Figure 15: Case 1.3

The results show a significant improvement in the fuel cell running and even resulted in a slight lift in the hydrogen quantity remaining at year-end. But this increase in hydrogen storage is still insufficient as the remaining hydrogen at the year-end is inadequate for the fuel cell to keep running for the next four months. There is no doubt that in the upcoming months, the electrolyzer will also keep generating hydrogen, but due to the winter season, there will not be much electricity supply from PV to produce enough hydrogen.

Overall, the final COE and hydrogen quantity at the year-end does not only depends upon the electrolyzer and hydrogen storage tanks; it is affected by all the other system components as well. So, trying multiple combinations is necessary to find the optimum solution. Before going further with the different iterations, it would be good to provide all the information to the simulation software HOMER and see what optimum results the software gives. These results can later be used to find the optimum solution with the developed excel tool. This procedure can help in reducing the number of iterations.

Case 2: HOMER results

HOMER software is used to run simulations to find out the best possible system combination. The HOMER software is an excellent tool with plenty of options to work with. It is therefore essential to feed the necessary information at the correct place. Before using the software, it is important to know the software better and use only those parts of the software which are required for the project, e.g., for this system, the inputs needed for the HOMER will be load profile, PV plant details, battery system details, electrolyzer details, fuel cell system details, and hydrogen tank storage sizes. It would be possible to explain the usage of HOMER software in detail, but this paper focuses on the different system, and HOMER is just a small part of it, so it will not be possible to explain the software in detail here, but still, following steps will explain the overall idea. The input method for the parameters discussed is as follows,

1. Load profile: HOMER provides the option to create a new load profile itself in the tool or a readymade excel load profile can be uploaded. The current project's load profile, which includes electricity, heating, and e-mobility, is uploaded.

2. PV System creation: PV system can be created by giving all the details of the plant such as solar module manufacturer, inverter manufacturer, inclination and azimuth of the modules, location of the solar plant, etc. HOMER also provides an option to upload the simulated project from Helioscope. It automatically detects all the information from Helioscope and uses it for further calculations. A Helioscope simulation with a 388.8 kWp solar power plant is uploaded to the HOMER for this project.

3. Battery System: HOMER contains all types of battery storage units. It is therefore easy to choose the required battery system, and if the required battery system is not present in the software database, a new battery system can also be added.

4. Electrolyzer: HOMER also gives the solution to add an electrolyzer. The electrolyzer can be added with all its properties given in the datasheet by the manufacturer.

5. Fuel Cell: HOMER does not provide a special option to add a fuel cell system. It can be added as a generator operating on hydrogen as a fuel instead of fossil fuels. The properties of the fuel cell can then be added as provided by the manufacturer in the datasheet.

6. Hydrogen Storage Tank: Hydrogen storage tank option is also available and can be added.

To find the optimum solution at the end of the simulation, HOMER allows providing different sizing and pricing options for every component. It will calculate the number of iterations by considering all the possible combinations of the given size and price values. It focuses more on the final COE for the best result and provides the results with the best COE to evaluate and select. But all the other iterations performed in the background can also be accessed if required. After giving multiple sizing options for every component of the system, a couple of the best results from the software are as follows,

Result 1:

COMPONENT	SIZE	UNIT	COE (€)
PV SYSTEM	388.8	kWh	
BATTERY SYSTEM	67.2	kWh	
ELECTROLYZER	24	kW	0.30
FUEL CELL	14.2	kW	
HYDROGEN STORAGE TANK	1000	kg	

Table 8: HOMER Result 1

COMPONENT	SIZE	UNIT	COE (€)
PV SYSTEM	388.8	kWh	
BATTERY SYSTEM	336	kWh	
ELECTROLYZER	24	kW	0.37
FUEL CELL	14.2	kW	
HYDROGEN STORAGE TANK	1000	kg	

Both the results above have only one difference, and that is the battery size. But the increase in battery sizing has resulted only in the increase of system COE. The result considers the inflation rate of 2% every year. Also, the battery system used while doing the simulations in HOMER was a Tesvolt HV-70 lithium-ion battery set consisting of 14 modules, each of 4.8 kWh. The performance details of the system are shown in the image below.

PV		Battery Bank	
Total PV Production	312.43 MWh	Energy consumed by batteries + initial capacity	0.00 MWh
		Energy supplied by batteries	0.00 MWh
Grid Purchase		No. of Battery Cycles	0.00 Cycles
Total electricity purchased from Grid	48.71 MWh	Floctrobysor	
		Liectioryser	
Fuel Cell		Electricity consumed	63.41 MWh 1173.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours	5088.00 hrs	Electricity consumed H2 produced	63.41 MWh 1173.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed	5088.00 hrs 2173.00 kg/yr	Electricity consumed H2 produced	63.41 MWh 1173.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed Total electricity produced	5088.00 hrs 2173.00 kg/yr 33.28 MWh	Electricity consumed H2 produced Hydrogen Tank	63.41 MWh 1173.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed Total electricity produced No. of Starts	5088.00 hrs 2173.00 kg/yr 33.28 MWh 2.00	Electricity consumed H2 produced Hydrogen Tank Initial amount of H2	63.41 MWh 1173.00 kg/yr

Figure 16: Homer Result 1 Summary

PV		Battery Bank	
Total PV Production	312.43 MWh	Energy consumed by batteries	25.44 MWh
		Energy supplied by batteries	25.00 MWh
Grid Purchase			
Total electricity purchased from Grid	25.71 MWh		
		Electrolyser	
Fuel Cell		Electricity consumed	51.90 MWh
Fuel Cell Operating hours	5088.00 hrs	Electricity consumed H2 produced	51.90 MWh 960.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed	5088.00 hrs 1960.00 kg/yr	Electricity consumed H2 produced	51.90 MWh 960.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed Total electricity produced	5088.00 hrs 1960.00 kg/yr 30.02 MWh	Electricity consumed H2 produced	51.90 MWh 960.00 kg/yr
Fuel Cell Operating hours H2 consumed Total electricity produced No. of Starts	5088.00 hrs 1960.00 kg/yr 30.02 MWh 2.00	Electrolyser Electricity consumed H2 produced Hydrogen Tank Initial amount of H2	51.90 MWh 960.00 kg/yr 1000 kg

Figure 17: Homer Result 2 Summary

The pricing of the system components is as shown in below table,

Table 10: Costs of the system components used in HOMER simulation

COMPONENT	INITIAL COST (€)	REPLACEMENT COST (%)
PV SYSTEM	330480	0
BATTERY SYSTEM	72000	21213.69
ELECTROLYZER	85000	40430
FUEL CELL	42600	38144.61
HYDROGEN STORAGE TANK	650000	0

It would be nice to check the same system configuration with the excel tool. The difference between both tools is the battery manufacturer and its cost, and the excel tool does not consider the inflation rate. The prices for all the components used in the system are,

COMPONENT	INITIAL COST (€)	REPLACEMENT COST (%)
PV SYSTEM	330480	0
BATTERY SYSTEM	40320	32256
ELECTROLYZER	85000	68000
FUEL CELL	42600	34080
HYDROGEN STORAGE TANK	650000	0

	INITIAL	25 YEARS
MAINTENANCE COST (€)	3000	75000

Fig. 17 and 18 show the results from the excel tool simulation.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV				Fuel Cell								
producti	on using DV in	Cormo	~									Unmet elec	tricity load		23.0	9 MWh
producti	on using PV in	Germa	Iy	Total PV Production		312.44	MWh	Operating ho	urs	5088	3 hrs					
				PV energy used directly to su	ipply primary loa	98.13	MWh	H2 consumed		1992.32	2 kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficien	cy	32.3	5 %
				PV energy used for battery c	harging	7.01	MWh	Total electric	ty produced	32.60) MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elect	ricity	
DV Diant Size	200 0 1/14			PV energy used for Electrolys	ser	57.82	MWh	Energy Suppl	ed by FC to primary load	23.93	L MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	500.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to (GRID	149.48	MWh	No. of Starts		2.00)					
Battan Bank Car	C7 2 1445		La su de					Extra FC Electricity going to GRID		2.18	3 MWh					
Battery Bank Size	67.2 kWh		Input	Rettern Real	Hea		Heat produced 36.52 MWh		Total Electricity going to GRID		GRID	151.6	5 MWh			
	24 144			Battery Bank				Energy Suppl	ed by FC to charge batter	ie 6.52	2 MWh					
Electrolyser Size	24 KW			Energy consumed by batterie	es + initial capaci	t 13.59	MWh					Direct PV u	sage		31.41 %	
				Energy supplied by batteries		13.52	MWh	Wh								
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		201.23	1.23 _{Cycles} Hydrogen Tank				Cost of Energy (COE)		E) 0.1		<mark>) €/kWh</mark>	
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet							Initial amoun	t of H2	1000) kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)							Amount of H	2 at year end	90.15	s kg					
	1000	1st phase	If turns PED	Electrolyser				Hydrogen used by FC in 1st phase		1028.27	7 kg	DV Enou			Flau	
HZ Tank Size	1000 kg		tank	Operating hours		2709.00	hrs	Hydrogen used by FC in 2nd phase 964.0		5 kg		PV EN	iergy Flow			
Initial amount of		8760	storage has	Electricity consumed		57.82	MWh	, ,								
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1082.83	kg	Hydrogen ava	ilable before 2nd phase	948.74	1 kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
								Hydrogen pro	duced in 2nd phase	105.4	kσ				-	
								nyar ogen pre		100110		Direct C	onsumption		98 13	MWh
	450.05			System Cost & Energy	y Production			PV CO	0.04	<mark>1 €/kW</mark> ł	1	Directed			50.10	
lotal Load	158.65 MWh			System cost for 1st year		1,156,248.57 €						Batter	y Charging	-	7.01	MWh
				System cost for 25 years		1.478.950.26 €										
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in	-	Electricity produced in 25 ve	ars	7810.99	MWh					Electro	vser Usage	\Rightarrow	57.82	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")															-
			-									Excess Elec	tricity to GRID		149.48	MWh
													-,			-

Figure 18: Excel Tool Result 1 Summary

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV			Fuel Cell					tricity load		14.60	DAIA/b
producti	on using PV in	Germa	nv	Total BV Production	212 44 144	h	Operating hours		4204	brc	Unifiet elec			14.00	
		1	,	PV energy used directly to supply primary loa	08 13 MW	h	H2 consumed		2020 53	ka	Hydrogens	vstem efficienc	v	21 05	. %
				PV energy used for battery charging	12 39 MW	h	Total electricity pr	oduced	32.80	MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elec	ricity	/0
		1		PV energy used for Electrolyser	54.88 MW	h	Energy Supplied by	v EC to primary load	19.66	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set in	itial am	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	388.8 kW			Extra DV Electricity going to GRID	147.04 MW	h	No. of Starts		15.00			,,			
				Extra PV Electricity going to GND	147.04 10100		Extra EC Electricity	going to GRID	1 01	MM					
Battery Bank Size	336 kWh		Input				Heat produced	Bound to ONID	37.20	MM	Total Electr	icity going to G		1/19 9	MMb
				Battery Bank		-	Enormy Supplied by	. EC to charge hatteri	11 22		Total Lietti	icity going to c		140.00	
Electrolyser Size	24 kW			Energy consumed by batteries + initial canaci	t 25.18 M/W	h	Energy Supplied D	y PC to charge batterie	11.52		Direct PV u	5200		21 /1	%
				Energy supplied by batteries	25.16 MW	h					Direct PV u	Sage		51.41	. /0
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			Lifeigy supplied by batteries	23.00 10100		Hydrogen Tar	nk			Contract I		-\	0.20	C /LANIL
				No. of Battery Cycles	74.26 Cycl	es	70.		T		Cost of E	nergy (CO	:)	0.20	€/KWN
(Note: FC size and data ne	eed to change in FC sheet						Initial amount of H	12	1000	kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			Electrolyser			Amount of H2 at y	ear end	0.00	kg					
H2 Tank Size	1000 kg	1st phase	If turns RED.	Liectiolysei			Hydrogen used by	FC in 1st phase	1213.41	kg			orau	Flow	
	1000 *8	8066	tank	Operating hours	2522.00 hrs		Hydrogen used by	FC in 2nd phase	816.12	kg		PVEII	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of	1000 kg	8000	storage has	Electricity consumed	54.88 MW	h									
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced	1027.78 kg		Hydrogen available	e before 2nd phase	728.40	kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
							Hydrogen produce	d in 2nd phase	87.21	kg		\mathbf{I}			
											Direct C	onsumption	\Rightarrow	98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 65 MM/h			System Cost & Energy Production			PV COE	0.04	€/kWh			₽			
	138.05			System cost for 1st year	1,315,746.41 €						Batter	y Charging	\Rightarrow	12.39	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1,563,420.19€							Ţ.			
(Note : for different	load profile, change t	he values in		Electricity produced in 25 years	7810.99 MW	h					Electrol	lyser Usage	\Rightarrow	54.88	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")														
			-								Excess Elec	tricity to GRID	\Rightarrow	147.04	MWh

Figure 19: Excel Tool Result 2 Summary

The COE is calculated as the ratio of total system cost incurred to the amount of electricity produced from the PV system over 25 years. While looking at the results, though there is not a significant change in COE for both the combinations, it is seen that when the battery system size is increased, there is a substantial increase in the occurrences of hydrogen tank getting emptied and fuel cell switching ON and OFF, which means that according to the excel tool, the second result does not satisfy the system requirement. Even after taking a close look at HOMER results, it can be observed that the hydrogen tank is getting emptied at the year-end for both the results, which is not good according to the requirement. There must be enough hydrogen gas in the gas tank at year-end to keep the fuel cell running for the next four winter months.

The next thing to be noted in HOMER and excel tool results is the difference between COE. This difference is mainly due to variable battery system prices and inflation rates. In both the systems, whenever the battery storage is sized small, it reaches its maximum life cycle in around 15 years and needs to be replaced, but when sized big, it doesn't need replacement. For example, in the excel tool result 1, 201 battery cycles were used in a year. For a depth of discharge (DOD) of 90%, as shown in the battery data sheet, it offers approximately 3000 cycles. So, the first battery set will reach its maximum life cycle in 15 years and has to be replaced. On the other hand, for result 2, the number of cycles used were 74, which means it can last long for more than 25 years if used in the same manner as the first year.

To conclude both the results, according to the quantity of hydrogen gas remaining in the hydrogen tank, the system is insufficient for the purpose and needs a little change. It would be good to try some more combinations and find out the optimum solution. The tool can be used distinctively for finding the best results and this paper is just an introduction to the tool usage. The final results can therefore be debated. The images in the following section will show the results of some more simulations done by using different combinations and users can choose the best results at their convenience. While trying the various combinations, it is very important to remember that the storage systems are usually expensive and should be sized considering the actual requirement and its effect on overall COE.

As many combinations are possible, it would be better to see the pattern while changing the sizes of different components. The resultant factor can be set as minimum COE with a minimum amount of hydrogen remaining at the end of the first year that can be enough for next year's first winter phase (i.e., from January to April). The last best-seen result was found with result 1, where everything works well except the hydrogen amount remaining at the end of the best combinations can be seen in the next chapter to rectify the issue. The PV system size will be kept constant as it is the cheapest

energy source for the system. Also, the fuel cell size will be kept constant as it will continue to run once it starts till the end of the defined period even if there is no requirement for electricity; in that case, if the size of the fuel cell is bigger, high amount of hydrogen will be used unnecessarily for the electricity production which will directly go to the grid. So, it is always better to keep the fuel cell size at the lowest possible value, which is 2.1-14.2 kW in this case.

6.6. Results

This chapter will evaluate some of the different combinations tried with the excel tool. The below images show the overall summary of the simulations. It is found that the first phase of the fuel cell consumes a maximum of 1255.54 kg of hydrogen in the first year. Assuming the same scenario for subsequent years, the minimum amount of hydrogen remaining in the hydrogen tank at the end of the year should be a minimum of 1255.54 kg. Also, the fuel cell size is kept constant as explained in the previous chapter. The size of other components is varied to see the final outcome.

It would be a good approach to focus on one component at a time to examine its effects on the system and determine its optimum value as per the final COE outcome. To begin with, the first component to consider can be taken as 'Electrolyzer'. Electrolyzer size can be varied to see its effect on COE.

Electrolyzer size variation result 1.1

In this scenario, the electrolyzer size is changed to a minimum value of 12 kW, while all the other components were kept constant with the sizing in the previous result. The below image shows the final output of the simulation.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV				Fuel Cell								
producti	on using PV in	Germa	nv									Unmet elec	tricity load	_	11.8	2 WWh
production		Germa	i y	Total PV Production		312.44 N	/Wh	Operating hour	S	3685	5 hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply p	primary load	98.13 N	/Wh	H2 consumed		1550.79) kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficien	су	34.7	5 %
		_		PV energy used for battery chargin	ng	12.43 N	/Wh	Total electricity	r produced	25.44	1 MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elec	tricity	
PV Plant Size	388 8 kW			PV energy used for Electrolyser		29.28 N	1Wh	Energy Supplie	d by FC to primary load	15.19	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
	500.0			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		172.59 N	/Wh	No. of Starts		38.00)					
Pattony Pank Sizo	226 kWb		Input					Extra FC Electri	city going to GRID	1.76	5 MWh					
Battery Ballk Size	330 KWII		mput	Battory Bank				Heat produced		28.43	3 MWh	Total Electr	icity going to G	GRID	174.30	5 MWh
Electrolycor Size	13 kw			Battery Ballk				Energy Supplie	d by FC to charge batter	e 8.49	9 MWh					
Electrolyser Size				Energy consumed by batteries + in	nitial capacit	27.72 N	/Wh					Direct PV u	sage		31.4	L %
				Energy supplied by batteries		27.60 N	/Wh									
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		81.83 C	ycles	Hydrogen 1	ank			Cost of E	Energy (CO	E)	0.19	€/kWh
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet							Initial amount	of H2	1000) kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)							Amount of H2 a	at year end	0.12	2 kg					
U2 Tank Cine	1000 kg	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser				Hydrogen used	by FC in 1st phase	1122.65	5 kg				Flow	
	TOOO kg	7057	tank	Operating hours		2529.00 h	rs	Hydrogen used	by FC in 2nd phase	428.14	1 kg		PVEN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of	4000	/35/	storage has	Electricity consumed		29.28 N	/Wh									
Н2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		548.38 k	g	Hvdrogen avail	able before 2nd phase	378.14	1 kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
							0	Hydrogen prod	uced in 2nd phase	49.40) kg					
								71 101 1 10			0	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 65 MWb			System Cost & Energy Pro	oduction			PV COE	0.04	<mark>I €/kW</mark> ł	ו		•			
	130.05			System cost for 1st year		1,272,662.12 €						Batter	y Charging		12.43	MWh
				System cost for 25 years		1,472,313.01 €							\mathbf{I}			-
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in		Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99 N	/Wh					Electrol	yser Usage	\Rightarrow	29.28	MWh
column '	"J", sheet "Battery")												•			
												Excess Elec	tricity to GRID		172.59	MWh

Figure 20: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.1

As it can be seen in fig. 19, the considered combination does not satisfy any of the system's requirements. The storage tank status at the below left of the image goes red, showing that the hydrogen tank is getting emptied during both phases of usage. Because of this, the fuel cell restarts multiple times a year, surpassing its permissible value of 2 times for the first year. The amount of hydrogen at year-end is almost '0', which is nowhere close to the estimated minimum amount of 1255.54 kg. Overall, the electrolyzer's small size does not help the system run as per the requirements and has to be changed.

Electrolyzer size variation result 1.2

For the next case, the electrolyzer size is increased with the next multiple of the previous minimum value, i.e. (12×2) , which is equal to 24 kW.

As seen in the fig. 20, though there is a good improvement in the number of times the hydrogen tank gets empty and the number of starts for the fuel cell, the system needs an even bigger electrolyzer to match the requirements. But the amount of hydrogen at year-end still stays the same. The COE does not have a significant improvement even after increasing the electrolyzer size twice its initial value. It has increased only by 0.01 \in . It would be interesting to see the result in the next step after increasing the electrolyzer size twice.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV			Fuel Cell								
producti	on using DV in	Gorma	nv								Unmet elec	tricity load		14.60	0 MWh
producti		Germa	i y	Total PV Production	312.44	MWh	Operating hours		4394	hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply primary	load 98.13 I	MWh	H2 consumed		2029.53	kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficiend	:y	31.9	5 %
				PV energy used for battery charging	12.39 1	MWh	Total electricity pro	oduced	32.80	MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elect	tricity	
DV/ Plant Size	299 9 kW			PV energy used for Electrolyser	54.88 [MWh	Energy Supplied by	FC to primary load	19.66	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
FV Flaint Size	300.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID	147.04 1	MWh	No. of Starts		15.00						
Bottom Bonk Size	226 kWh		Innut				Extra FC Electricity	going to GRID	1.81	MWh					
Battery Bank Size	330 KWN		input	Dettery Denk			Heat produced		37.20	MWh	Total Electr	icity going to G	GRID	148.8	5 MWh
	34 1.04			Dattery Dank			Energy Supplied by	FC to charge batteri	11.32	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	24 KVV			Energy consumed by batteries + initial cap	acit 25.18 I	MWh					Direct PV u	sage		31.4	L %
				Energy supplied by batteries	25.06 1	MWh									
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles	74.26	Cycles	Hydrogen Tan	k			Cost of E	nergy (COI	E)	0.20	<mark>) €/kWh</mark>
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet						Initial amount of H	2	1000	kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			Flashabusan			Amount of H2 at ye	ear end	0.00	kg					
UD Tank Gas	1000 100	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser			Hydrogen used by	FC in 1st phase	1213.41	kg				Flaur	
HZ Tank Size	TOOO kg		tank	Operating hours	2522.00	hrs	Hydrogen used by	FC in 2nd phase	816.12	kg		PV EN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of		8066	storage has	Electricity consumed	54.88	MWh									
Н2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced	1027.78	kg	Hydrogen available	before 2nd phase	728.40	kg	Total	PV Energy	-	312.44	MWh
						0	Hydrogen produced	d in 2nd phase	87.21	kg					
							,	p		0	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 65 MM			System Cost & Energy Production	on		PV COE	0.04	€/kWh			↓	-		
	130.05			System cost for 1st year	1,315,746.41 €						Batter	/ Charging	\Rightarrow	12.39	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1.563.420.19 €							₽ ₽			
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in		Electricity produced in 25 years	7810.99	MWh					Electrol	yser Usage	\Rightarrow	54.88	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")														
			_								Excess Elec	tricity to GRID	\Rightarrow	147.04	MWh

Figure 21: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.2

Electrolyzer size variation result 1.3

The result, in this case, has some improvements compared to the last two cases. As seen in the fig. 21, now the system has enough amount of hydrogen for the first phase and the number of fuel cell starts has reduced to 4 times which is not very far from the required value of 2 times. Though the amount of hydrogen at the year-end stays the same, it can be easily concluded that to increase the amount of stored hydrogen, it is necessary to increase the hydrogen storage tank size. The COE again has increased by 0.01, which is still a good value considering the actual cost of electricity from the grid, which is approx. $0.25 \in /kWh$. Also, this case gives the exact amount of hydrogen required in the first phase as well. As the first phase has enough hydrogen needed to run the fuel cell continuously, the system is running smoothly, giving the maximum amount of hydrogen it can consume in the first phase, which is 1255.54 kg. The electrolyzer size should be increased as the system's second phase still does not have enough hydrogen to run the fuel cell uninterrupted.

The results obtained by trying the different sizes of electrolyzer can be seen in fig. 22, 23 and 24. As it can be seen, even after increasing the electrolyzer size by many times the initial size, the quantity of hydrogen available at the year-end is not increasing much. It can be easily observed that the amount of hydrogen produced by the electrolyzer in the second phase is not enough for the system to store the extra hydrogen. Clearly, there is not enough PV energy to supply to the electrolyzer. This means that the hydrogen needs to be stored in the summer months when there is a high amount of PV energy. For that, the storage tank size must be increased.

Different sizing options should be tried to determine the optimum sizing of the hydrogen storage tank, while the other system component sizes can be kept constant. As seen in the previous cases, the electrolyzer size can be kept constant at 36 kW.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV			F	uel Cell								
producti	on using DV in	Gorma	av Ö				-					Unmet elec	tricity load		11.70	OMWh
producti		Germa	ly	Total PV Production		312.44 MW	h O	perating hours		4894	hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply prim	mary load	98.13 MW	h H	2 consumed		2374.59	kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficiend	cy 🛛	31.13	3 %
				PV energy used for battery charging		12.23 MW	h To	otal electricity pr	oduced	38.12	MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elect	ricity	
DV Plant Size	200 0 KW			PV energy used for Electrolyser		73.40 MW	h Ei	nergy Supplied b	y FC to primary load	22.72	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
FV Flaint Size	300.0			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		128.68 MW	h N	o. of Starts		4.00						
Detter: Deals Cine	220 1.14/h		Innest				E	xtra FC Electricity	going to GRID	1.86	MWh					
Battery Bank Size	330 KWN		input	Pottom: Pouls			н	eat produced		43.53	MWh	Total Electr	icity going to G	GRID	130.54	4 MWh
El a da a la constitución de	26 114			ваттегу валк			E	nergy Supplied by	y FC to charge batteri	e 13.53	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	36 KW			Energy consumed by batteries + initia	al capacit	26.10 MW	h					Direct PV u	sage		31.41	1 %
				Energy supplied by batteries		26.06 MW	h									
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		77.12 Cycl	es H	lydrogen Tar	ik			Cost of E	Energy (COI	E)	0.21	. €/kWh
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet						In	itial amount of H	12	1000	kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			El e etwo hue en			A	mount of H2 at y	ear end	0.00	kg					
	1000	1st phase	If turns PED	Electrolyser			H	ydrogen used by	FC in 1st phase	1255.54	kg				5 1	
HZ Tank Size	TOOO kg		tank	Operating hours		2391.00 hrs	H	ydrogen used by	FC in 2nd phase	1119.04	kg		PVEN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of		8566	storage has	Electricity consumed		73.40 MW	h				Ŭ					
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1374.68 kg	Н	vdrogen availabl	e before 2nd phase	1000.00	kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
								vdrogen produce	d in 2nd phase	118.46	kø				-	
								,				Direct C	onsumption		98 13	MWh
Tatal Load		1		System Cost & Energy Produ	uction			PV COE	0.04	€/kWh			•			
Total Load	158.05 WWW			System cost for 1st year	1,35	57,636.54 €						Batter	y Charging	-	12.23	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1,624	24,673.45€										
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in		Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99 MW	h					Electro	lyser Usage	\Rightarrow	73.40	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")												•			
												Excess Elec	tricity to GRID	\Rightarrow	128.68	MWh

Figure 22: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.3

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hvo	drogen	PV								
						I del Cell			Unmet electricity load		11.31	MWh
producti	on using PV in	Germa	ny	Total PV Production	312.44 MWh	Operating hours	4922	2 hrs				
				PV energy used directly to supply primary lo	98.13 MWh	H2 consumed	2399.91	kg	Hydrogen system efficien	су	31.10	1 %
				PV energy used for battery charging	12.23 MWh	Total electricity produced	38.50) MWh	PV -> Electrolyser -> Fuel Cel	l -> Elec	tricity	
DV Diant Size	200 0 1/14			PV energy used for Electrolyser	74.72 MWh	Energy Supplied by FC to primary load	22.96	MWh	Note : for accurate efficiency, set i	nitial am	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	500.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID	127.36 MWh	No. of Starts	4.00)				
Detter Derek Cine	226 104/6		Include			Extra FC Electricity going to GRID	1.86	MWh				
Battery Bank Size	336 KWN		input	Pattany Pank		Heat produced	43.99	MWh	Total Electricity going to	GRID	129.22	MWh
Flastrahuser Cine	40 104			Dattery Dank		Energy Supplied by FC to charge batteri	e 13.68	3 MWh				
Electrolyser Size	48 KW			Energy consumed by batteries + initial capa	cit 26.25 MWh				Direct PV usage		31.41	%
				Energy supplied by batteries	26.21 MWh							
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles	77.57 Cycles	Hydrogen Tank			Cost of Energy (CO	E)	0.22	<mark>. €/kWh</mark>
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet					Initial amount of H2	1000) kg				
as per the details in datas	heet)			Electronic de la com		Amount of H2 at year end	0.00) kg				
	1000	1st phase	If turns PED	Electrolyser		Hydrogen used by FC in 1st phase	1255.54	l kg			F Lauri	
HZ Tank Size	1000 kg	0504	tank	Operating hours	1948.00 hrs	Hydrogen used by FC in 2nd phase	1144.37	7 kg	PVEN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of	1000	8594	storage has	Electricity consumed	74.72 MWh							
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced	1399.44 kg	Hydrogen available before 2nd phase	1000.00) kg	Total PV Energy	-	312.44	MWh
						Hydrogen produced in 2nd phase	143.21	l kg				
									Direct Consumption	ī ⇒ '	98.13	MWh
Total Load	159.65 1004			System Cost & Energy Production	n	PV COE 0.04	<mark>I €/kW</mark> ł					
Total Load	158.05			System cost for 1st year	1,400,056.02 €				Battery Charging		12.23	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1,699,160.60 €							
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change t	he values in		Electricity produced in 25 years	7810.99 MWh				Electrolyser Usage		74.72	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")											
									Excess Electricity to GRID		127.36	MWh
												1

Figure 23: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.4

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV				Fuel Cell								
producti	on using DV in	Gorma	ov.									Unmet elec	tricity load		10.95	5 MWh
producti		Germa	ly	Total PV Production		312.44 M	Wh	Operating hour		4980) hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply pri	rimary load	98.13 M	Wh	H2 consumed		2425.08	3 kg	Hydrogen s	system efficient	cy 🛛	31.05	5 %
				PV energy used for battery charging	3	11.88 MV	Wh	Total electricity	produced	38.91	L MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elect	ricity	
DV Plant Size	200 0 KW			PV energy used for Electrolyser		76.14 M	Wh	Energy Supplied	by FC to primary load	23.19	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
FV Flaint Size	300.0			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		126.28 MV	Wh	No. of Starts		4.00)					
Detter: Deals Cine	220 1.14/h		Innest					Extra FC Electric	ity going to GRID	1.88	3 MWh					
Battery Bank Size	330 KWN		input	Pottom, Ponk				Heat produced		44.45	5 MWh	Total Electr	ricity going to O	GRID	128.16	5 MWh
	CO 1111			Battery Bank				Energy Supplied	by FC to charge batteri	e 13.84	1 MWh					
Electrolyser Size	60 KW			Energy consumed by batteries + initi	tial capacit	26.30 M	Wh					Direct PV u	sage		31.41	1 %
				Energy supplied by batteries		26.26 M	Wh									
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		77.72 Cy	cles	Hydrogen T	ank			Cost of E	Energy (CO	E)	0.23	<mark>} €/kWh</mark>
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet							Initial amount of	f H2	1000) kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)							Amount of H2 a	t year end	0.00) kg					
	1000	1st phase	If turns PED	Electrolyser				Hydrogen used	by FC in 1st phase	1255.54	1 kg				5 1	
HZ Tank Size	1000 kg		tank	Operating hours		1696.00 hrs	s	Hydrogen used	by FC in 2nd phase	1169.54	1 kg		PVEN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of		8652	storage has	Electricity consumed		76.14 M	Wh									
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1426.05 kg		Hydrogen availa	ble before 2nd phase	1000.00) kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
								Hydrogen produ	ced in 2nd nhase	169.40) kø				-	
								ingui ogen prout		100110		Direct C	onsumption		98 13	MWb
Total Lood		1		System Cost & Energy Prod	duction			PV COE	0.04	€/kWł	1	Directed			50.25	
Total Load	158.65 WiWh			System cost for 1st year	ŕ	1,442,480.06 €				-		Batter	y Charging	-	11.88	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1	1,773,761.52 €										
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in		Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99 MV	Wh					Electro	lyser Usage	\Rightarrow	76.14	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")												↓			
												Excess Elec	ctricity to GRID	-	126.28	MWh

Figure 24: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.5

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV			Fuel C	ell								
producti	on using DV in	Cormo	n 1	<u> </u>								Unmet elec	ctricity load		10.23	BIMWh
producti	on using PV in	Germa	ny	Total PV Production		312.44 MWh	Operatir	ng hours		5016	hrs					
				PV energy used directly	to supply primary	y load 98.13 MWh	H2 consu	umed		2449.49	kg	Hydrogen s	system efficien	cy	30.99)%
		_		PV energy used for bat	tery charging	11.88 MWh	Total ele	ectricity pro	oduced	39.28	MWh	PV -> Electro	olyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elec	tricity	
DV Diant Size	300 0 1/14/			PV energy used for Elec	.trolyser	77.48 MWh	Energy S	supplied by	<pre>/ FC to primary load</pre>	23.33	MWh	Note : for accur	rate efficiency, set ir	nitial am	ount of H2 to "0"	
PV Plant Size	500.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity goin	ig to GRID	124.94 MWh	No. of St	tarts		4.00)					
Battan Bank Car	226 1445		la su t				Extra FC	Electricity	going to GRID	1.88	MWh					
Battery Bank Size	336 KWh		input	Dettern Denk			Heat pro	oduced		44.90	MWh	Total Elect	ricity going to Q	GRID	126.82	2 MWh
	04 1.00			Battery Bank			Energy S	upplied by	FC to charge batterie	14.07	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	84 KW			Energy consumed by b	atteries + initial ca	pacit 26.75 MWh						Direct PV u	isage		31.41	. %
				Energy supplied by bat	teries	26.63 MWh			_							
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		78.92 Cycles	Hydro	gen Tan	k			Cost of I	Energy (COI	E)	0.25	€/kWh
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet						Initial ar	mount of H	12	1000	kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			El a stud la seu			Amount	of H2 at ye	ear end	0.06	kg					
	1000	1st phase	If turns PED	Electrolyser			Hydroge	n used by	FC in 1st phase	1255.54	kg				F lauri	
HZ Tank Size	1000 kg		tank	Operating hours	;	1414.00 hrs	Hydroge	n used by	FC in 2nd phase	1193.95	kg		PV EN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of	1000	8688	storage has	Electricity consumed		77.48 MWh			•							
H2	1000 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1451.22 kg	Hydroge	n available	before 2nd phase	1000.00	kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
							Hvdroge	n produce	d in 2nd phase	194.01	kg					-
							_ / _ 0				0	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Tatal Land				System Cost & Er	<mark>ergy Producti</mark>	ion	PV	COE	0.04	€/kWh			₽			
Total Load	158.05			System cost for 1st yea	r	1,527,327.36 €				-		Batter	y Charging	\Rightarrow	11.88	MWh
				System cost for 25 year	s	1,922,944.03 €							•			
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	he values in		Electricity produced in	25 years	7810.99 MWh						Electro	lyser Usage	\Rightarrow	77.48	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")												-			
												Excess Elec	ctricity to GRID	\Rightarrow	124.94	MWh
																-

Figure 25: Electrolyzer Size Variation Result 1.6

Hydrogen Tank Size Variation Result 1.7

The increased size of the hydrogen tank definitely helps the system to run continuously, and it increases the hydrogen storage at year-end as well. Fig. 25 shows the improvement in the system. Compared to case 1.5, the system has now both phases running without interruption and has even started accumulating more hydrogen for next year's first phase. As can be seen in the tool, the remaining amount of hydrogen at year-end is 70.52 kg, which is 1185.02 kg less than the required amount of hydrogen.

The hydrogen storage capacity needs a huge increase which will also increase the final COE. According to the simulation results, the minimum size required for hydrogen storage is 2400 kg, which comes with the final COE of 0.323 €/kWh as shown in fig. 26.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hvo	drogen	PV .								
						I del cell			Unmet electricity load		10.08	MWh
producti	on using PV in	Germa	ny	Total PV Production	312.44 MWh	Operating hours	508	8 hrs				
				PV energy used directly to supply primary	loac 98.13 MWh	H2 consumed	2508.9	8 kg	Hydrogen system efficier	ncy	31.14	· %
				PV energy used for battery charging	11.88 MWh	Total electricity produced	40.20	0 MWh	PV -> Electrolyser -> Fuel Ce	:ll -> Elec	tricity	
DV Plant Size	288 8 KW			PV energy used for Electrolyser	73.69 MWh	Energy Supplied by FC to primary lo	oad 23.9	1 MWh	Note : for accurate efficiency, set	initial am	ount of H2 to "0"	
FV Flaint Size	300.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID	128.73 MWh	No. of Starts	2.00	D				
Pattony Pank Sizo	226 KWb		Input			Extra FC Electricity going to GRID	1.8	9 MWh				
Dattery Darik Size	550 KWII		input	Battory Bank		Heat produced	45.9	9 MWh	Total Electricity going to	GRID	130.62	MWh
Electrolyser Size	36 kW			Dattel y Dalik		Energy Supplied by FC to charge ba	tterie 14.4	0 MWh				
Liectionyser Size	30 80			Energy consumed by batteries + initial cap	pacit 26.62 MWh				Direct PV usage		31.41	. %
				Energy supplied by batteries	26.53 MWh							
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kw			No. of Battery Cycles	78.60 Cycles	Hydrogen Tank			Cost of Energy (CC	DE)	0.22	<mark>. €/kWh</mark>
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet					Initial amount of H2	120) kg				
as per the details in datas	heet)			Electrolynew		Amount of H2 at year end	70.5	2 kg				
112 Tauly Gine	1200 44	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser		Hydrogen used by FC in 1st phase	1255.54	4 kg			Flam	
	1200 kg	0700	tank	Operating hours	2402.00 hrs	Hydrogen used by FC in 2nd phase	1253.4	4 kg	PVC	iergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of	1200	8760	storage has	Electricity consumed	73.69 MWh							
H2	1200 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced	1380.18 kg	Hydrogen available before 2nd pha	ise 1200.0) kg	Total PV Energy	-	312.44	MWh
						Hydrogen produced in 2nd phase	123.9	5 kg				
									Direct Consumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 CE MAN			System Cost & Energy Production	on	PV COE	0.04 €/kWl	n				
	130.05			System cost for 1st year	1,487,297.21 €				Battery Charging	-	11.88	MWh
				System cost for 25 years	1,746,190.13 €							
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change t	he values in		Electricity produced in 25 years	7810.99 MWh				Electrolyser Usage	-	73.69	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")											
									Excess Electricity to GRID	-	128.73	MWh

Figure 26: Hydrogen Tank Size Variation Result 1.7

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV				Fuel Ce									
producti	on using DV in	Gormai	2										Unmet elec	tricity load		10.08	3 MWh
producti		Germa	IY	Total PV Production		312.44	MWh	Operating	hours		5088	hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply p	primary load	98.13 I	MWh	H2 consu	ned		2508.98	kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficiend	су –	31.14	1 %
				PV energy used for battery chargin	ng	11.88	MWh	Total elec	tricity pro	duced	40.20	MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elect	ricity	
DV Plant Size	288 8 kW			PV energy used for Electrolyser		73.69 1	MWh	Energy Su	pplied by	FC to primary load	23.91	MWh	Note : for accura	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
FV Flaint Size	300.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		128.73	MWh	No. of Sta	rts		2.00)					
Pottom, Ponk Sizo	226 kWh		Input					Extra FC E	lectricity	going to GRID	1.89	MWh					
Battery Bank Size	336 KWN		input	Pottom: Donk				Heat proc	luced		45.99	MWh	Total Electr	icity going to G	GRID	130.62	2 MWh
	26 144			Dattery Dank				Energy Su	pplied by	FC to charge batterie	14.40	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	30 KW			Energy consumed by batteries + in	nitial capacit	t 26.62 I	MWh						Direct PV u	sage		31.41	L %
				Energy supplied by batteries		26.53	MWh										
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		78.60	Cycles	Hydrog	en Tan	k			Cost of E	nergy (COI	E)	0.32	. €/kWh
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet							Initial am	ount of H	2	2400	kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			El e etwo hue en				Amount o	of H2 at ye	ar end	1270.52	kg					
U2 Tank Cine	2400 1	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser				Hydrogen	used by F	C in 1st phase	1255.54	kg				Flau	
HZ Tank Size	2400 kg	0760	tank	Operating hours		2402.00	nrs	Hydrogen	used by F	C in 2nd phase	1253.44	kg		PV EN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of		8760	storage has	Electricity consumed		73.69	MWh										
Н2	2400 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		1380.18	kg	Hydrogen	available	before 2nd phase	2400.00	kg	Total	PV Energy	-	312.44	MWh
							0	Hydrogen	produced	in 2nd phase	123.95	kg					
									<u> </u>			0	Direct Co	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 CE MUMb			System Cost & Energy Pro	oduction			PV (OE	0.04	€/kWh			↓	-		
Total Load	158.05			System cost for 1st year		2,267,297.21€					-		Batter	/ Charging	-	11.88	MWh
				System cost for 25 years		2,526,190.13 €								₽ ₽			-
(Note : for different le	oad profile, change th	ne values in	1	Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99 (MWh						Electrol	yser Usage	\Rightarrow	73.69	MWh
column '	"J", sheet "Battery")																
													Excess Elec	tricity to GRID	\Rightarrow	128.73	MWh

Figure 27: Hydrogen Tank Size Variation Result 1.8

Battery unit size variation 1.9

Further variations could be to try changing the battery storage sizing. The battery storage consumes 11.88 MWh of PV energy to charge itself. Some amount of this energy can be used by an electrolyzer if there is no battery storage unit. As seen in the fig. 27, when the battery unit is removed (or in this case, reduced to its minimum value), there are significant changes in the system. First, the COE value reduces drastically from 0.323 \in /kWh to 0.231 \in /kWh. The difference is huge. Also, the hydrogen consumption of the fuel cell for the first phase has reduced to 828.14 kg, which is 427.4 kg less than in the last case, which resulted in a smaller hydrogen storage tank size. The hydrogen storage tank size required after removing battery storage is 1500 kg, which reduces overall costs. Also, the electrolyzer size can be reduced due to less hydrogen requirements.

The only negative impact of the battery unit removal on the system performance is the increase in grid energy usage. With the battery system included, the total electricity imported from the grid was 10.08 MWh; without the battery unit, it is 36.25 MWh. But the overall COE is still less because the comprehensive COE from the battery system is greater than the COE from the grid. But the usage of the battery unit is strictly optional and depends upon the critical load. The battery system should be sized as per the critical load requirement. So, the system can be designed with or without a battery unit depending on the user's requirements.

After studying all the above cases, it can be deduced that case 1.9 becomes the optimum case result if there is no critical load. In the presence of critical load, the necessary battery system should be used with the best possible combination of sizes for other system components. The tool can be used with more variations, such as changing the PV plant and fuel cell size. Also, the load profile can be changed. All the changes should be made as per the requirement, and an optimum solution should be found using the different steps used in all the cases seen before.

The final COE of 0.231 €/kWh is a great value, and one must stay informed that this value is without considering any government subsidy on such a huge renewable project. If considered, the results will be astonishing.

A business ca	se study of Gr	een Hyd	drogen	PV				Fuel Cell								
producti	on using DV in	Germa	nv									Unmet elec	tricity load		36.25	MWh
producti		Germa	l y	Total PV Production		312.44 M	lWh	Operating hour	S	5088	hrs					
				PV energy used directly to supply pr	primary load	98.13 M	IWh	H2 consumed		1574.40) kg	Hydrogen s	ystem efficien	су	33.41	L %
		-		PV energy used for battery charging	g	0.23 M	IWh	Total electricity	produced	26.48	MWh	PV -> Electro	lyser -> Fuel Cell	-> Elec	tricity	
PV Plant Size	388 8 kW			PV energy used for Electrolyser		50.64 M	lWh	Energy Supplie	d by FC to primary load	23.91	MWh	Note : for accur	ate efficiency, set ir	nitial amo	ount of H2 to "0"	
T V T lance Size	300.0 KW			Extra PV Electricity going to GRID		163.44 M	IWh	No. of Starts		2.00)					
Bottom Bonk Size	1 L\A/b		Input					Extra FC Electri	city going to GRID	2.45	MWh					
Dattery Darik Size	T		mput	Pottory Pople				Heat produced		28.86	MWh	Total Electr	icity going to O	GRID	165.88	3 MWh
	34 644			Dallery Dalik				Energy Supplie	d by FC to charge batter	e 0.13	MWh					
Electrolyser Size	24 KVV			Energy consumed by batteries + init	itial capacit	0.36 M	IWh					Direct PV u	sage		31.41	L %
				Energy supplied by batteries		0.36 M	IWh									
Fuel Cell Size	14.20 kW			No. of Battery Cycles		363.34 Cy	ycles	Hydrogen 1	ank			Cost of E	Energy (CO	E)	0.23	<mark>€/kWh</mark>
(Note: FC size and data ne	ed to change in FC sheet							Initial amount	of H2	1500) kg					
as per the details in datas	heet)			Fleetrelveer				Amount of H2 a	at year end	873.46	i kg					
UD Tauli Cine	1500 4-	1st phase	If turns RED	Electrolyser				Hydrogen used	by FC in 1st phase	828.14	kg				Flaur	
	1300 kg	0700	tank	Operating hours		2445.00 hi	rs	Hydrogen used	by FC in 2nd phase	746.25	i kg		PVEN	ergy	FIOW	
Initial amount of		8760	storage has	Electricity consumed		50.64 M	IWh									
Н2	1500 kg	2nd phase	reached 0%	H2 produced		948.39 ke	Į	Hvdrogen avail	able before 2nd phase	1500.00) kg	Total	PV Energy		312.44	MWh
								Hydrogen prod	uced in 2nd phase	119.71	kg	-				
								[···/ =· = 8=·· =· = =	F		1.0	Direct C	onsumption		98.13	MWh
Total Load	159 65 MM/h			System Cost & Energy Proc	duction			PV COE	0.04	<mark>l €/kW</mark> ł			↓			
	130.05			System cost for 1st year		1,444,292.03 €						Batter	y Charging		0.23	MWh
				System cost for 25 years		1.801.540.85 €										
(Note : for different l	oad profile, change th	ne values in		Electricity produced in 25 years		7810.99 M	IWh					Electrol	yser Usage	\Rightarrow	50.64	MWh
column	"J", sheet "Battery")												•			
												Excess Elec	tricity to GRID		163.44	MWh

Figure 28: Battery Unit Size Variation Result 1.9

6.7. Calculating the cost of energy

To create a future hydrogen energy economy, the amount of sustainable hydrogen production needs to be increased widely, which will result in cheap hydrogen production [2]. The production costs of hydrogen by using sustainable energy will reduce only if there is a huge boost in renewable hydrogen production. The method to calculate the Levelized Cost of energy in this business case is used from the [37].

The LCE is defined as the ratio of total costs incurred for the system over its lifetime to the total energy generated by the system [37]. It can be calculated with the help of following expression,

$$LCE = TAC/Etot$$

where,

LCE - levelized cost of energy

TAC - total accrued cost

Etot - total energy generated.

Applying this formula to case 1.9,

LCE = 1801540.85/7810990

= 0.231 €/*kWh*

7. Storage

Hydrogen storage is one of the most discussed issues affecting the future hydrogen economy. The traditional storage systems for hydrogen, both for steady and mobile applications, are very complicated because of its low density in both forms as a gas at 0.09 kg/NAm3 (kilogram per normal cubic meter) and a liquid at 70.9 kg/NAm3 and the low boiling point at 20.2 K [2]. Hydrogen is the lightest, simplest and most abundant in nature. To store it physically, it is necessary to change its state conditions like temperature, pressure, phase, and it can also be stored chemically in various solid and liquid compounds such as methane, methanol, alanates, light hydrocarbons, borohydrides, carbon nanostructures, metal hydrides [38].

The hydrogen can be stored in three forms which are liquid, gaseous and solid-state. The most established storage system for hydrogen is the physical storage system which uses pressurized hydrogen gas [39]. The physical hydrogen storage methodology is primarily based on the compression and cooling or liquefaction of hydrogen and also on sorption methods which include mainly adsorption or sorption-like methods such as clathrate hydrates, and molecular inclusion of hydrogen on nanostructured carbon. The primary method compressed and liquefaction of hydrogen requires its corresponding infrastructure, such as cryogenics for liquefaction and a compressor system for pressurization [40].

The hydrogen storage system used in this study is from Wystrach GmbH. The unit comes with a 20 ft. container which can occupy 104 cylinders of 200 or 300 bar pressure which is approx. 241 or 312 kg of hydrogen respectively, and all the other components required for installation of the system, including cross fabricated side walls for the container, making it easier for transportation if necessary or even for stationary purposes. Complete assembly costs approx. 180,000 \in for 200 bar variant and 200,000 \in for 300 bar variant. In the calculation tool, a 300-bar variant is used.

Hydrogen storage can be differentiated in terms of its application. The two types of applications are stationary and mobile. Fixed storage methods are used generally at the point of on-site generation, storage, and use. Mobile application includes the transportation of stored hydrogen at a point of another storage or use, and in vehicles [41].



Figure 29: Hydrogen storage applications [41]

8. Transportation

To develop a hydrogen economy, a stable and high-quality hydrogen delivery infrastructure is required for transporting the hydrogen from its production point to the user, which can be a refuelling station or stationary power facility, etc. [2]. Hydrogen gas has low volumetric energy density at standard temperatures and pressures. If compared to gasoline, it is over three orders of magnitude lesser. That is why hydrogen needs to be stored with high volumetric energy density to make it available for practical use and transportation. This requirement makes it expensive and includes higher energy requirements for it to be used as an energy carrier. Some of the methods used to improve hydrogen's volumetric energy density are transporting it as a compressed gas, as a chemical compound, such as metal hydride, or a cryogenic liquid [42].

Usually, two types of hydrogen delivery systems are considered; the first is hydrogen transmission which can be from a central production plant to a single point of use, and the second is hydrogen distribution which is from a central production unit to a distributed network of refuelling stations with a city or a region. There are three types of delivery modes; 1. Compressed-gas trucks, 2. cryogenic liquid trucks, and 3. compressed gas pipelines [42].

The characteristics of hydrogen gas transmission technologies can be seen in the table 12 [43].

The important factors affecting the hydrogen delivery cost are,

1. Scale (flow rate of hydrogen into the city): For liquid hydrogen delivery systems, scale is very important because liquefiers have strong scale economies. The pipeline system is also scale-oriented as pipeline capital cost distribution depends strongly on the scale. The scale economies for the compressed gas trucks are mild in nature.

2. Number of stations: This is mainly related to the extent of pipeline infrastructure needed for the connections between stations (higher distances for pipelines can cause higher costs).

3. Distance: It mainly affects the compressed gas trucks and pipeline delivery system. Liquid hydrogen delivery is less affected. [44]
| | PIPELINE | LIQUID
(ROAD) | LIQUID
(SHIP) | GAS
TRUCKS |
|--------------------|--|--|---|---|
| ADVANTAGES | high efficiency large volumes low variable cost provides storage and buffering | High efficiency Higher volumes than compressed gas | Very high volumes Could allow international transport | – Can be
deployed at
small
scales |
| DISADVANTAGES | needs high
volumes of
hydrogen to
validate the
pipeline costs capital
intensive required
volume
increase with
distance | Increases road traffic Boil-off losses Expense and inefficiency of the liquefaction process | Not feasible
until large
supply and
demand
exists No
experience of
LH2 shipment Boil-off
losses are
more
significant than road | Energy inefficient Small deliveries per truck Increases traffic Can't handle large capacities |
| SUITABLE FOR | Where pipeline storage is used Large and very large quantities of gas | Where liquid storage is used Large quantities of gas | International transport Very large quantities of gas | – Small
distances
– Small
quantities
of gas |
| CAPACITY | – Up to 100
000 kg/h (3.9
GW) | – Up to 4000
kg per truck | – Potentially
10 million kg
per shipment | – Up to 400
kg
(delivered)
per truck |
| ENERGY
REQUIRED | Pipeline
compressors | Transport fuel | Transport fuel | Transport
fuel |
| EFFICIENCY | – 99.2% per
100 km | 99% per 100 km for transport 75% efficiency of liquefaction | – fuel use
unknown
– boil-off 0.3%
per day | – 94% per
100 km |

8.1. Advantages and disadvantages of hydrogen as a transport fuel

Hydrogen as a transportation fuel has both advantages as well disadvantages. Some of the key advantages and disadvantages are listed below.

Advantages:

- 1. Direct usage of hydrogen as a fuel in the internal combustion engine. It is almost similar to the engines used with gasoline. [45]
- 2. Hydrogen and ethyl alcohol can be blended together to be used as an alternative renewable fuel in a carbureted spark-ignition engine. [46]
- Because of its unchanged state (gaseous) even in extreme winters, hydrogen engines are very easy to start in winters, even at a temperature as low as -253°C.
 [47]
- Hydrogen has a clean combustion product which contains water and a small portion of NOx. It is believed that the NOx portion can be reduced with proper measurements to the extent as low as 1/200th fraction of diesel engines. [47]

Some of the drawbacks are mentioned below.

Drawbacks:

- 1. The most significant disadvantage of hydrogen as a transportation fuel is its low density which causes the usage of huge on-board storage tanks necessary. [2]
- 2. Hydrogen needs four times of volume to store the same amount of energy as compared to gasoline. [48]

9. Future scope

Hydrogen is highly considered to be the next potential cost-efficient fuel for the future economy. The consideration is based on the facts that it is [39],

1. the most abundant element which can be found in the universe (almost more than 90% of the atoms contain hydrogen)

2. the lightest element with a molecular weight of 2.106 having the highest energy content compared to any other fuel

3. sustainable

4. non-toxic

5. when compared to fossil fuels such as petroleum, natural gas or coal, hydrogen is very pleasant to the environment and clean with the water as the only exhaust product while converting energy.

There needs a lot of changes before hydrogen becomes a vital part of day-to-day life, e.g., using hydrogen as a fuel source for transportation or as a new energy source. It requires more than changing to fuel cell cars. To change even the car type, it needs a vast infrastructure of hydrogen refuelling stations, enough hydrogen production plants and delivery systems for the supply of hydrogen to these refuelling stations. Also, safe hydrogen storage tanks will be needed to store the hydrogen in liquid form and the provision to convert it back into a gaseous state for refuelling [23].

There should be a considerable growth in hydrogen production using renewable energy, which will reduce the production costs and can even be used for electricity production if stored in large quantities. According to Renewable Energy Directive in the EU, the 'EU Hydrogen Strategy' emphasizes on the increased use of hydrogen and addresses on how to transform this potential into reality through regulation, investments, market creation and research and innovation.

The commission has planned the phased approach [49],

- Period: 2020 to 2024, at least 6 GW of renewable hydrogen electrolyzer systems installation will be supported, which could produce up to 1 million tonnes of renewable hydrogen
- Period: 2025 to 2030, hydrogen has to be the intrinsic part of the integrated energy system, with further improvement in renewable hydrogen electrolyzers to at least 40 GW and 10 million tonnes of green hydrogen production

• Period: 2030 to 2050, renewable hydrogen technologies should reach maturity and be used significantly across all the hard-to-decarbonize sectors.

To help deliver this strategy, the commission has launched the 'European Clean Hydrogen Alliance' with industry leaders, national and regional ministers, civil society, and European Investment Bank. The Alliance will work together to build up an investment pipeline throughout all the phases to scale up renewable hydrogen production and increase and support the demand for clean hydrogen in the EU [49].

Looking at Europe's strategic plan towards increasing renewable hydrogen production and creating a clean hydrogen economy till 2050, the hydrogen industry can itself feel the push. Acting towards these resolutions, a German firm named Fraunhofer ISE in Freiburg (south of Germany) has even built a filling station and is operating it. The hydrogen used here is produced with the PEM-electrolyzer working with the electricity produced from wind and solar power plants. The electrolyzer capacity is 13 kilograms of hydrogen per day with a purity of 99.9995%. The filling station is funded by the Federal State of Baden-Württemberg. Also, companies like Siemens (8.75 MW), Lhyfe (10 MW), HH2E in collaboration with MET Group and many more have started building the green hydrogen plants in Germany and around 30 small-scale companies in the Germany are using renewable energy to produce hydrogen.

10. Conclusion

As discussed in the business case in this paper, it gives excellent results when the green hydrogen plant is implied in SMEs or even industries with mid-ranged electricity consumption and enough space for such a plant to install. Though the initial investment in such cases looks enormous, it will give significant returns over a period of time. As seen in fig. 29, the COE over the period of 25 years, differs significantly when the system is used with the batteries. Inclusion of battery storage results into higher hydrogen consumption and bigger hydrogen tank and electrolyzer size, which significantly contributes to system's higher costs.



Figure 30: COE Comparison

As seen in chapter 8, hydrogen storage is the biggest question being studied right now. There are different methodologies including liquid and gaseous hydrogen storage systems which have their own advantages and disadvantages such as liquid hydrogen storage has high costs because the liquefaction process is costly and gaseous storage where on one hand offers simplicity in design and use, it also comes with the disadvantage of high pressure and big volume requirement.

The fuel cell has to be chosen with minimal capacity to utilize the hydrogen more efficiently, so that the electricity produced from hydrogen is mostly used for load supply and less energy should go back to the grid. Also, the fuel cell efficiency can be increased even more by utilizing the waste heat in the process. The waste heat can be used with the help of CHP for heat supply in the building reducing the heat pump size requirement.

The most important factor in the whole system is PV plant. As it can be seen in the tool results, the electricity generated from PV plant is the cheapest source of energy and the bigger the PV plant is, the smaller will be the COE.

Overall, the important factor in heading towards a hydrogen economy is to focus more on renewable hydrogen production and its transport and storage infrastructure. The more significant the investment and approach, the renewable hydrogen becomes cheaper, making it the preferable alternative over other fuels.

As the globe is moving towards sustainable and clean alternatives to tackle the energy crisis and reduce the impact on the environment due to carbon emissions, green hydrogen is the perfect solution to this. Green hydrogen, a carbon-neutral fuel, is a step closer to a sustainable future.

11. References

- [1] R. Scita, P. P. Raimondi, and M. Noussan, "Green Hydrogen: The Holy Grail of Decarbonisation? An Analysis of the Technical and Geopolitical Implications of the Future Hydrogen Economy," *SSRN Journal*, 2020, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3709789.
- [2] M. Balat, "Potential importance of hydrogen as a future solution to environmental and transportation problems," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 33, no. 15, pp. 4013–4029, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.05.047.
- [3] Suttichai Assabumrungrat, Suwimol Wongsakulphasatch, Pattaraporn Lohsoontorn Kim and Alírio E. Rodrigues, *Hydrogen Production Technologies*. Basel, Switzerland: MDPI - Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2021.
- [4] D. V., "Steam reforming of sunflower oil for hydrogen gas production," *Helia*, vol. 30, no. 46, pp. 103–132, 2007, doi: 10.2298/HEL0746103D.
- [5] C. W. Forsberg, "Future hydrogen markets for large-scale hydrogen production systems," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 431–439, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2006.06.059.
- [6] R. Cherry, "A hydrogen utopia?," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 125–129, 2004, doi: 10.1016/S0360-3199(03)00121-6.
- [7] European Service Network, "Hydrogen energy and fuel cells: A vision of our future," vol. 20719, EUR-20719-EN.
- [8] Prof. Albert Germain, "Hydrogen as an energy carrier," 2006.
- [9] Z. Fang, R. L. Smith, and X. Qi, *Production of Hydrogen from Renewable Resources*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2015.
- [10] International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), "Green hydrogen: A guide to policy making,"
- [11] Alex Haynes, The difference between green hydrogen and blue hydrogen.
 [Online]. Available: https://www.petrofac.com/media/stories-and-opinion/the-difference-between-green-hydrogen-and-blue-hydrogen/
 #:~:text=What%20is%20blue%20hydrogen%3F,environmental%20impacts%20on%20the%20planet
- [12] A. Godula-Jopek, Hydrogen Production by Electrolysis: Materials, processes, systems and technology. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2016.
- [13] T. E. Lipman and A. Z. Weber, *Fuel Cells and Hydrogen Production*. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2019.
- [14] K. Rajeshwar, R. D. McConnell, and S. Licht, *Solar hydrogen generation: Toward a renewable energy future*. New York NY: Springer, 2008.
- [15] G. Zini and P. Tartarini, *Solar Hydrogen Energy Systems*. Milano: Springer Milan, 2012.
- [16] Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (U.S. Department of Energy), Ed., Fuel Cells Fact Sheet. [Online]. Available: https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/ 11/f27/fcto_fuel_cells_fact_sheet.pdf
- [17] B. Sundén, "Fuel cell types overview," pp. 123–144, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-816950-6.00008-7.
- [18] B. Sørensen, *Hydrogen and fuel cells: Emerging technologies and applications,* 1st ed. Amsterdam, Boston: Elsevier Academic Press, 2005.
- [19] J. Töpler and J. Lehmann, *Hydrogen and Fuel Cell*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2016.
- [20] A. Velazquez Abad and P. E. Dodds, "Green hydrogen characterisation initiatives: Definitions, standards, guarantees of origin, and challenges," *Energy Policy*, vol. 138, p. 111300, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2020.111300.

- [21] T. N. Veziroglu and F. Barbir, "Solar–Hydrogen Energy System: The Choice of the Future," *Envir. Conserv.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 304–312, 1991, doi: 10.1017/S0376892900022554.
- [22] O. Atlam, F. Barbir, and D. Bezmalinovic, "A method for optimal sizing of an electrolyzer directly connected to a PV module," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 36, no. 12, pp. 7012–7018, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.03.073.
- [23] B. Zohuri, Hydrogen Energy. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019.
- [24] Y. Yang, S. Bremner, C. Menictas, and M. Kay, "Battery energy storage system size determination in renewable energy systems: A review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 91, pp. 109–125, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2018.03.047.
- [25] Przemyslaw Komarnicki, Pio Lombardi, Zbigniew Styczynski, *Electric Energy Storage Systems Flexibility Options for Smart Grids (Przemyslaw Komarnicki, Pio Lombardi etc.) (z-lib.org).*
- [26] N. Bizon, *Optimization of the fuel cell renewable hybrid power systems*. Cham: Springer, 2020.
- [27] Thomas B. Reddy, Linden's Handbook of Battereis, 4th ed.: Mc Graw Hill, 2010.
- [28] A. Chauhan and R. P. Saini, "A review on Integrated Renewable Energy System based power generation for stand-alone applications: Configurations, storage options, sizing methodologies and control," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 38, pp. 99–120, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.079.
- [29] L. A. Wong, V. K. Ramachandaramurthy, S. L. Walker, and J. B. Ekanayake, "Optimal Placement and Sizing of Battery Energy Storage System Considering the Duck Curve Phenomenon," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 197236–197248, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3034349.
- [30] Á. A. Bayod-Rújula, M. E. Haro-Larrodé, and A. Martínez-Gracia, "Sizing criteria of hybrid photovoltaic–wind systems with battery storage and self-consumption considering interaction with the grid," *Solar Energy*, vol. 98, pp. 582–591, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2013.10.023.
- [31] T. Maeda, H. Ito, Y. Hasegawa, Z. Zhou, and M. Ishida, "Study on control method of the stand-alone direct-coupling photovoltaic – Water electrolyzer," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 4819–4828, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2011.12.013.
- [32] J. J. Caparrós Mancera, F. Segura Manzano, J. M. Andújar, F. J. Vivas, and A. J. Calderón, "An Optimized Balance of Plant for a Medium-Size PEM Electrolyzer: Design, Control and Physical Implementation," *Electronics*, vol. 9, no. 5, p. 871, 2020, doi: 10.3390/electronics9050871.
- [33] Q. Cai, D. Brett, D. Browning, and N. P. Brandon, "A sizing-design methodology for hybrid fuel cell power systems and its application to an unmanned underwater vehicle," *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 195, no. 19, pp. 6559–6569, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2010.04.078.
- [34] C. Bernay, M. Marchand, and M. Cassir, "Prospects of different fuel cell technologies for vehicle applications," *Journal of Power Sources*, vol. 108, 1-2, pp. 139–152, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0378-7753(02)00029-0.
- [35] James E. Piper, *Facility Management: Tools and Techniques, Formulas and Tables*: BNI Publication, Inc.
- [36] Victoria Aragon, Julian David Quintero, Stephanie Gauthier, Patrick James and Abubakr Bahaj, "UNPACKING MID-SEASON HEATING DEMAND IN SOCIAL HOUSING," Sep. 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.ashrae.org/ File%20Library/Conferences/Specialty%20Conferences/ 2018%20Building%20Performance%20Analysis%20Conference%20and%20SimB uild/Papers/C104.pdf

- [37] R. Luna-Rubio, M. Trejo-Perea, D. Vargas-Vázquez, and G. J. Ríos-Moreno, "Optimal sizing of renewable hybrids energy systems: A review of methodologies," *Solar Energy*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 1077–1088, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2011.10.016.
- [38] B. D. Shakya, L. Aye, and P. Musgrave, "Technical feasibility and financial analysis of hybrid wind-photovoltaic system with hydrogen storage for Cooma," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 9–20, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2004.03.013.
- [39] J. O. Abe, A. Popoola, E. Ajenifuja, and O. M. Popoola, "Hydrogen energy, economy and storage: Review and recommendation," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 44, no. 29, pp. 15072–15086, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.04.068.
- [40] Patrick Preuster, Alexander Alekseev, and Peter Wasserscheid, "Hydrogen Storage Technologies for Future Energy Systems,"
- [41] R. Moradi and K. M. Groth, "Hydrogen storage and delivery: Review of the state of the art technologies and risk and reliability analysis," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 44, no. 23, pp. 12254–12269, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.03.041.
- [42] C. YANG and J. OGDEN, "Determining the lowest-cost hydrogen delivery mode," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 268–286, 2007, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2006.05.009.
- [43] Sam Hawkins, "Technological characterisation of hydrogen storage and distribution technologies," 2006.
- [44] C. Yang, Michael A Nicholas, and Joan M Ogden, "Comparison of Idealized and Real-World City Station Siting Models for Hydrogen Distribution," 2006.
- [45] M. Balat, "Current Alternative Engine Fuels," *Energy Sources*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 569–577, 2005, doi: 10.1080/00908310490450458.
- [46] M. A.-R. S. Al-Baghdadi, "A study on the hydrogen–ethyl alcohol dual fuel spark ignition engine," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 199–204, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0196-8904(01)00020-6.
- [47] J. Ma, "Simulation and prediction on the performance of a vehicle's hydrogen engine," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 77–83, 2003, doi: 10.1016/S0360-3199(02)00046-0.
- [48] A. Demirbaş, "Hydrogen and Boron as Recent Alternative Motor Fuels," *Energy Sources*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 741–748, 2005, doi: 10.1080/00908310490450836.
- [49] Powering a climate-neutral economy: Commission sets out plans for the energy system of the future and clean hydrogen.

12. Appendix

12.1. Battery Datasheet

(Source: https://www.batterx.io/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/batterX_Batterie-Lifepo-DE.pdf)



LIFEPO-BATTERIEMODUL

LFP3500



Die batterX® LFP ist eine Lithium-Eisenphosphat-Batterie in Form eines 3,5kWh-Moduls, welches mit und ohne Gehäuse installiert werden kann. Werden die Module frei aufgestellt, nutzt man Brackets, um sie mechanisch miteinander zu verbinden.



TECHNISCHE DATEN

Basisparameter	Batteriemodul LFP3500
Nominalspannung (V)	48
Nominalkapazität (Wh)	3552
Nutzbare Kapazitāt (Wh)	3200 (g0% DoD)
Abmessungen (mm, BxTxH)	442*420*132
Gewicht (kg)	32
Entladespannung (V)	4 5 ~ 53.5
Ladespannung (V)	5 ² 5 ~ 53.5
Standard-Lade- und Entladestrom (A)	37A
Maximaler Lade- und Entladestrom (A)	74A
Kommunikation	RS232, RS485, CAN
Betriebstemperatur	0'C ~ 50'C Ladung
Zertifikate	TÜV / CE / UN38.3
Zyklen	Bis zu 8000 Zyklen in Abhängigkeit von C-Rate und DoD
ha	X Itterxio

Figure 31: Battery datasheet

12.2. UPS Datasheet

(Source: http://www.batterx.io/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/batterx-Business-Series-EN.pdf)



1

www.visionups.com

Figure 32: UPS datasheet

batter

HYBRID

4

CRIO Control

Applications | Industry | Data center | Healthcare | Server | Home

	by vision of a systems
batterX business	batterX Specifications
Power (kVA)	10 15 20 30 40 60 80 100 120 160 200 250 300 400/500
— Input	
Voltage	380/400/415 Vac 3phase +N,+/-20% (415 Vac +15% -25%)
Frequency range	50/60 Hz adjustable, +/-10 Hz
Power factor	0,99
Harmonic distortion (THDi)	<3%
Additional bypass input	ja
Bypass Voltage range	380/400/415 Vac 3phase +N, +/-10%
Solar charge controller	yes - hybrid function
— Output	
Power factor	0,9
Power (kW)	9 13,5 18 27 36 54 72 90 108 144 180 225 270 360/400
Voltage Regulation	380/400/415 Vac 3phase +N, +/-1% (220/230/240 Vac for 10-30 kVA)
frequency	50 Hz or 60 Hz selectable
Frequency Regulation	line synchronized +/-2%, battery mode +/-0,1% adjustable
Efficiency	up to 94%
Crest factor	3:1
Overvoltage protection	100-125% 10 min, 125-150% 60 sec
Additional protection	short circuit, voltage and current range
Harmonic distortion (THD)	<3% at 100% linear load
- Battery	
Туре	VRLA AGM/GEL or other types
Nominal voltage	+/-360 Vdc (2x30-12 Vdc)
Float and end of discharge DC voltag	e +/- 405 Vdc, +/-300 Vdc
- General	
Standard	EMC/LVD/EMI - EN62040-1/2, EN60950
User interface	multi language graphical LCD
LCD Information	Phase to phase voltage, phase to neutral voltage/current, power, frequency, crest factor; T°, error code
Advanced	Auto diagnostics, different maintenance alarms and calibration through RS232
Communication	2x RS232 ports, 4 dry contact (in option 8 dry contacts)
Input	Generator contact and emergency power off
Genset Kit	User programmable
Software	liveX management software
Alarm	200 events (time and date) / in option 500 events
Protection	Over-temperature, over-current, over-temperature alarm
Temperature range	0°C - 40°C
Degree of protection	IP20
Humidity / Altitude	90% max without condensation / < 1000 m
Sound level at 1m distance	\leq 56 dB \leq 61 dB \leq 64 dB \leq 67 dB \leq 72 dB
Weight (kg)	86 92 98 175 182 196 218 259 480 560 650 770
Dimensions (mm), LxWxH	1037x398x812 1437x513x852 1797x882x763 1797x1246x763



IIVEA	Invex-conitolining box	GI 10-COIIII OIIIII g BOX
Communication	HDMI, Ethernet, 4 USB	relay contacts
Programmable relay contacts	8 input (optional)	8 input / 8 output
Dimensions (LxWxH)	240x140x95 mm	n (wall mount)
Software	Energy Flow Management with	local & cloudbased monitoring
Visualization	Browser / mobi	le Application



Hybrid Off-grid Charge Controller	HCC10	HCC20	HCC40					
max output power	10 kW	20 kW	40 kW					
max PV output	12,5 kWp	25 kWp	50 kWp					
MPPTs / max flow rate	1 x 13 A	I x 50 A	2 x 50 A					
Input range MPPT	400-650 V	400-650 V	210-300 V					
Voc max	850 V	500 V						
Efficiency	>97%							
Scalable	up to 8 controllers. Different capacities can be used in the same system.							
Installation		Wall mount or rack						
Dimensions (LxWxH)	520x420x150 mm	520x420x150 mm	749x454x150 mm					
Weight (kg)	20 kg	20 kg	30 kg					

www.visionups.com





	batterX UPS	batterX UPS+ energy storage+partial feeding into the grid	batterX UPS+ energy storage+ 100% auto consumption
On-line double conversion technology with static bypass - 100% Protection	\checkmark	Y	\checkmark
Hybrid ready	Ý	V	Ý
Green energy storage	٠	×	Ý
ON-GRID ^O MODE In combination with on-grid inverter for partial auto consumption & feeding into the grid	•	v	۰
FULL SELF CONSUMPTION MODE Combination with batterX charge controller - 100% of PV power at power failure - No PV power restrictions - For 100% auto consumption - For off-grid installation - Calculable ROI with 15 min load profile	0	٠	Ý
 ENERGY FLOW MANAGEMENT Simultaneous mix of max 3 different energy sources Guaranteed load supply in case of missing AC grid power For peak load sharing Decentralized power supply Choosing the lowest grid kw/h price 	۰	5%	%
CLOUD BASED SOFTWARE liveX - Live view of grid, PV, battery, load parameter - Remote support via local or cloud acces - Smart load management - Daily, weekly, monthly, yearly energy flow data	٠		

 \checkmark = Yes -= No • = Optional

www.visionups.com

3



12.3. Electrolyzer Datasheet

(Source: https://handbook.enapter.com/electrolyser/el40/downloads/Enapter_Datasheet_EL40_EN.pdf

AEM Electrolyser EL 2.1



Enapter's patented anion exchange membrane (AEM) electrolyser is a standardized, stackable and flexible system to produce on-site hydrogen. The modular design – paired with advanced software integration – allows set up in minutes and remote control and management. Stack this electrolyser to achieve the required hydrogen flowrate.

Enapter

KEY FEATURES

- ≡ High efficiency
- Automated & remote operation with Enapter's Energy Management System
- Scalable and modular, add as many modules as needed
- Low maintenance requirements

ELE21-DTS-COM02

Figure 33: Electrolyzer datasheet

Specifications



Ē

_____ 482 mm _____

-

_____ 634 mm ____

Production rate	500 NL/h 1.0785 kg/24h
Hydrogen output purity	35 bar: ~ 99.90% (Impurities: ~ 1000 ppm H₂O) 8 bar: > 1500 ppm H₂O
Output pressure	Up to 35 barg
Nominal power consumption per Nm ³ of H ₂ produced (beginning of life)	4.8 kWh/Nm³
Operative power consumption	2.4 kW
Stand-by power consumption	15 W
Power supply	AC 200 - 240 V, 50/60 Hz
Ambient operative temperature range	5 °C - 45 °C
Ambient operative humidity range	Up to 95% Rh, non-condensing
IP rating	IP 20
Control and monitoring	Fully automatic with Enapter's EMS, Modbus TCP via Ethernet
Water consumption	~ 400 mL/h
Water input conductivity	< 20 µS/cm (at 25 °C)
Water input pressure range	1 - 4 barg
Weight	55 kg
Dimensions	W: 482 mm × D: 634 mm × H: 307 mm
Space inside cabinet	7 U
Conformity	CE certified according to the machine directive 2006/42/CEvv

ELE21-DTS-COM02

Enapter

12.4. Fuel Cell Datasheet

(Source: https://www.batterx.io/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/batterX_Batterie-Lifepo-DE.pdf)



Proton Motor Fuel Cell Stacks and Systems Cleantech Competence





tested

 Most powerful stack with bi-polar plates

Live monitoring and diagnosis
 Ideal for multiple applications
 No external humidification necessary

No forced air ventilation needed Safe use – Stack housing explosion

Housed module

Figure 34: Fuel cell datasheet

Cooling

Wate

January 2021 © Proton Motor

Type PM 400	48	72	96	120	144	168	192	216	240
Electrical Output									
Power Range* [kW]	2.1-14.2	3.1-21.3	4.1-28.4	5.1-35.5	6.2-42.6	7.2-49.7	8.2-56.8	9.2-64.0	10.3-71.0
Current Range [A]	0-500								
Voltage Range [V DC]	28-55	42-83	56-110	71-137	85-165	99-193	113-220	127-248	142-275
EL System Efficiency* [%]	47-67								
Hydrogen Interface									
Hydrogen Quality	ISO 14687	2 / SAE J271	9						
H2 Supply Pressure [bar]	3.0-7.0							7.0 +/-0.5	
Hydrogen Consumption (max) [kg/h]	0.93	1.39	1.85	2.32	2.78	3.25	3.71	4.18	4.64
Dimensions									
Width x Height** [mm x mm]	436 x 279								
Length** [mm]	yth**[mm] 486 580 673 768 860 954 1048 11		1141	1235					
Volume [l]	59.1	70.6	81.9	93.4	104.6	116.0	127.5	138.8	150.2
Tare weight [kg]	37	46	55	64	73	82	91	100	109

* without peripherals

** main dimensions

The PM 400 Fuel Cell Stack Module the heart of PM's technology

The PM Stack Module offers high performance and reliability. It is specially developed and manufactured by Proton Motor. Its straight forward and flexible integration makes the PM Stack Module ideal for multiple energy applications. In addition to the fuel cell stack, the stack module already includes electrical interfaces as well as communication and media connections.

> Anode water separator stack outlet Level switch anode water separator stack outlet

Shut of valve anode water separator stack outlet
Shut-off valve air outlet (back pressure)

 Temperature sensor cooling stack inlet Temperature sensor cooling stack outlet

Included parts: • Fuel cell stack

- Two main power switches to main DC/DC converter
- Pre-charging device for main DC/DC converter
 Voltage bleeding device
 Current sensor
 H2 purge valve

.

- H2 pressure sensor stack inlet
 H2 pressure switch stack inlet

Environmental Conditio	ons					
Ambient Temperature [°C]	-35 to +45					
Operating Altitude* [m]	< 2,000					
Humidity ** [% r.H.]	< 95					
Others						
Conformity	CE					

IP65

Protection Class

* without de-rating ** non condensing

Specifications are subject to change without notice. Specifications and descriptions in this document were in effect at the time of publication. Proton Motor Fuel Cell GmbH reserves the right to changes at any time.

 Temperature sensor air stack inlet
 Temperature sensor air stack outlet Cell voltage monitoring unit H2 pressure regulator stack inlet
 Main shut off valve stack inlet H2 filter stack inlet Proton Motor Fuel Cell GmbH Phone +49 (0) 89 1276265-11 +49 (0) 89 1276265 - 99 Benzstraße 7 Fax D-82178 Puchheim email sales@proton-motor.de Automotive Germany Web www.proton-motor.de Maritime Rail January 2021 © Proton Motor

12.5. Heat Pump Datasheet



SERIE classic i

Wärmepumpen der Serie classic i bestechen durch ihr hohes Maß an Flexibilität: Heizen, Kühlen sowie Warmwasserbereitung (mit frei wählbarem externen Speichersystem). Im Leistungsbereich von 2 bis 33 kW bieten hocheffiziente Verdichter beste Voraus 33 w obcer Hollen uterie verdicher beste voraus-setzungen für einen ganzjährig optimalen Wärme-pumpeneinsatz. Mit modernster Inverter-Technologie in Verbindung mit einem elektronischen Expansionsventil lassen

sich höchste Arbeitszahlen und geringe Verbrauchs sich höchste Arbeitszahlen und geringe Verbrauchs-kosten realisieren und die Größe von Puffespei-chern kann deutlich reduziert werden. Zahlreiche Zusätzoptionen und Zubehörvarianten machen die SmartHeat classic i zu einer sehr flexible einsetzba-ren Warmegumpe, die auf die Anforderungen des Projektes optimal abgestimmt werden kann. Das gilt zum genergenzongen Brochhowr ich zum genergtie vom energiesparenden Passivhaus bis zum energeti-schen Sanierungsfall von Mehrfamilienhäusern.

Typen: Sole/Wasser, Wasser/Wasser Leistungsbereich: 2 - 33 kW Leistungszahl: Bo/W35 bis 4,82 // W1o/W35 bis 6,55

Ihre Vorteile auf einen Blick:

> Ausstattung:

- » Kompakte Bauweise für extrem platzsparende
- Innenaufstellung » Maximale Vorlauftemperatur bis 60 °C
- » Multifunktionale Regelung (smic-system), siehe
- » Multifunktionale kegetung (smi Seite 52
 » Anschlüsse hinten
 » Schnellaufheizstab 6 kW
 » Modernste Invertertechnologie

- » Leistungsstarke Doppel-Rollkolben- oder Scroll-verdichter in Verbindung mit elektronischem Expansionsventil



> Optionen

- Option R: Reversible Ausführung für Aktive Kühlung Diverse Bus-Schnittstellen zur GLT-Anbindung
- » Zahlreiche Regleroptionen

- > Extras / Zubehör-Set (siche ab Seite 2:):
 > Anschluss-Set bestehend aus flexiblen An-schlussschlauchen zur Schwingungsentköpplung
 > Pumpenbaugruppe bestehend aus Hocheffzienz-pumpen für Solekreis, Heizkreis und Warmwasser pumpen für Solekreis, Heizkreis und Warmwasse Quellen Set bestehend aus Mikholasenabschei der, Schmutzfänger, zwei Multifunktionshähnen zum Befüllen und Spülen, Kleinteilen, Strö-mungsschalter Sicherheitsbaugruppe mit Entlüfter und Mano-meter & Membran-Ausdehnungsgefäß
- » Wärmemengenzähler
 » Externe Pufferspeicher und Brauchwasserspei-
- » Externe Fullerspectre cher
 » Passive Kühleinheit
 » Druckschalter
 » Hydraulische Weiche

- » Weiteres Zubehör auf Anfrage

Serie classic i

Heat pumps of the SmartHeat classic i series offer Ineat pumps of the smartneat cassic i series ofter univalied fieldshilly and can be used for heating, cooling and hot water preparation (with or without chosen external storage system of your choice). The Smartheat classic lunits are designed for an output range from a to 33 kW, where high-efficiency com-pressors are the most effective solution for year-round onearlion.

round operation. Advanced inverter technology combined with an Advanced inverter technology combined with an electronic expansion valve guarantees high COPs and low consumption costs. In addition, the size of the buffer storage tanks can be significantly re-duced. The wide range of available extras and ac-cessories make the SmartHeat classic i one of the most versatile heat pumps in the market, as these units can be adapted to meet virtually any project requirements. SmartHeat classic i units are there-fore widely used in projects as diverse as new pas-sive energy houses and heating system renovations.

Types: Brine/water, water/water Capacity range: 2 - 33 kW COP: Bo/W35 up to 4.82 // W1o/W35 up to 6.55

Advantages at a glance:

> Features:

- Compact design for indoor installation
 Max. heating flow temperature 60 °C
 Smart multi-function control (smic) system, see
- page 52 Connections at the rear of the unit
- » 6 kW quick heat coil
 » State-of-the-art inverter technology
- State-ordered relationships
 High-performance dual rotary piston or scroll
 compressors equipped with electronic expansi
 valves
 Sound-insulated, powder-coated housing

 Multi-stage vibration decoupling
 All electrical components installed and fully wired

> Options

- Option 8: Reversible model for active cooling
 Various bus interfaces for integration into facility
 management system
 Wide range of regulating options

- > Extras / Accessories (see from page 2);
 Connecting kits consisting of flexible tubes for vibration decoupling
 Pump assembly, including high-efficiency pumps for brine, heating and hot water circuits
 Source kit including mitoro-bubble separator, dirt trap, two multi-function taps for filling and rinsing, various small fittings and flow switch
 Safety assembly with bleeder valve, pressure gauge and membrane expansion vessel
 Heat meter
 External buffer and DHW tank
 Passive colling unit
- Passive cooling unit
 Pressure switch
 Hydraulic shunt
 Other accessories available on request



classic i

		Тур type			Verdic Comp	htertyp pressor			P	Leistung Perfomani	sdater ce data	1 1)									Energ	gieeffizienz ergylabel		
Bezeichnung Description Bestellnummer Order number	Sole / Wasser brine/water	Wasser/ Wasser water/water	inkl. aktiver Kühlung incl. active cooling	Kältemittel Refrigerant	Inverter scroll Inverter-scroll	Inverter Rollkolben Inverter-rotary	Betriebspunkt Operation point	Drehizahi Revolutions per second	Leistungsbereich Capacity range	Heizleistung Nominal heating capacity	Leistungsaufnahme Power input	Betriebsstrom Current	Leistungszahl	EER // Kühilleistung W(B)12/W35 max rps	Max. Betriebsstrom Max. current consumption	Netzanschluss Power supply	Max.Vorlauftemperatur Max.flow temperature	Hydraul. Anschlüsse Hydraulic connections	Abmessungen H x B x T Dirrrensions H x W x D 2)	ca. Gewicht approx. weight	Raumheizgeräte Space heaters 3)	Verbundantage Package 4)	Kältemittelmasse Refrigerant weight	Schallleistungspegel Sound power level
									[kW]	[kW]	[kW]	[A]	[-]	[-]//[kW]	[A]	[V];[Hz]	[°C]		[mm]	[kg]		₩+ 7	[kg]	[dB]
SmortHeat							Rol	min (30rps)	1,89	0,43	3,7	4.43						Schrankdimension		1				
classic oo8 BWi							W35	nominal (65rps)	7,84	4,24	0,93	3.9	4,57						housing		A++			
	×	- 22	321	R410a	100	x		max (norps)		7,84	1,79	5	4.37	1.12	12	230 ; 50 1 Ph	60	4 X 1"	1000 x 000 x 020	135		A+++	2,7	42 -52
H055001008							Bo/	nominal (6srps)	1,70 -	3.81	1.34	5.8	2.84						mit Anschlüssen with connections 1060 x 600 x 700		A++			
							W55	max (110rps)	7,2	7,20	2,6	7,4	2,77								norder Co.			
								min (30rps)		1,85	0,42	3.7	4.34	E 12 // 8 2E 12		-		Schrankdimension			1			
SmartHeat classic oo8 BWi R							Bo/ Was	nominal (65rps)	1,85 - 7.68	4,15	0,91	3.9	4,48		12 2				dimensions of housing		A++			
	×		×	R4103		x		max (110rps)		7,68	1,76	5	4,28			230 ; 50	60	4 8 1	1060 x 600 x 620	140		A+++	27	42.52
	0			14104		~	Ro/	min (30rps)	166	1,66	0,62	5.5	2,61	5,1277 0,55		1 Ph			mit Anschlüssen with connections		A++	an		41 31
H055006008							W55	nominal (65rps)	7,06	3.73	1,31	5,8	2,79											
	-		-	-				max (110rps)		7,06	2,55	7,4	2,72		<u> </u>					_	<u> </u>	_		
SmartHeat							W10/	min (30rps)	2.53 -	2,53	0,42	3,6	6,11						Schrankdimension dimensions of					
classic o10 WWi							W35	nominal (65rps)	10,33	5,72	0,91	3,8	6,3						housing		A++			
		х	327	R410a	121	х		min (20ms)	-	2.24	0.67	4,0	2 22		12	230 ; 50 1 Ph	60	4 X 1"	1000 x 000 x 020	135		A+++	2,7	42 -52
H055002010							W10/	nominal (6srps)	2,24 -	5.06	1.43	61	3.55						with connections		A++			
							W55	max (110rps)	9,45	9.45	2,77	7.7	3.41						1060 x 600 x 700					
				-				min (30rps)		2,48	0,41	3,6	5,98						Schrankdimension					
SmartHeat classic 010 WWi R							W10/ Was	nominal (65rps)	2,48 -	5,61	0,89	3,8	6,17						dimensions of housing		A++			
		~		P4103		×		max (110rps)		10,13	1,74	4,8	5,72	5,12 // 8,35		230 ; 50	60	4 ¥ 1"	1060 x 600 x 620	140			27	42.52
		×	x	n.410a		×	141.01	min (30rps)		2,19	0,66	5.7	3,25		,12 // 8,35 12		60	4 X 1"	14 mit Anschlüssen with connections	140		ATT	2,7	42-52
H055007010							W55	nominal (65rps)	2,19 - 9,26	4,96	1,4	6,1	3,48								A++			
								max (110rps)	10rps)		2,72	7.7	3.34						1000 x 000 x 100					

-								min (30rps)		2,47	0,54	4,5	4,56						Schrankdimension					
smartHeat classic o12 BWi							W35	nominal (58rps)	2,47 -	4,9	1,05	4,7	4,69						dimensions of housing		A++			
	v	130		PAIO	1125			max (130rps)		12,27	2,86	7,1	4,3		16	230 ; 50	60	A V 1"	1060 x 600 x 620	140		A	37	24.40
	^		·	India a		l î	-	min (30rps)		2,23	0,8	6,8	2,18		10	1 Ph		4 ^ 1	mit Anschlüssen	itto		ATTT	2.1	24-40
H055001012							Bo/ Wss	nominal (58rps)	2,23 - 9.12	4.39	1,54	7,2	2,84						with connections		A++			
								max (110rps)	-	9,12	3.37	8,7	2,7						1060 X 600 X 700					
C								min (30rps)		2,42	0,53	4.5	4,47					1	Schrankdimension				1	
lassic o12 BWi R							80/ W35	nominal (58rps)	2,42 -	4,81	1,03	4.7	4,59						dimensions of housing		A++			
	x		×	Ratoa		×		max (130rps)		12,03	2,8	7,1	4,21	4.94 //	16	230 ; 50	60	A X 1"	1060 x 600 x 620	145		A+++	27	24-40
			<u> </u>	Instruction of	1000		Bal	min (30rps)		2,18	0,79	6,8	2,72	13,82		1 Ph	00	447	mit Anschlüssen	143			50	14 40
H055006012							W55	nominal (58rps)	8,94	4,31	1,52	7,2	2,78						with connections		A++			
								max (110rps)		8,94	3,31	8,7	2,65						1080 x 800 x 700					
Consettions							Mag	min (30rps)		3,36	0,53	4,4	6,34						Schrankdimension					
lassic o16 WWi							W35	nominal (58rps)	16,62	6,68	1,03	4.4	6,52						housing		A++			
		x		R410a		×		max (130rps)		16,62	2,8	7,7	5,93		16	230 ; 50	60	4 X 1"	1060 X 600 X 620	140		A+++	2.7	24-40
			- ×		0000		What	min (30rps)	2.00	2,99	0,85	7	3,52			1 Ph		-	mit Anschlüssen					
H055002016							W55	nominal 58rps)	12,29	5.9	1,64	7,1	3,61						with connections		A++			
						-		max (110rps)		12,21	3.57	10,2	3,41					<u> </u>	1000 x 000 x 700					
SmortHeat							Whol	min (30rps)	22	3.3	0,52	4.4	6,21						Schrankdimension					
assic o16 WWi R							W35	nominal (58rps)	16,28	6,55	1	4,4	6,39						housing		A++			
	-	x	x	R410a		×		max (130rps)		16,28	2,74	7,7	5,81	4,94 //	16	230 ; 50	60	4 X 1"	1060 X 600 X 620	145		A+++	2.7	24-40
							Wio/	min (30rps)	2.02.	2,93	0,83	7	3,45	13,82		120			mit Anschlüssen	1.				
H055007016							W55	nominal (58rps)	11,96	5,8	1,61	7,1	3,53						with connections		A++			
			-	-		-		max (110rps)		11,96	3.5	10,2	3,35					-	1000 x 000 x 700					-
SmartHeat							Bo/	min (20rps)	204 -	3.94	0,9	10,1	4,37						Schrankdimension dimensions of					
lassic 024 BWi							W35	nominal (42rps)	23,74	8,63	1,79	10	4,82			10000			housing		A++			
	x			R407C	x			max (120rps)		23,74	6,06	12,2	3,92		24	400 ; 50	60	4 X	1060 X 600 X 620	155		A+++	4.4	43-54
							Bo/	min (20rps)	262.	3,62	1,39	14,7	2,61			3 Ph		1 1/4	mit Anschlüssen					
H055001024							W55	nominal (42rps)	22,3	7,78	2,75	14,7	2,83						with connections		A++			
								max (120rps)		22,3	9,3	17,8	2,4											

Attuele technische Daten und hydraulische Hinweise sowie Maßingaben sind den altuellen Datenbättern und Bedenungsaniebungen zu enthehmen. Alle Hydraulien und Danstellungen werstehm sich als Hinweise. Technische Anderungen und Anderungen am Design vohebalten. För upto-date technical data, hydraulis specifications and dimensions, see data sheets and operating mannaub. All hydraulis schematics and other diagrams are provided for information purposes only Subject to technical datarges and danges in design without prior notice.

classic i

19

12.6. Solar Module Datasheet

(Source: <u>https://de.suntech-power.com/wp-content/uploads/download/product-specification/DE Ultra V mini STP410S C54 Umh.pdf</u>)



Figure 35: Solar module datasheet



Ultra V STPXXXS - C54/Umh 390-410W

Mechanische Eigenschaften

Solarzelle	Monokristallines Silizium 182 mm
Anzahl der Zellen	108 (6×18)
Abmessungen	1724 × 1134 × 30 mm (67,9 × 44,6 × 1,2 Zoll)
Gewicht	22,1 kgs (48,7 lbs.)
Frontglas	3,2 mm (0,126 Zoll) voll gehärtetes Glas
Ausgangskabel	4,0 mm2 symmetrische Längen (-) 1400 mm, (+) 1400 mm oder kundenspezifische Länge
Verteilerdose	Schutzklasse IP68 (3 Bypass-Dioden)
Betriebstemperatur Modul	-40 °C bis +85 °C
Maximale Systemspannung	1500 V DC (IEC)
Steckverbinder	MC4 EVO2, Cable01S, STP-XC4
Maximaler Bemessungsstrom bei Reihensicherung	25 A
Leistungstoleranz	0/+5 W



Elektrische Eigenschaften

Modul Typ	STP4105	-C54/Umh	STP 405 S	-C54/Umh	STP4005	-C54/Umh	STP 395 S	-C54/Umh	STP 390 S	-C54/Umh
Testbedingungen	STB	NMOT	STB	NMOT	STB	NMOT	STB	NMOT	STB	NMOT
Maximale Leistung (Pmax/W)	410	309,6	405	306,0	400	302,3	395	298,6	390	294,9
Optimale Betriebsspannung (Vmp/V)	31,59	29,2	31,38	29,0	31,18	28,8	30,98	28,6	30,76	28,4
Optimaler Betriebsstrom (Imp/A)	12,98	10,62	12,91	10,56	12,83	10,50	12,76	10,44	12,69	10,38
Leerlaufspannung (Voc/V)	37,45	35,2	37,24	35,0	37,04	34,8	36,84	34,6	36,62	34,4
Kurzschlussstrom (lsc/A)	13,88	11,16	13,81	11,10	13,73	11,04	13,66	10,98	13,59	10,93
Modulwirkungsgrad (%)	2	1,0	2	0,7	20	0,5	2	0,2	1	9,9

Temperaturmerkmale

Nenntemperatur bei Modulbetrieb (NMOT)	42 ± 2 ℃
Temperaturkoeffizient von Pmax	-0,36%/°C
Temperaturkoeffizient von Voc	-0,304%/°C
Temperaturkoeffizient von Isc	0,050%/°C

Verpackungskonfiguration

Temperaturkoeffizient von Voc	-0,304%/°C
Temperaturkoeffizient von Isc	0,050%/°C
Verpackungskonfiguration	
Container	40 ' HC
Stück pro Palette	36
Paletten pro Container	26
Stück pro Container	936
Abmessung der Palette	1755×1130×1255 mm
Gewicht der Palette	804 kg



Grafiken

Werte können ohne vorherige Ankündigung geä Module/innerhalb der Module, die ihre einwandf geringfügig abweichen

©Copyright 2022 Wuxi Suntech

DE-STP-Ultra-V-mini-NO1.02-Rev 2022

12.7. Helioscope Simulation Results



© 2022 Folsom Labs	1/3	March 23, 2022
	Figure 36: Helioscope simulation results	

Annual Production Report produced by Oliver Loritz

	Description	Output	% Delta
	Annual Global Horizontal Irradiance	986.4	
	POA Irradiance	982.5	-0.4%
Irradiance	Shaded Irradiance	982.5	0.0%
(kWh/m²)	Irradiance after Reflection	936.4	-4.7%
	Irradiance after Soiling	917.6	-2.0%
	Total Collector Irradiance	917.7	0.0%
	Nameplate	356,881.5	
Energy	Output at Irradiance Levels	350,339.4	-1.8%
	Output at Cell Temperature Derate	333,319.8	-4.9%
	Output After Mismatch	319,330.3	-4.2%
(kWh)	Optimal DC Output	318,457.9	-0.3%
	Constrained DC Output	318,455.2	0.0%
	Inverter Output	313,996.8	-1.4%
	Energy to Grid	312,426.9	-0.5%
Temperature	Metrics		
	Avg. Operating Ambient Temp		13.5 °C
	Avg. Operating Cell Temp		23.8 °C
Simulation M	etrics		
		Operating Hours	4633
		Solved Hours	4633

Description	Cond	dition	Set 1									
Weather Dataset	TMY,	, 10kn	n Grid,	meteo	norn	n (met	eonoi	m)				
Solar Angle Location	Mete	eo Lat	/Lng									
Transposition Model	Pere	Perez Model										
Temperature Model	Sandia Model				mperature Model Sandia Model							
	Rack Type			а	a		b		Temperature Delta			
Parameters	Fixed Tilt			-3.	-3.56		-0.075		3°C			
	Flus	unt	-2.	81	-0.0455		0°C					
Soiling (%)	J	F	м	A	М	J	J	Α	S	0	Ν	D
	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Irradiation Variance	5%											
Cell Temperature Spread	4° C											
Module Binning Range	-2.5% to 2.5%											
AC System Derate	0.50%											
Module Characterizations	Module				Uploaded By		ed	Characterization				
module characterizations	STP4 (Sur	405S-(ntech)	C54/Ur	nh	F	Folsom Spec Sheet Labs Characterization		ation,	PAN			
Component Characterizations	Devi	ice	L	pload	ed By			Chara	cteriza	ation		

Component	Name	Count
Inverters	SUN2000-100KTL-M1 (380/400) (Huawei)	3 (300.0 kW
Strings	10 AWG (Copper)	39 (1,479.9 m)
Madula	Suntech, STP405S-C54/Umh	960 (388.8
Module	(405W)	kW)

Description		Combiner Poles		Stri	ng Size	Stringing	Strategy		
Wiring Zone				7-2	5	Along Rac	king		
III Field S	egments								
Description	Racking	Orientation	Tilt	Azimuth	Intrarow Spacing	Frame Size	Frames	Modules	Power
South-West	Flush Mount	Landscape (Horizontal)	10°	229.372°	0.5 m	8x5	12	480	194.4 kW
	Flush							100	

C	2022	Folsom	Labs
	LOLL	1 0100111	LODO

2/3

March 23, 202



		2022	Folsom	Labs
--	--	------	--------	------

3/3

March 23, 2022

12.8. Hydrogen Storage Tank Datasheet

(Source: Wystrach GmbH)



Figure 37: Hydrogen tank datasheet



Wystrach GmbH | Industriestraße 60 | 47652 Weeze | Germany | +49 (0) 28 37 / 91 35-0 | info@wystrach-gmbh.de