Ultrafast x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy in the microsecond time domain
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We introduce a new approach for ultrafast in situ high-resolution X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to study surface processes and reaction kinetics on the microsecond timescale. The main idea is to follow the intensity at a fixed binding energy using a commercial 7 channeltron electron analyzer with a modified signal processing setup. This concept allows for flexible switching between measuring conventional XP spectra and ultrafast XPS. The experimental modifications are described in detail. As an example, we present measurements for the adsorption and desorption of CO on Pt(111), performed at the synchrotron radiation facility BESSY II, with a time resolution of 500 μs. Due to the ultrafast measurements, we are able to follow adsorption and desorption in situ at pressures of 2 × 10⁻⁶ mbar and temperatures up to 500 K. The data are consistently analyzed using a simple model in line with data obtained with conventional fast XPS at temperatures below 460 K. Technically, our new approach allows measurement on even shorter timescales, down to 20 μs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Photoelectron spectroscopy has benefitted strongly from the improvements of synchrotron radiation sources. Especially the high flux and tunable energy allow for using spectroscopic methods such as X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) as an in situ measuring tool for determining the surface composition. Thereby, not only a much better energy resolution but also much shorter data acquisition times than in typical lab experiments were achieved. Using laboratory X-ray sources, the typical measuring times in the order of several minutes up to hours allow only for a very limited picture of time-dependent changes occurring on a surface. When using synchrotron radiation, the time resolution is significantly improved, such that high-resolution XP spectra can be taken in a few seconds. With this improvement it became possible to study time-dependent surface processes in situ, i.e., to follow adsorption as function of time or reactions as function of temperature. This gain in time resolution not only opens the possibility to access the kinetics of surface reactions, but also to greatly improve the output in measurement data per time. On top of that further improvements were achieved by new technological efforts: The development of new detectors and the operation of electron analyzers in the so called snapshot mode enable a time resolution of down to ~100 ms in the case of analyzers with a CCD camera as detector, while times down to 1 ms are projected for delay line detectors and other advanced detector designs. As examples, we like to mention the detector from Bussat et al. and the company Omicron (128 channel stripe anode detector). The improved time resolution for XPS, and also for other X-ray based techniques, was used to study, e.g., surface reactions, giving insights to reaction intermediates and reaction kinetics.

The growth of different adsorbate layers, including (metal) oxides, graphene, and small hydrocarbons, has been well characterized in the literature, in particular also with high-resolution XPS. We study the adsorption and transient desorption of CO at temperatures between 460 and 500 K, and compare the results to data obtained at lower temperatures with a time resolution of ~4 s. Please note that the...
isothermal adsorption/desorption of CO on Pt(111) was up to now only studied up to temperatures of 450 K, as at higher temperatures the desorption is too fast, not allowing the required number of data points before complete desorption. One specific advantage of the approach proposed here is its high flexibility, since the original electron analyzer setup is conserved, and only commercially available standard components are added, which makes the implementation highly cost effective.

In the following, we will introduce the experimental setup thereafter, the results obtained with the new setup will be presented.

II. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION

The experiments were conducted at the beamline U 49/2 PGM-1 at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin in our own transportable UHV apparatus, consisting of two chambers. The preparation chamber houses dosing facilities, sputter gun, and LEED (low energy electron diffraction) optics for surface characterization. In the analyzer chamber, a 7 channeltron hemispherical analyzer (Omicron EA 125 U7 HR) is installed. In the past, this analyzer was routinely operated with acquisition times down to \( \sim 1.5 \) s for a 6 eV wide energy window. A three stage supersonic molecular beam (SSMB) is attached to the analysis chamber for well-defined gas dosing. The SSMB is controlled by a shutter with opening/closing times of about \( \sim 50 \) \( \mu \)s for a spot size on the sample of 125 \( \mu \)m; thus, a controlled time structure is available. A specific additional advantage of using the SSMB is the high local pressure of \( 2 \times 10^{-6} \) mbar on the surface, while keeping the surrounding pressure in the chamber at \( 2.6 \times 10^{-8} \) mbar. This is particularly important as an “instant” increase/drop in the pressure by a factor of \( \sim 77 \) on the sample surface results, when the shutter is opened/closed. For further details on the general setup, we would like to point to Ref. 30.

In the following, we want to introduce the changes of the experimental setup to obtain a higher time resolution. The original operation mode is the so called “swept mode,” with a single spectrum acquired in a few seconds. Thereby, the kinetic energy of the detected electrons is swept, so that each channeltron collects electrons at the desired kinetic energy for a certain time (dwell time). The spectra of the individual channeltrons (in our case 7) are added appropriately after acquisition (see Figure 1(a)). In the approach presented here, we use our channeltron detector in the style of the “snapshot” mode of CCD camera detectors. This means that we do not change kinetic energy, but acquire data with a fixed kinetic energy in every channeltron; this approach is schematically sketched in Figure 1(b).

In principle, a whole spectrum is obtained by repeating the experiments with the analyzer set to different kinetic energies. However, if the peaks of the investigated surface species do not shift in binding energy during the observed surface processes this is not necessary, and the information can be derived from measuring at only one kinetic energy. This is the case in our model system (see below).

After this general description, we want to describe the actual layout of the fast XPS experiment in Figure 2 and discuss the signal processing. In the original setup (also see Figure 2), the amplifiers of the 7 channeltrons in the analyzer generate an electric pulse for each electron detection event. This pulse is translated into optic signals and transferred by fiber optic cables (FOC) to a translator; there it is transformed to a TTL (transistor-transistor logic) signal, which is registered by a counter card. In the modified setup, we inserted a Y-switch after the translator that allows us to record the counts with another digital acquisition board (National Instruments, NI PCI6602) with 8 counting/pulsing channels. Seven of the eight channels are used to read out the channeltrons by tapping the TTL-signals at the Y-switch. The eighth channel gives the timer signal for synchronization of channel readouts. This process is controlled by a LabView program. The trigger for the dwell time, which determines the time resolution, is provided by a 2 kHz signal from a signal generator (500 \( \mu \)s/channel); its rising edge triggers the readout of the channels to the onboard memory. The latter is copied to the main memory of the computer every 0.5 s in the background, while the counting of the TTL-signals continues. The LabView program also controls the opening and closing of the molecular beam shutter.
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In Figure 3, a typical experiment, taken with this setup is shown. The data are recorded, while a Pt(111) surface at 460 K is exposed to the molecular beam for 7.5 s. In order to achieve a sufficient signal to noise ratio, the experiment (which lasted 20 s) was repeated 100 times, so that the total experiment lasted for 2000 s (∼33 min). The data show the signal from one channeltron set to the binding energy of CO adsorbed at an on-top site (286.8 eV) vs. time (Figure 3(a)). At the starting time (t = 0 s), a constant background signal is observed. Upon opening the molecular beam at t = 1.5 s, the signals rapidly increase due to adsorption of CO at on-top and bridge sites, respectively. After ∼0.5 s (see Sec. III for details on the adsorption experiments) a plateau is reached, which corresponds to the equilibrium coverage at this given temperature and pressure (i.e., Θ = 0.137 ML at T = 460 K and p = 2 × 10^−6 mbar). At t = 9 s, the shutter of the molecular beam is closed, leading to a rapid drop in intensity, due to the isothermal desorption of CO. After 11 s, the signal has decreased to a value of below 2% of the maximum signal.

III. MEASUREMENTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

For an overview, we first briefly recapitulate the adsorption of CO on Pt(111): In Figure 4(a), C 1s XP spectra of the adsorption at a temperature of 200 K are shown up to a total coverage of 0.5 ML (reproduced from Refs. 4 and 31), which displays a well ordered c(4 × 2) LEED pattern. The spectra show two peaks at 286.1 and 286.8 eV, due to CO adsorbed at bridge and on-top sites, respectively, with coverages of 0.25 ML each. The difference in the intensity of the two peaks is attributed to photoelectron diffraction effects. The binding energies of the two peaks show only minor changes with coverage (∼0.07 eV)31). Consequently, the occupation of a particular site can be measured by following the intensity at a particular (fixed) binding energy for this site (e.g., 286.8 eV for the on-top site), rather than by acquiring a “full spectrum.” The spectrum of the c(4 × 2) layer in Figure 4(b) served as a reference for the CO coverage calibration, e.g., for the C 1s intensity in the equilibrium state in Figure 3 (plateau from 2.5 s to 9 s). During this experiment, the pressure of CO was 1.7 × 10^-9 mbar.

In Figure 5(a), C 1s spectra measured under equilibrium conditions (i.e., with the molecular beam on) are shown, and Figure 5(b) depicts the corresponding equilibrium coverages vs. temperature. The data in the temperature range from 375 to 450 K were taken from Ref. 31 and the data from 460 to 500 K were obtained within this study. Overall, a strong temperature dependence of the equilibrium coverage is observed; the spectra for higher temperatures in Fig. 5(a) show that the population of the bridge adsorption site selectively decreases and at 500 K only the more stable on-top site is populated.

Next, we want to discuss the time-dependent adsorption and desorption behavior at temperatures from 460 to 500 K and compare them to previous data for lower temperatures taken from Ref. 30. The measurements between 460 and 500 K were performed using our new approach. A typical data set measured at T = 460 K and p = 2 × 10^-6 mbar is shown in Figure 3(a) with the channeltron set to the binding energy of the on-top site (286.8 eV) and in Figure 3(b) for the bridge site (286.1). The lower signal increase for the latter is due to its lower occupation (see Figure 4). Figure 3(c) shows the sum of the two signals as grey data, which reflect the total CO coverage. The data have been measured with 500 μs per data point. As the characteristic time scales of the experiment are much slower, we can sum up 10 successive data points and thereby obtain a better signal to noise ratio, without a loss of information. The resulting curve (black data) then corresponds to data measured with 5 ms per data point. This signal will be used for the following analysis.

In Figures 6 and 7, we show the isothermal adsorption behavior (obtained from the data after switching off the CO beam) at temperatures between 460 and 490 K, and the isothermal desorption behavior (obtained after switching off the CO beam) of CO on Pt(111) at temperatures between 375 and 500 K; the denoted coverages represent total coverages. The data up to 450 K were measured with the standard swept approach, with 3–4 s per spectrum (cf. Figure 5(a)). At higher pressures and/or temperatures, this type of swept mode
measurements was not possible, due to the much faster characteristic time scales. This becomes evident from the adsorption measurements at $2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar, where the equilibrium coverage is reached already after $\sim 0.3$–$0.4$ s (see Figure 6) or from the desorption measurement at 500 K, where more than 90% of the adsorbed molecules have desorbed within the first second (Figure 7(b)). The data above 450 K at pressures of $2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar thus have been derived from measurements using our new approach, i.e., from experiments like that shown in Figure 3. Note that the higher pressure compared to the adsorption at 200 K was also necessary to achieve a higher equilibrium surface coverage. The denoted coverages again are the total coverages; they are derived by adding the signals for the on-top peak (at 286.8 eV) and the bridge peak (at 286.1 eV), obtained from two independent experiments. We want to point out that these experiments were done with a slightly higher photon flux of 17%, showing no obvious photon induced effects in all experiments.

These data demonstrate that with our new approach we are indeed able to extend the accessible timescale for in situ adsorption and desorption experiments considerably, i.e., by a factor of 50–100 for the data presented here. In the next step, we now want to perform a simple analysis of both the existing data at the lower temperatures and pressures (Figure 7(a)) and the data obtained here (Figures 6 and 7(b)) with our new approach to check for consistency.

Before doing that, we introduce a simple model to describe adsorption and desorption of CO on Pt(111). Since the adsorption energy difference between the on-top site and the bridge site is very small (40–90 meV, depending on the model\textsuperscript{4,32}), site exchange between these two sites is in equilibrium. Considering that the adsorption energy, $E_a$, is much higher (e.g., 1.43 eV according to Ref. 4) than this difference, one can treat the adsorption and desorption behavior at elevated temperatures, as studied here, by using an
average adsorption energy (and also prefactor), as well as sticking coefficient.\textsuperscript{4,31} This certainly is a major simplification, but it allows us to check the data sets obtained under different conditions for consistency. Within this approach, the measured coverage change, $\Theta$, can be described by coupled differential equations with a term for adsorption, $\Theta_{\text{ad}}$, and desorption, $\Theta_{\text{des}}$:\textsuperscript{31,33}

$$\dot{\Theta} = \dot{\Theta}_{\text{ad}} - \dot{\Theta}_{\text{des}} = j \cdot S(\Theta, T) - D \cdot \Theta. \quad (1)$$

\textbf{FIG. 5.} (a) C 1s spectra taken at the given temperatures between 375 and 500 K at a CO pressure of $2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar. Data partly taken from Ref. 32. (b) Coverage of CO on Pt(111) at different temperatures ($p_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar).

\textbf{FIG. 6.} Total coverage during the adsorption of CO on the Pt(111) surface at different temperatures. $p_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar.

\textbf{FIG. 7.} Desorption of CO from the Pt(111) surface for different temperatures. The conventional method is shown in (a) (data taken from Ref. 32). In (b), the faster method is shown. $p_{\text{CO}} = 2 \times 10^{-6}$ mbar.

\( j \) is the molecule flux, $S(\Theta, T)$ is the coverage-dependent sticking coefficient, and $D$ the desorption constant. Strictly speaking, $S(\Theta, T)$ depends on the fractional coverages of bridge sites and on-top sites. However, since at a given temperature, the fractional coverages are precisely determined by the equilibrium between the two sites, the total coverage, $\Theta$, can be used without loss of information. Adsorption is commonly described with the Kisliuk model, which considers direct chemisorption on the bare surface and precursor-mediated adsorption on adsorbate-covered regions:\textsuperscript{34}

$$S(\Theta, T) = S_0 \left(1 + K(T) \cdot \frac{\Theta}{\Theta_{\text{max}} - \Theta}\right)^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

Here, $S_0$ is the initial sticking coefficient, and the Kisliuk parameter, $K$, describes the ratio of the desorption and chemisorption rates from the physisorbed precursor.\textsuperscript{34} The desorption constant $D$ can be expressed with\textsuperscript{35}:

$$D = D_0(T) \cdot \exp \left(\frac{-E_a(\Theta)}{k_B T}\right). \quad (3)$$

$D_0(T)$ is the preexponential factor and $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant. It has been shown by Kinne \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{4} that the adsorption energy $E_a$ linearly decreases with coverage

$$E_a(\Theta) = E_0 + E_1 \cdot \Theta. \quad (4)$$

Based on these considerations, the desorption behavior can be modeled, with the fit parameters $E_0$, $E_1$, and $D_0$, and the adsorption behavior with $j \cdot S_0$, $K$. 
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For the fitting, the desorption behavior in Figures 6 and 7(b), we constrained the value for the adsorption energy $E_0$ to be 1.43 eV and the coverage dependent term $E_1$ to be $-0.65$ eV, i.e., to the values obtained by Kinne et al. for the low temperature data (375–450 K). Using these values, we obtain a consistent fit to the complete data set, i.e., also the data measured with the new approach for higher temperatures, the prefactor $D_0$ is in the range of $1 \times 10^{14.8 \pm 0.3} \text{s}^{-1}$. The fit results are indicated as red lines in the figures. The derived prefactor compares very well to the value of $2 \times 10^{15.5 \pm 1} \text{s}^{-1}$ determined by Kinne for the much smaller temperature range from 375 to 450 K. The good agreement over the large temperature range is strong evidence for the reliability of the data obtained by our new approach and also shows that the intermolecular interaction ($E_2$) is not temperature dependent, at least in the simple model used here.

To describe the adsorption behavior, we fitted Eq. (1) with the constraint of a temperature independent value for $j \cdot S_0$, which is justified considering the small temperature interval from 460 to 490 K; for $E_0$ and $E_1$ the values determined above (1.43 and $0.65$ eV) were used and $D_0$ was allowed to vary within the above denoted error bars. The Kisliuk parameters were determined as a function of temperature from earlier experiments, yielding $j \cdot S_0$ values of 0.80, 0.84, and 0.87 for the 460, 480, and 490 K, respectively. The corresponding fit results, shown as red lines in Figure 6, are in excellent agreement with the experimental data.

Finally, we want to address the potential and limits of our new approach. The data in Figures 3(a) and 3(b) have been collected with a time resolution (dwell time) of 500 $\mu$s. From this experiment, we can extrapolate the smallest reasonable time resolution, i.e., dwell time. The count rate in this experiment is $\sim 400,000$ counts/s ($\sim 200$ counts/s/channel in 500 $\mu$s), but with a higher photon flux or lower energy resolution count rates of up to 5 Mscounts/s are possible when using channeltrons (nonlinearity <5%; total deadtime incl. electronics: 70 ns). With a reasonable number of counts per time step, which we propose to be 100 cts/channel (with the signal to be 10%) and by an increase of the total measuring time by a factor of 100 instead of 100 repetitions, yielding a total time for a complete experiment of 4000 s), a factor of $\sim 25$ in time resolution can be gained. Thus from technical side, a time resolution of $\sim 20 \mu$s appears feasible. Please note that if the signal is stronger (e.g., for metal adsorbates due to the much higher photoionization cross sections), even lower times might be possible.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have presented a new approach for ultrafast XPS to investigate surface processes. It is based on a conventional XPS setup with a channeltron-based electron energy analyzer and uses a modified signal processing unit, allowing for measurements in the $\mu$s regime. First measurements with a time resolution of 500 $\mu$s allow to study the adsorption and desorption of CO on a Pt(111) surface at significantly higher temperatures and significantly higher pressures than were previously possible, i.e., up to 500 K and $2 \times 10^{-4}$ mbar. By comparing results obtained below 450 K by using the conventional XPS detection mode, i.e., the classical swept mode, to the results above 450 K obtained with our new approach, i.e., ultrafast measurements at a fixed energy, we demonstrated the reliability of this new technique. From a detailed analysis of the desorption data, we show that the simple assumption of a linear decrease of the adsorption energy with coverage leads to consistent results for the coverage range from 375 to 500 K. From a critical evaluation of the experimental parameters and boundary conditions, we extrapolate that under favorable condition (i.e., high photoionization cross section of the investigated core levels and high photon flux) a time resolution of 20 $\mu$s or below seems feasible.
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