# **Supplementary Material**

for

# Chloride binding by layered double hydroxides (LDH/AFm phases) and alkaliactivated slag pastes: an experimental study by RILEM TC 283-CAM

Gregor J. G. Gluth<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shishir Mundra<sup>2</sup>, Ricky Henning<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division 7.4 Technology of Construction Materials, Bundesanstalt für Materialforschung und -prüfung (BAM), 12205 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Building Materials (IfB), ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

\* Corresponding author; e-mail: gregor.gluth@bam.de

## This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Figs. S1–S13: pp. 2–9 Supplementary Tables S1 and S2: pp. 10–11 Supplementary Text 1: pp. 12–16



**Supplementary Fig. S1.** X-ray diffraction patterns of monosulfate, batches 1 and 2. Patterns are plotted with their relative intensities. Selected peaks are labelled: Ett, ettringite; *Ms-12*, monosulfate 12-hydrate; *Ms-10.5*, monosulfate 10.5-hydrate; Kapton, Kapton foil used to cover the samples.



**Supplementary Fig. S2.** X-ray diffraction patterns of strätlingite, batches 1 and 2. Patterns are plotted with their relative intensities. Selected peaks are labelled: Mc, monocarbonate; Hg, hydrogarnet; Kapton, Kapton foil used to cover the samples.



**Supplementary Fig. S3.** X-ray diffraction patterns of hydrotalcite and meixnerite. Patterns are plotted with their relative intensities. Selected peaks are labelled: ?, unidentified impurities in hydrotalcite and meixnerite; Kapton, Kapton foil used to cover the samples.



**Supplementary Fig. S4.** X-ray diffraction patterns of the LDH/AFm phases (batch 1). Patterns are plotted with their absolute intensities (a.u.) to demonstrate differences in 'crystallinity'. Selected peaks are labelled: *Ett*, ettringite; *Ms-12*, monosulfate 12-hydrate; *Ms-10.5*, monosulfate 10.5-hydrate; *Str*, strätlingite; *Hg*, hydrogarnet; *Ht*, hydrotalcite; *Mx*, meixnerite; ?, unidentified impurities in hydrotalcite and meixnerite; \*, Kapton foil used to cover the samples.



**Supplementary Fig. S5.** Differential thermogravimetry curves of **A**, monosulfate, batches 1 and 2; **B**, strätlingite, batches 1 and 2; **C**, hydrotalcite; **D**, meixnerite. The curves of monosulfate batch 2 and strätlingite batch 2 are shifted by -0.0003 mg/ms and -0.0002 mg/ms, respectively, for better visibility. Selected signals of impurities are labelled: +Ett, contribution of ettringite; Hg, hydrogarnet.

The thermogravimetric analyses were performed with a Netzsch STA 449 C Jupiter device. Sample masses of 10 mg were used, and the analyses were conducted under flowing nitrogen gas at a heating rate of 10 °C/min, starting at 40 °C.



Supplementary Fig. S6. Infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra of monosulfate, batches 1 and 2.

Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra of the samples were recorded at room temperature in the mid-IR region (wavenumber 4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup>) on a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS 50 FTIR spectrometer with an optical resolution of better than 0.09 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For each sample, 32 scans were recorded and averaged. The spectra are plotted with no additional scaling, *i.e.*, with their absolute transmittances as outputted by the spectrometer software.



Supplementary Fig. S7. Infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra of strätlingite, batches 1 and 2.

Experimental conditions and data presentation as described in the caption of Supplementary Fig. S6.



Supplementary Fig. S8. Infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra of hydrotalcite and meixnerite.

Experimental conditions and data presentation as described in the caption of Supplementary Fig. S6. The infrared spectra of hydrotalcite and meixnerite (Mg-Al-OH LDH) are usually distinguished by the different intensities of their carbonate bands at ~1365 cm<sup>-1</sup>, as for the present materials, while differences between their (Mg,Al)–O bands in the range of approx. 1000– 500 cm<sup>-1</sup> are generally not sufficient to differentiate between these phases. *Cf.* Bernard E, Zucha WJ, Lothenbach B, Mäder U (2022) Stability of hydrotalcite (Mg-Al layered double hydroxide) in presence of different anions. Cem Concr Res 152:106674, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2021.106674; and Koritnig S, Süsse P (1975) Meixnerit, Mg6Al2(OH)<sub>18</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, ein neues Magnesium-Aluminium-Hydroxid-Mineral. Tschermaks Mineral Petrogr Mitt 22:79-87, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01081303.



**Supplementary Fig. S9.** X-ray diffraction patterns of the AAS pastes (batch 1) after 48-day curing (AAS Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) or 28-day curing (all other pastes). Selected peaks are labelled: Ht-ss, hydrotalcite-like compound (Mg-Al-OH LDH); CASH, C-(N-)A-S-H gel; Cc, calcite; Vat, vaterite; Mc, monocarbonate; Ga, gaylussite; Ett, ettringite.



**Supplementary Fig. S10.** X-ray diffraction pattern of the AAS Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> paste (batch 1) after 90-day curing. Selected peaks are labelled: *Ett*, ettringite; Ms, monosulfate 12-hydrate; Ht-ss, hydrotalcite-like compound (Mg-Al-OH LDH); Vat, vaterite; CASH, C-(N-)A-S-H gel; Cc, calcite.



**Supplementary Fig. S11.** Modelled equilibrium solid-phase assemblages in the chloride binding experiments with strätlingite at a liquid volume/solid mass ratio of 13 mL/g.



**Supplementary Fig. S12.** Chloride sorption isotherms of a calcined Mg-Al LDH (Mx) and strätlingite (Str) in NaOH/NaCl solutions, and monosulfate (Ms) in NaCl solutions. The liquid volume/solid mass ratios used for the experiments were approx. 96 mL/g for Mx and Str, and 10 mL/g for Ms.

Data from Ke X, Bernal SA, Provis JL (2017) Uptake of chloride and carbonate by Mg-Al and Ca-Al layered double hydroxides in simulated pore solutions of alkali-activated slag cement. Cem Concr Res 100:1-13, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.05.015</u>; and Hirao H, Yamada K, Takahashi H, Zibara H (2005) Chloride binding of cement estimated by binding isotherms of hydrates. J Adv Concr Technol 3:77-84, <u>https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.3.77</u>.



**Supplementary Fig. S13.** Chloride sorption isotherms of C-A-S-H (nominal Ca/Si = 1.0, Al/Si = 0.1; named CNASH-B in the original publication) in NaOH/NaCl solutions, and C-S-H in NaCl solutions. The liquid volume/-solid mass ratios used for the experiments were approx. 96 mL/g for C-A-S-H, and 10 mL/g for C-S-H.

Data from Ke X (2017) Improved durability and sustainability of alkali-activated slag cements. PhD thesis, University of Sheffield; and Hirao H, Yamada K, Takahashi H, Zibara H (2005) Chloride binding of cement estimated by binding isotherms of hydrates. J Adv Concr Technol 3:77-84, <u>https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.3.77</u>.

Component	GGBFS Batch 1 (wt.%)	GGBFS Batch 2 (wt.%)
CaO	43.8	42.1
MgO	4.9	5.1
SiO <sub>2</sub>	33.4	35.0
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	12.0	11.4
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.3	0.3
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.3	0.2
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.8	0.5
$\mathrm{SO}_3^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.8	2.0
$Mn_2O_3{}^b$	0.4	0.1
LOI <sup>c</sup>	1.2	1.7

Supplementary Table S1. Chemical compositions of the ground granulated blast-furnace slags (GGBFS) used for batch 1 (BAM) and batch 2 (ETH).

 $^a$  Sulfur species, including reduced sulfur (presumably mainly  $S^{2-}$ ), expressed as SO\_3 $^b$  Manganese species in different oxidation states, expressed as  $Mn_2O_3$ 

° Loss on ignition

Reference <sup>a</sup>	Material	<i>l/s</i> (mL/g)	Time
Delagrave et al. (1997)	Cement paste	2.5 or 5	3 weeks
Ipavec et al. (2013)	Cement paste	10	2 months
De Weerdt et al. (2015)	Cement paste	0.5	2 months
Machner et al. (2018)	Cement paste	0.5	$\geq 1$ month
Ukpata et al. (2019)	Cement paste	4	6 weeks
Avet and Scrivener (2020)	Cement paste	4	2 months
Ke et al. (2017a)	AAS paste	~6.7	2 months
Zhang et al. (2019)	AAS/FA paste	3.2	2 months
Present study	AAS paste	4	3 weeks
Hirao et al. (2005)	AFm, AFt, CH, and C-S-H/CH	10	2 days
Ke (2017)	C-A-S-H	~96	4 months
Ke et al. (2017b)	Mg-Al LDH and AFm	~96	1 or 3 weeks
Present study	Mg-Al LDH and AFm	13, 26, 53, and 108	3 weeks

**Supplementary Table S2.** Examples of experimental parameters applied in previous studies of chloride binding in hydrated cement pastes and alkali-activated materials, compared to the present study.

#### <sup>a</sup> References:

Avet F, Scrivener K (2020) Influence of pH on the chloride binding capacity of Limestone Calcined Clay Cements (LC<sup>3</sup>). Cem Concr Res 131:106031. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106031</u>

De Weerdt K, Colombo A, Coppola L, Justnes H, Geiker MR (2015) Impact of the associated cation on chloride binding of Portland cement paste. Cem Concr Res 68:196-202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2014.01.027</u>

Delagrave A, Marchand J, Ollivier J-P, Julien S, Hazrati K (1997) Chloride binding capacity of various hydrated cement paste systems. Adv Cem Based Mater 6:28-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1065-7355(97)90003-1</u>

Hirao H, Yamada K, Takahashi H, Zibara H (2005) Chloride binding of cement estimated by binding isotherms of hydrates. J Adv Concr Technol 3:77-84. <u>https://doi.org/10.3151/jact.3.77</u>

Ipavec A, Vuk T, Gabrovšek R, Kaučič V (2013) Chloride binding into hydrated blended cements: the inluence of limestone and alkalinity. Cem Concr Res 48:74-85. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.02.010</u>

Ke X (2017) Improved durability and sustainability of alkali-activated slag cements. PhD thesis, University of Sheffield

Ke X, Bernal SA, Hussein OH, Provis JL (2017a) Chloride binding and mobility in sodium carbonate-activated slag pastes and mortars. Mater Struct 50:252. <u>https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-017-1121-8</u>

Ke X, Bernal SA, Provis JL (2017b) Uptake of chloride and carbonate by Mg-Al and Ca-Al layered double hydroxides in simulated pore solutions of alkali-activated slag cement. Cem Concr Res 100:1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/i.cemconres.2017.05.015

Machner A, Zajac M, Ben Haha M, Kjellsen KO, Geiker MR, De Weerdt K (2018) Chloride-binding capacity of hydrotalcite in cement pastes containing dolomite and metakaolin. Cem Concr Res 107:163-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.02.002

Ukpata JO, Basheer PAM, Black L (2019) Slag hydration and chloride binding in slag cements exposed to a combined chloride-sulphate solution. Constr Build Mater 195:238-248. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.11.055</u>

Zhang J, Shi C, Zhang Z (2019) Chloride binding of alkali-activated slag/fly ash cements. Constr Build Mater 226:21-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.281

# **Supplementary Text 1**

Synthesis protocols for LDH/AFm phases

### Monosulfate Ca<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>12</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O

 $= 4CaO \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot SO_3 \cdot 12H_2O \ [\textcircled{a} \ 11 \ \% \ RH: \ 4CaO \cdot Al_2O_3 \cdot SO_3 \cdot 10.5H_2O]$ 

Ref.: T. Matschei et al., Cem.Concr. Res. 37 (2007) 1379-1410.

<u>Chemicals required</u>: 3CaO·Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ("aluminate"), CaSO<sub>4</sub> or CaSO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, and ultrapure water (not necessarily degassed).

<u>Conditions required</u>: All steps (except 2. and 4.) need to be done in the <u>glove box</u> under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere. Constant temperature in the range 20–25 °C (if not specified otherwise).

*If required:* Calcine the required amount of  $CaSO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  at 200 °C in a muffle furnace for 2 hours to remove the H<sub>2</sub>O. Allow the calcined material (*i.e.*,  $CaSO_4$ ) to cool naturally in the furnace until a furnace temperature of ~150 °C is reached (make sure that *T* is always >105 °C). Remove the crucible with the CaSO<sub>4</sub> from the furnace and immediately transfer it to a desiccator with dry silica gel; allow the CaSO<sub>4</sub> to cool to room temperature in the desiccator. [Measure the mass of the material before and after calcination to check for complete dehydration.]

1. [glove box] Suspend a 1:1 molar mixture of 3CaO·Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and CaSO<sub>4</sub> in ultrapure water. See table below for the composition of the suspension.

Water	3CaO·Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaSO <sub>4</sub>
(g)	(g)	(g)
200.00	9.9743	5.0257

- 2. Age the suspension in a sealed bottle at 85 °C for 7 days.
- 3. [glove box] Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times.
- 4. Dry filter residue in a desiccator in a CO<sub>2</sub>-free atmosphere [Ar or N<sub>2</sub>] above saturated LiCl solution (11 % RH) for 3 weeks.

If necessary for subsequent analyses/experiments, grind the material [in a glove box under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere]. Store the material in sealed containers until required for analyses/experiments.

# Strätlingite $Ca_2Al_2SiO_2(OH)_{10}\cdot 3H_2O$ = 2CaO·Al\_2O\_3·SiO\_2·8H\_2O [@ 11 % RH: 2CaO·Al\_2O\_3·SiO\_2·{7...7.5}H\_2O]

Ref.: Matschei, T. et al., Cem.Concr. Res. 37 (2007) 1379-1410.

<u>Chemicals required</u>: Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, waterglass solution with 27.3 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub> and 15.0 wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>O, NaAlO<sub>2</sub> and ultrapure water (not necessarily degassed).

<u>Conditions required</u>: All steps (except 5. and 7.) need to be done in the <u>glove box</u> under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere. Constant temperature in the range 20–25 °C.

*Optional:* Calcine the NaAlO<sub>2</sub> at 500 °C in a muffle furnace for 1 hour to remove impurity CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O. Allow the calcined material to cool naturally in the furnace until a furnace temperature of ~150 °C is reached (make sure that *T* is always >105 °C). Remove the crucible with the material from the furnace and immediately transfer it to a desiccator with dry silica gel; allow the material to cool to room temperature in the desiccator.

1. [glove box] Suspend each of the solid chemicals in separate bottles/beakers containing ultrapure water. See table below for the compositions of the suspensions.

	Water	Solute
	(g)	(g)
Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub>	151.22	7.5610
Waterglass sln.*	11.25 g of solution	
NaAlO <sub>2</sub>	167.30	8.3650

\* Composition: 27.3 wt.% SiO<sub>2</sub>; 15.0 wt.% Na<sub>2</sub>O; remainder H<sub>2</sub>O

- 2. [glove box] Add NaAlO<sub>2</sub> suspension to Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> suspension.
- 3. [glove box] Add mixed suspension prepared in step 2 to the waterglass solution.
- 4. [glove box] Make up the suspension with ultrapure water to 450 ml.
- 5. Stir suspension in a sealed bottle for 4 weeks.
- 6. [glove box] Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times to remove alkalis.
- 7. Dry filter residue in a desiccator in a CO<sub>2</sub>-free atmosphere [Ar or N<sub>2</sub>] above saturated LiCl solution (11 % RH) for 3 weeks.

If necessary for subsequent analyses/experiments, grind the material [in a glove box under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere]. Store the material in sealed containers until required for analyses/experiments.

# Hydrotalcite $Mg_{1-x}Al_x(OH)_2(CO_3)_{x/2} \cdot nH_2O (x = 0.20...0.33; n = 1 - 3x/2)$ = {(2-2x)/x}MgO·Al\_2O\_3·CO\_3·{2/x+2n/x}H\_2O

<u>Refs.</u>: S. Miyata, *Clays Clay Miner*. 28 (1980) 50–56; see also T. Hibino *et al.*, *Clays Clay Miner*. 43 (1995) 427–432.

Chemicals required: MgCl<sub>2</sub>, AlCl<sub>3</sub>, NaOH, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and ultrapure water (not necessarily degassed).

Conditions required: Constant temperature in the range 20-25 °C (if not specified otherwise).

1. Prepare aqueous solutions of MgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.7 M), AlCl<sub>3</sub> (0.3 M), NaOH (2 M) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.2 M) separately in ultrapure water. See table below for the compositions of the suspensions/solutions [calculated for x = 0.30 and  $CO_3^{2-}/Al^{3+} = 0.67$  ( $CO_3^{2-}/Al^{3+} = 0.50$  is required by stoichiometry)].

	Concentration (M)	Volume (ml)	Weight of solute (g)
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.7	100	6.6648
AlCl <sub>3</sub>	0.3	100	4.0002
NaOH	2.0	100	7.9994
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0.2	100	2.1198

- 2. Mix all solutions.
- 3. Make up the resulting suspension with ultrapure water to 450 ml and adjust the pH to  $10 \pm 0.2$  using NaOH solution.
- 4. Stir the suspension at 40-50 °C for 20 min.
- 5. Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times.
- 6. Immerse filter residue in  $0.1 \text{ M Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  solution (see table below for the composition of the solution), at a concentration of ~1 g of precipitate per 20 ml of solution, in a closed bottle for 7 days to remove residual Cl<sup>-</sup> in the precipitate through ion exchange; stir or shake bottle once per day.

	Concentration (M)	Volume (ml)	Weight of solute (g)
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	0.1	750	7.9492

- 7. Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times.
- 8. Dry filter residue at 80 °C for 20–24 hours in an Erlenmeyer flask with CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent (NaOH) on top.
- 9. Immerse dried filter residue in ~10 ml of ultrapure water per 1 g of precipitate.
- 10. Aging of the suspension in an Erlenmeyer flask with CO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent (NaOH) on top for 4 days at 90–95 °C.
- 11. Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times.

12. Dry filter residue in a desiccator above saturated LiCl solution (11 % RH) for 3 weeks.

If necessary for subsequent analyses/experiments, grind the material. Store the material in sealed containers until required for analyses/experiments.

### Mg-Al-OH LDH approx. meixnerite, Mg<sub>0.75</sub>Al<sub>0.25</sub>(OH)<sub>2.25</sub>·0.5H<sub>2</sub>O = 6MgO·Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>·13H<sub>2</sub>O actual stoichiometry depending on starting hydrotalcite

Ref.: X. Ke *et al.*, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 81 (2016) 24–37. [Regarding calcination of hydrotalcite and remaining carbonate, see T. Hibino *et al.*, *Clays Clay Miner.* 43 (1995) 427–432 and T. Stanimirova *et al.*, *Clay Miner.* 39 (2004) 177–191. Regarding stoichiometry of synthetic Mg-Ag-OH LDH, see G. Mascolo & O. Marino, *Mineral. Mag.* 43 (1980) 619–621]

Chemicals required: Hydrotalcite, NaOH and ultrapure water (not necessarily degassed).

<u>Conditions required</u>: Steps 4. and 6. need to be done in the <u>glove box</u> under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere. Constant temperature in the range 20–25 °C (if not specified otherwise).

- 1. Heat the required amount of hydrotalcite in a crucible at a heating rate of 5 °C/min to 500 °C and hold it at that temperature for 3 h. (Some carbonate may remain in the material, depending on Mg/Al ratio etc.)
- 2. Allow the calcined material to cool naturally in the furnace until a furnace temperature of ~150 °C is reached (make sure that *T* is always >105 °C).
- 3. Remove the crucible with the material from the furnace and immediately transfer it to a desiccator with dry silica gel; allow the material to cool to room temperature in the desiccator.
- 4. [glove box] Immerse the material in 1 M NaOH solution (see table below for the composition of the solution), at a concentration or ~1 g of solid per 10 ml of solution.

	Concentration (M)	Volume (ml)	Weight of solute (g)
NaOH	1.0	200	7.9994

- 5. Aging of the suspension in a sealed bottle for 20–24 hours.
- 6. [glove box] Vacuum filtration (using "Blauband" filter paper, *i.e.*, slow filter paper) and washing with ultrapure water at least five times.
  - a. Dry filter residue in a desiccator in a CO<sub>2</sub>-free atmosphere [Ar or N<sub>2</sub>] above saturated LiCl solution (11 % RH) for 3 weeks.

If necessary for subsequent analyses/experiments, grind the material [in a glove box under Ar or  $N_2$  atmosphere]. Store the material in sealed containers until required for analyses/experiments.