Rhodomyrtals A–D, four unusual phloroglucinol-sesquiterpene adducts from *Rhodomyrtus psidioides*[†]Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 13514Received 7th January 2014
Accepted 26th February 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra00154k

www.rsc.org/advances

Qingyao Shou,^{*,a} Joshua E. Smith,^a Htwe Mon,^b Zlatko Brkljača,^c
Ana-Sunčana Smith,^{cd} David M. Smith,^{cd} Hans J. Griesser^b and Hans Wohlmut^a

Four novel compounds, rhodomyrtals A–D (**1–4**), with two unprecedented carbon frameworks of phloroglucinol coupled eudesmane, together with the known compound eucalyptin A (**5**) have been isolated from the leaves of the Australian plant *Rhodomyrtus psidioides*. The structures of compounds **1–4** were elucidated by spectroscopic analysis and ECD calculations. Some of the compounds showed good antibacterial activity against selected Gram-positive strains.

The genus *Rhodomyrtus* (Myrtaceae) consists of 17 species, which are native to Asia, Malesia, Melanesia, and Australia.^{1,2} During the past few years, a number of unusual phloroglucinol derivatives such as rhodomyrtone,³ rhodomyrtosones,⁴ and tomentosones,⁵ have been isolated from *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa*. Among them, rhodomyrtone displayed potent anti-bacterial activity, especially against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.⁶ In our efforts to discover natural products with potential application in wound healing, we have examined the Australian endemic species *Rhodomyrtus psidioides* (G. Don) Benth. This species, commonly called native guava, is a shrub or small rainforest tree, which grows up to 12 m high, native to eastern Australia.⁷ Chemical investigations of the leaves of this plant led to the isolation of four novel compounds (**1–4**) (Fig. 1) that possess two new phloroglucinol-coupled eudesmane skeletons, as well as the known compound eucalyptin A (**5**). Herein, details of the isolation, structural elucidation, and antibacterial activity of the rhodomyrtals A–D (**1–4**) are described.

Compound **1** was obtained as a pale yellow oil. It showed a $[M - H]^-$ peak at m/z 471.2759 (calcd 471.2747) by high-resolution electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry (HRESIMS), corresponding to a molecular formula of $C_{28}H_{40}O_6$ with 9 degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum of **1** indicated the presence of carbonyl at 1616 cm^{-1} . From an inspection of 1D-NMR data (Table S1[†]) and the HSQC spectrum, **1** was found to possess a 3-methylbutanoyl side chain [δ_H 3.18 (2H, m), 2.40 (1H, m), 1.03 (6H, d, $J = 6.6\text{ Hz}$); δ_C 206.9, 53.4, 25.7, 23.3, 23.3], a phloroglucinol unit [δ_C 172.5, 108.9, 167.1, 106.7, 169.6, 105.5] bearing an aldehyde [δ_H 10.54 (1H, s), δ_C 193.2], one methylene [δ_H 3.06 (1H, m), 2.71 (1H, m); δ_C 22.8], three tertiary methyl [δ_H 0.92 (3H, s), 1.28 (3H, s) and 1.77 (3H, s); δ_C 15.1, 23.6 and 21.5], a terminal double bond [δ_H 4.88 and 4.81 (each 1H, s); δ_C 108.8, 151.5] as well as an oxygenated carbon at δ_C 71.3. The aforementioned data suggested a phloroglucinol-coupled sesquiterpenoid for compound **1**. The above mentioned functionalities accounted for 7 out of the 9 double-bond equivalents, which indicated the presence of two rings in compound **1**.

The key HMBC correlations (Fig. 2) of H-13' with C-1' (δ_C 169.6), C-6' (δ_C 106.7) and C-5' (δ_C 167.1) as well as of H-12' with C-5' (δ_C 167.1), C-3' (δ_C 172.5) and C-4' (δ_C 108.9) located the aldehyde and the C-12' methylene at positions 6' and 4',

Fig. 1 Structures of rhodomyrtals A–D (**1–4**) and eucalyptin A.^aSouthern Cross Plant Science, Southern Cross University, PO Box 157, Lismore NSW 2480, Australia. E-mail: sanqi_0617@126.com^bIan Wark Research Institute, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes SA 5095, Australia^cInstitute for Theoretical Physics, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Nögelsbachstrasse 49b, Erlangen, 91052, Germany^dRuder Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General experimental procedures, plant material, extraction and isolation, UV, IR, HRESIMS, 1D and 2D NMR spectra of rhodomyrtals A–D (**1–4**) as well as the theoretical methodology of CD calculations. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra00154k

Fig. 2 Key ^1H – ^1H COSY (bold bond) and HMBC correlations of **1**.

respectively, and also allowed the assignment of three hydroxyls at C-1', C-3' and C-5'. Consequently, the 3-methylbutanoyl substituent could only be placed at C-2'. Thus, a grandinol moiety (Fig. 2, component I) was established based on this analysis above, which was also supported by comparison with the NMR data of grandinol.⁸

In the ^1H – ^1H COSY spectrum, homo-nuclear coupling correlations led to the establishment of two structural fragments (C-7' to C-3 and C-5 to C-9) as drawn with bold bonds in Fig. 2. The connections of the two structural fragments, quaternary carbons, and the other functional groups were mainly achieved by the HMBC experiment. The HMBC correlations (Fig. 2) of H_3 -14 with C-1, C-5, C-9 and C-10 suggested attachment of C-1, C-5, and C-9 to the quaternary carbon C-10 to furnish a six-membered ring B and also indicated the attachment of Me-14 to C-10. The HMBC correlations from H_3 -15 to C-3, C-4, and C-5 incorporated an oxygenated quaternary carbon between C-3 and C-5 to establish the other six-membered ring A and also allowed assignment of Me-15 to C-4. An isopropenyl group was attached to C-7 by the HMBC correlations of H_2 -12 with C-7, C-11, and C-13, and of H_3 -13 with C-7 and C-11. Thus, a sesquiterpenoid moiety of 11-eudesmene-4-ol (Fig. 2, component II) in compound **1** was fully established based on the above spectral analysis. Component I and II were linked to each other *via* the C-1–C-12' bond as judged by the HMBC correlations of H_2 -12' with C-1, C-2 and C-10.

The relative stereochemistry of **1** was established on the basis of a phase-sensitive NOESY experiment (Fig. 3). The correlations of CH_3 -14/ H -12' and CH_3 -14/ CH_3 -15 indicated that CH_2 -12', CH_3 -14 and CH_3 -15 were in a β -orientation, and subsequently the NOESY cross peaks of H-1/H-5 and H-5/H-7 revealed that H-1, H-5 and H-7 should be assigned to be

Fig. 3 Selected NOESY correlations of **1**.

α -oriented. The absolute configuration of **1** was deduced by comparison of the experimental and the calculated ECD spectra. The theoretical prediction of the ECD spectra of (1*S*,4*R*,5*R*,7*R*,10*S*)-**1** and its enantiomer were obtained on the basis of a method described in ref. 9, using the TD-B3LYP/6-31G+(d) level of theory (see ESI† for details). The calculated spectra of (1*S*,4*R*,5*R*,7*R*,10*S*)-**1** compares well with the experimental ECD (measured in methanol) in the region of 200–400 nm (Fig. 4a). The absolute configuration of compound **1** was thus assigned as depicted.

Compound **2**, a pale yellow oil, had a molecular formula of $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{40}\text{O}_6$ as determined by HREIMS at m/z 471.2756 [$\text{M} - \text{H}$][–] (calcd 471.2747), indicating that it was an isomer of **1**. The IR and UV data of compound **2** were closely related to those of compound **1**. The ^{13}C NMR data of **2** also showed high similarity to those of **1**, except that the signal for C-15 shifted from δ_{C} 23.6 to δ_{C} 31.2, and signals for C-2, C-3 and C-5 shifted from δ_{C} 26.2, 44.4 and 56.5 to δ_{C} 24.3, 42.7 and 53.9 respectively, which indicated a different configuration at the position of C-4. In the NOESY spectrum, the correlations of CH_3 -15/H-5 and H-5/H-7 supported the inference of α -orientation of CH_3 -15. Detailed 2D NMR analysis (^1H – ^1H COSY, HMBC, HMQC, and NOESY) confirmed the structure of compound **2**. The absolute configuration of compound **2** was established in a manner analogous to that of compound **1**.

Compound **3**, obtained as a yellow oil, had a molecular formula of $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_6$ as determined by HRESIMS at m/z 457.2592 [$\text{M} - \text{H}$][–] (calcd 457.2590), which indicated an analogue of **1** missing a CH_2 unit. The ^1H and ^{13}C NMR data of **3** (Table S1†) bear a resemblance to those of **1**, with the notable difference of the side chain attached to the phloroglucinol unit. The proton signals at δ_{H} 4.27 (1H, m) and δ_{H} 1.29 (6H, overlap) as well as the carbon signals at δ_{C} 211.3, 39.6, 19.8, 19.8 suggested a 2-methylpropionyl moiety for **3** instead of the 3-methylbutanoyl side chain in **1**. Thus, the planar structure of **3** was determined as depicted and the stereochemistry was established to be the same as that of **1** from the phase-sensitive NOESY spectrum as well as from the CD spectrum.

Compound **4**, was obtained as a pale yellow oil. The HRESIMS displayed a [$\text{M} - \text{H}$][–] peak at m/z 471.3107 (calcd 471.3116), which was consistent with a molecular formula of $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{44}\text{O}_5$. A careful comparison of chemical shift values of **4** with those of **1** revealed that **4** contains the same sesquiterpene unit of 11-eudesmene-4-ol. The 1D NMR data (Table S1†) and HSQC spectrum revealed the presence of an unit of 3,5-dihydroxy cyclohexadienone [δ_{C} 197.2, 106.4, 189.6, 107.8, 179.2, 49.0] bearing two tertiary methyls [δ_{H} 1.66 (3H, s), and 1.70 (3H, s); δ_{C} 25.4, 25.3] and a 3-methylbutanoyl side chain [δ_{H} 3.17 (2H, m), 2.35 (1H, m), 1.02 (6H, d, $J = 6.7$ Hz); δ_{C} 203.2, 49.0, 26.1, 23.2, 23.2]. The HMBC correlations of H_3 -13' and H_3 -14' with C-1' (δ_{C} 197.2), C-6' (δ_{C} 49.0) and C-5' (δ_{C} 179.2) as well as of H-12' with C-3' (δ_{C} 189.6), C-4' (δ_{C} 107.8) and C-5' (δ_{C} 179.2) located the two tertiary methyls and the C-12' methylene at position 6' and 4', respectively, and also allowed the assignment of two hydroxyls at C-3' and C-5'. Thus, a moiety of 3,5-dihydroxy-6,6-dimethyl-2-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)-2,4-cyclohexadien-1-one was established based on the analysis above. The linkage of the

Fig. 4 Experimental ECD spectra of compounds **1** and **4** (black, (a) and (b) respectively) and the corresponding calculated ECD spectra calculated using TD-B3LYP (red dashed lines, (a) and (b) respectively). See ESI† for details.

phloroglucinol and the sesquiterpene units was determined through the C-12' methylene by the HMBC correlations of H₂-12' with C-1, C-2 and C-10.

The relative configuration of **4** was inferred from the phase-sensitive NOESY experiment and established the same as compound **1**. However, the experimental CD spectrum of **4** was similar to the calculated ECD curve generated for (1*R*,4*S*,5*S*,7*S*,10*R*)-**4** and appeared as a mirror image of (1*S*,4*R*,5*R*,7*R*,10*S*)-**4** (Fig. 4b), which indicated a reverse configuration of **4**. Therefore, the absolute configuration of **4** was deduced to be 1*R*,4*S*,5*S*,7*S*,10*R*.

Compound **5** was identified as eucalyptin A by comparing its physical, MS, and NMR data with the literature values.¹⁰

Phloroglucinol-coupled sesquiterpenoids are mainly found in the genus *Eucalyptus* of the Myrtaceae family,¹¹ and are here reported from the genus *Rhodomyrtus* for the first time. The skeleton of the phloroglucinol-coupled eudesmane reported previously was formed by a linkage to the C-7' of the phloroglucinol motif.¹² Rhodomyrtals A–C (**1**–**3**) represent a new skeleton of phloroglucinol coupled eudesmane through a C-12' methylene; Rhodomyrtal D (**4**) contains a phloroglucinol unit of 3,5-dihydroxy-6,6-dimethyl-2-(3-methyl-1-oxobutyl)-2,4-cyclohexadien-1-one, which also coupled with an eudesmane moiety through a C-12' methylene. This rare phloroglucinol unit has never been found in phloroglucinol-terpene adducts. We believe that these findings are of interest in the context of chemotaxonomy, plant biochemistry and synthetic chemical research.

Table 1 Antibacterial Activity of compound (**1**–**5**) from *Rhodomyrtus psidioides*^a

Microorganism	Compound				
	1	2	3	4	5
<i>S. epidermidis</i> ATCC 35984	2.4 (4.7)	10 (20)	15 (30)	47.5 (95)	1.7 (3.5)
<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 29213	9.4 (18.8)	40 (80)	30 (60)	47.5 (95)	3.5 (13.8)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> ATCC 27853	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

^a Values shown are MIC (MBC) in $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. NA: not active at the maximum concentration tested.

The antibacterial activity of compounds **1**–**5** was evaluated against selected bacteria important in human infections as described previously.¹³ The results are shown in Table 1. Although compounds **1**–**5** were not active against the Gram-negative *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, they showed relatively good antimicrobial activity against the two Gram-positive strains. Compounds **1** and **5** showed better activity than compounds **2**, **3** and **4**, with compound **5** being especially potent, having MIC and MBC values of 1.7 and 3.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively, against the biofilm producing strain, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* ATCC 35984.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Wound Management Innovation CRC (established and supported under the Australian Government's Cooperative Research Centres Program) and the Cluster of Excellence: Engineering of Advanced Materials, FAU, Erlangen, Germany.

Notes and references

- The Plant list home Page, <http://www.theplantlist.org>, accessed 14 October 2013.
- N. Snow, J. McFadden and J. P. Atwood, *Austrobaileya*, 2008, **7**, 691–706.
- D. Salni, M. V. Sargent, B. W. Skelton, I. Soediro, M. Sutisna, A. H. White and E. Yulinah, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2002, **55**, 229–232.
- A. Hiranrat and W. Mahabusarakam, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 11193–11197.
- A. Hiranrat, W. Mahabusarakam, A. R. Carroll, S. Duffy and V. M. Avery, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 680–683.
- (a) J. Saising, A. Hiranrat, W. Mahabusarakam, M. Ongsakul and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *J. Health Sci.*, 2008, **54**, 589–595; (b) S. Limsuwan, E. N. Trip, T. R. H. M. Kouwen, S. Piersma, A. Hiranrat, W. Mahabusarakam, S. P. Voravuthikunchai, D. J. M. Van and O. Kayser, *Phytomedicine*, 2009, **16**, 645–651; (c) S. Limsuwan, A. Hesselting-Meinders, S. P. Voravuthikunchai, D. J. M. Van and O. Kayser, *Phytomedicine*, 2011, **18**, 934–940; (d) J. Saising, M. Ongsakul and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2011, **60**, 1793–1800; (e) W. Sianglum,

- P. Srimanote, W. Wonglumsom, K. Kittiniyom and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, e16628; (f) M. Visutthi, P. Srimanote and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *J. Microbiol.*, 2011, **49**, 956–964; (g) S. Leejae, P. W. Taylor and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2013, **62**, 421–428; (h) W. Sianglum, P. Srimanote, P. W. Taylor, H. Rosado and S. P. Voravuthikunchai, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e45744.
- 7 G. Harden, *Flora of New South Wales*, Royal Botanic Gardens, Sydney, 2002, vol. 2, p. 229.
 - 8 W. D. Crow, T. Osawa, D. M. Paton and R. R. Willing, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1977, **12**, 1073–1074.
 - 9 Z. Brkljača, K. Čondić-Jurkić, A.-S. Smith and D. M. Smith, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2012, **8**, 1694–1705.
 - 10 S. P. Yang, X. W. Zhang, J. Ai, L. S. Gan, J. B. Xu, Y. Wang, Z. S. Su, L. Wang, J. Ding, M. Y. Geng and J. M. Yue, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 8183–8187.
 - 11 J. F. Zeng and S. Q. Liu, in *Chinese Flora Zhongguo Zhiwu Zhi*, Science Press, Beijing, 1984, vol. 53, ch. 1, pp. 31–52.
 - 12 K. Osawa and H. Yasuda, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1996, **59**, 823–827.
 - 13 Q. Shou, L. K. Banbury, D. E. Renshaw, E. H. Lambley, H. Mon, G. A. Macfarlane, H. J. Griesser, M. M. Heinrich and H. Wohlmuth, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2012, **75**, 1612–1617.