Supplementary Material for:

Evidence for liquid-liquid phase separation during the early stages of Mg-struvite formation

Stephanos Karafiludis^{*1,2}, Ernesto Scoppola³, Stephan E. Wolf⁴, Zdravko Kochovski⁵,

David Matzdorff⁵, Alexander E.S. Van Driessche⁶, Jörn Hövelmann⁷,

Franziska Emmerling^{1,2} and Tomasz M. Stawski^{*1}

- 1. Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM), Richard-Willstatter-Straße 11, 12489 Berlin, Germany;
- 2. Department of Chemistry, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Brook-Taylor-Straße 2, 12489 Berlin, Germany;
- 3. Biomaterials, Hierarchical Structure of Biological and Bio-inspired Materials, Max Planck Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, Potsdam 14476, Germany;
- 4. Friedrich-Alexander-Universität, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Martensstr. 5, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
- 5. Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin for Materials and Energy, Hahn-Meitner Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany
- 6. Instituto Andaluz de Ciencias de la Tierra (IACT), CSIC Universidad de Granada, Av. De las Palmeras 4, 18100 Armilla, Spain;
- 7. REMONDIS Production GmbH, Brunnenstraße 138, 44536, Lünen, Germany.

*Corresponding authors: tomasz.stawski@bam.de; stephanos.karafiludis@bam.de

Stephanos Karafiludis: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7257-6311 Ernesto Scoppola: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6390-052X Stephan E. Wolf https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3747-8097 Zdravko Kochovski: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8375-0365 David Matzdorff: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6117-3499 Alexander E.S. Van Driessche: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2528-3425 Jörn Hövelmann: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7384-0495 Franziska Emmerling: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8528-0301 Tomasz M. Stawski: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0881-5808

Keywords: struvite, phosphates, scattering, nucleation, amorphous phases, non-classical, liquid-liquid phase separation



Fig. S1. The time-resolved pH curve from the struvite precipitation reaction (Eq. 1 in the main text) combined with PHREEQC calculated equilibrated pH (grey dotted line) is displayed. Starting with a slightly basic solution of 0.1 M DAP (pH \approx 8.0), the pH drops quickly within a hundred seconds to 5.76 due to mixing with a slightly acidic 0.1 M MgCl₂ solution and the release of protons during precipitation. Theoretically calculated and experimentally determined pH values were in good agreement with each other.



Fig. S2. Cryo-TEM bright-field imaging from the reactant solution sampled after 5 s after mixing: (A) with an applied false-colour scale 'inferno' to emphasize potential electron density contrasts and (B) in grey-scale as a reference to avoid misinterpretations resulting from the colourations.



Fig. S3. Sketch of a flow-through-setup, which shows the general experimental configuration in our measurements: a main reactor (main cycle) attached with tubing to a borosilicate glass capillary, pH electrode with data logger and an auxiliary reactor (injection cycle); The beam can penetrate the filled capillary and measures the scattering signal on a 2D detector.

Supporting Note 1:

We derive how the OZ correlation length, ξ_{OZ} , from Eq. 2 in the main text, can be compared with an equivalent radius of gyration R_g , or a radius of an equivalent sphere. The Taylor expansion of the OZ normalised intensity expression is given by Eq. S1, following the same notation as in Eq. 2.

$$I(q,\xi_{OZ}) = \frac{1}{1+q^2\xi_{OZ}^2} \simeq 1 - q^2\xi_{OZ}^2 + q^4\xi_{OZ}^4 + \dots$$
 Eq. S1

Similarly, we calculate the Taylor expansion of the Guinier form factor, which is dependent on R_g (Eq. S2).

$$I(q, R_g) = exp(-\frac{q^2 R_g^2}{3}) \simeq 1 - \frac{q^2 R_g^2}{3} + \frac{q^4 R_g^4}{18} + \dots$$
 Eq. S2

By comparing the first terms of the expansions in Eqs. S1 and S2 we obtain Eq. S3.

$$\frac{q^2 R_g^2}{3} = q^2 \xi_{OZ}^2 \Rightarrow R_g = \sqrt{3} \xi_{OZ}$$

For a sphere of radius *R*, the relationship to its radius gyration is $R_g = \frac{3}{5}R$, and consequently, we obtain $R \approx 2.89\xi_{OZ}$.