Reprinted from the Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology Vol. 43, No.8, April, 1948

The hommedical Phychotherapist: A critique and a program

By Benjamin Brody and Alan L. Grey University of Chicago 69

... They involve such crucial issues as professional training.

legal regulations, fees, preparation for research, and,

above all, service and responsibility to the public. . . .

Psychotherapy and Psychiatry

Psychiatry has moved a long way from its historic view of mental disease as due to minimal changes in brain structure with accompanying reverberations in behavior and personality. Historically, there has been steady progress from a preoccupation with the genetic, physiological factors in etiology and therapy to an appreciation of the importance of psychological and environmental factors. Today, we regard both the abnormal and normal personality as the complex result of physiological, cultural, genetic, and emotional determinants; a comprehensive personality theory must integrate all these factors in a meaningful gestalt. Accordingly, the rational therapy of personality has largely shifted from being exclusively custodial, medicative, and brass instrument, to a dynamic consideration of environmental manipulation and motivation.

This Protean nature of psychotherapy has resulted in a unique set of circumstances whose implications we are only beginning to understand. In medicine, for example, there

medical training for payabetherapy. Many psychiatrists, turning toward the mental sciences, have become relatively isolated from egganic medicine as they realised the inquificiency of the organic approach toward understanding and helping people; on the other hand, medicine often seems to have regarded psychiatry as an upstart, threatening its most chemished mechanistic traditions. Research has suffered from an organic bias, lack of appreciation of cultural factors, and the use of an inappropriate bicological methodology in what is very largely a social science. . . . . Generally, it might be said that the tradition of healing as belonging to medicine relates more to the organic and the individual than to the psychological and cultural.

The contributions of "lay" workers, regardless of their professional alabels, are too well known to need extensive documentation. We might mention, however, Rogers, Towle, and Moore in therapy; Taft, Klein, and Anna Freud in the treatment of children; Rank, Sache, Reik, and Fromm in psychoanalysis; and Maier, Benedict, Mead, and Lewin in research and theory. . . . Thus, Freud has eaid (Freud, S. The problem of lay analysis. NY. Brentane's, 1927);

It may develop that in connection with problemalysis, people in need of treatment are not sick people, in the broad meaning of the term; laymen not always to be considered laymen, nor physicians what physicians are generally supposed to be.

Elsewhere, he remarks(Freud, S. An autobiographical se study. N.Y. Brentano's, 1927);

In fact, a physician who has not been through a special training is, in spite of his diploma, a layman in analysis, and a non-physician who has been suitably trained can, with occasional reference to a physician even earry out the analytic treatment of the neuroses.

regard society as an important factor in mental health.

Social insurance workers, adult educators, social hygienists, economists, the entire welfare and planning field
are undertaking psychotherapeutic objectives of fundamental
significance although distinctly outside the medical field.

The organisation of prisons, schools, housing projects, and
hospitals along broadly psychotherapeutic principles is
already an actuality, and one to which psychoclinicians can
be expected to contribute increasingly. It is not difficult
to visualize the time when application of personality science
will be as important to political science as is statistics.

(H.D.Lasswell, Psychopathology and Politics, Chicago;
University of Chicago Press, 1930)

penologists, such as Shaw, Lindner, and Glueck, have done pioneer clinical work with little psychiatric supervision in what is increasingly regarded as a vital therapeutic field. Criminologists and psychiatrists have much to gain from an exchange of skills and training. Industry realized the relationary

relation of mental health to productivity and plant morale shortly after World War I. With and without paychiatric assistance, nonmedical workers have made extremely important contributions. Commenting on the work of Roethlisberger and Dixon at the Mawthernden Plant of the Western Electric corporation, Dr. Thomas Rennie et al(Rennie, T.A.C. Swackhamer, G.A. Woodward, L.e.; Toward industrial mental health; as historical review. Ment. Hyg., 1947,31,68-88) of the Mational Committee for Mental Hygiene say;

The contribution of this group to mental hygiene has won the praise of psychiatrists who recommend their findings as required reading for personnel managers and for members of their own profession who are practicing in industry.

### Lay Analysis

psychoanalytical institutes ended the training of lay analysts. What Ferenczi (Ferenczi, S.: Report of instructional work in psychoanalysis in America in 1926-27. In.

M. Eitingon Ed., Bulletin of the internati. Psychoanalytical Association. Intl. J. Psycho-Anal., 1927, 8,558-559) called the exaggerated fears and suspicions of the medical profession seems to have been unique to this country; on the continent and in England candidates continue to be accepted on the basis of aptitude and personality for analytic work rather than facility in neurology and physical diagnosis. Perhaps it might be well to let acknowledged authorities speak for themselves. Freud seems to have seen important advantages

in non-medical people and accepted them as analysts from a very early period. His book, The Problem of Lay Analysis, is a classic statement of the psychoclinician's position and the handicaps under which the physician senses to psychoanalytic training.

The nonmedical analyst

is able to treat neurotic disturbances, and will . . . he able to achieve all that may be expected of this therapy . . . It does not matter whether the patient be analyzed by a physician or a layman. . . It is much more important for the patient that the anlyst possess those personal qualities which invite full confidence, and that he has that knowledge and experience which alone qualify him to apply psychoanalysis.

The problem of differential diagnosis is solved by a physician's screening of every patient for the possibility of organic disease.

As soon as the physician has ascertained this he may safely leave the treatment to the lay analyst. . Thanks to this close cooperation between the medically trained and non-trained memoers errors almost never occur.

This is essentially the same procedure followed by medical analysts.

There is a rule that even a medically trained analyst, running across such dubious symptoms in the course of the treatment, is not to depend on his own judgment, but to consult some colleague, preferably a specialist of insternal diseases.

## . . . . As Freud says,

Most of the subjects, however, belonging to medical training will not be of any use to him, and although all this knowledge is highly valuable for those who need it, it would not assist the analyst to understand a neurotic condition nor to alleviate it.

Similarly, Herman Hunberg (Hunberg, H. Discussion on Lay Analysis. \*nt. J. Psycho-Anal., 1227, 8, 247-248) am experienced medical analyst, saids

The modern physician, engrossed with an infinity of technical remedies at the sick bed, has no comprehension of the mental life of his patients. Similarly the medical psychoanalyst has little idea how to set about dealing with the organic troubles of patients. If a person with organic trouble wanders accidentally into my consulting room I fed myself embarrassed and seek to get rid of him as soon as pessible.

### The Glinical Team.

psychologist and social worker under the aegis of the psychiatrist by the itemization of specific duties for sack team member. In spite of widespread lip service to the team idea and semi-official status, it has been honored more in the breach than in the observance. The rigid examization of the psychiatric clinic into the team hierarchy has meant only avoidance of the real therapeutic issue by an apparently logical compartmentalization and division of labor. Practically, it has been abandoned simply because it has not worked. As early as 1939 Dr. Lawson Lowrey (Trends in Therapy. Amer.J.Orthopsychiat. 1939, 9, 669-792) for example, noted that the trend in therapy was for each member of the threefold team to utilize methods of the others.

- ... The American Journal of Orthopsychiatry (1944, 14, 752-753) says editorially for example:
- deny that the non-medical members . . . make expert

psychotherapiets. In fact it must be admitted that the usual formal training of the psychiatrist does <u>not equip</u> him to do psychotherapy.

### Social Work

... In terms of intensive supervision, varied contact with many types of people, and organisation of a professional durriculum, it can provide important lessons for psychiatry itself. Generally, it has progressed from an exclusive concern with environmental manipulation to inclusion of a direct therapeutic role. . . .

Social work's historical development is probably largely responsible for this state of affairs (confusion in job definition). Evolving from handmaidenship to the physician and analogous to nursing, it has been unable to gormulate either an independent professional role or its basis in an independent research area. Training consists a potpourri of material from other fields, budgets, administrative details, and the like, and eventually watered-down adaptations of psychiatric methods. Even experienced psychiatric social workers spend a great deal of time, in peripheral duties such as record keeping or the performance of other semiclerical work. . .

#### Clinical Psychology

. . . The Veterans Administration and United States Public Health Service have embarked on important programs financially

both diagnosis and therapy. Impressed by their abilities during the war, leaders in psychiatry and medicine have gained a new respect for the services of the psychologist. Psychemogists are escaping from the narrow confines of the psychemostric technician to recognition as full-fledged clinicians and therapists.

. Academic psychological departments are far behind the other clinical professions in the preparation of their. clinical students; at the moment some even seem to resent the progress of clinical payenclogy as unscientific or unduly remunerative. Too often clinical work has been a three-or four-course adjunct to the usual academic training in learning theory or statistical method; much of the course work itself has little or no relevancy to dealing with people. . . . There has been more worky on, say, maze behavior in rats than emotional problems in men. clinical experience and formul training and supervision in interpersonal relationships have hardly existed outside the mechanics of psychometric testing. . . . We must comclude that academic psychology characteristically has utilised careful scientific procedures for picayune problem. Clinical psychology must either reconstruct the present academic setup or build an entirely new one.

The Need For Psychotherapy
To these ideological-historical reasons for the

ereation of a nonmedical psycho-therapeutic profession we must add psychiatry's patent failure to meet the demand for therapeutic help. The shortage of psychiatric personnel on even a custodial, not to say therapeutic, level has been too well advertised in the Sunday supplements to need extensive documentation. Citing the United State Public Health Service figures, Dr. Allan Gregg (What is psychiatry Brit. Med.J., 1944, I, 550-553) of the Rockefeller Foundation touches on the magnitude of the problem when he mayer

One child in every 20 in the United States will at some time of his life be in hospital for mental disease, and another child in the same 20 will at some time be psychiatrically disabled but not hospitalized. There are probably 6,000,000 feeble-minded persons of all grades in the United States, 80-95% at large in the population, 100,000 alcoholics and drug addicts, between 800,000 and a million mentally diseased, and 400,000 epilepties.

and criminals, and the millions of discontented workers, unhappy husbands and wives, and confused young people who offer the best prognosis for therapy and yet have the poorest treatment resources. It is doubtful whether 2 per cent are actually receiving professional help of any kind. The State Charities Aid association (f. Clin.Pych. 1945, I, 163-165) of New York - a city with substanding therapeutic facilities - estimates that only 5 per cent of the veterans needing treatment were actually getting it.

Medicine itself can offer no more than a token solution. "The total membership of the American Psychiatric Association in 1945 is 3387 of which only 2919 are active

members and fellows. Her can we realistically anticipate an adequate it see in the number of psychiatrists. Dr. Lawrence Kubis (Elements in the medical curriculum which are essential in the training for psychotherapy. In Harrower, M. Training in Clinical Psychology. N.Y.; Josiah Ascy. Jr. Foundation, 1947, pp.46-54) makes the following appraisal of the situation:

We also know that the entire American organization for medical education, that is training both for general physicians and for specialists, turns out acout 75 psychiatrists a year, which is just about enough to take care of the annual retirement of psychiatrists from practice because of illness, old age, and death. Thus it is true that we are barely keeping up with ourselves. . . .

Dr. Thomas Rennie (National Planning for Psychiatric Education. Ment. Hyg. 1946, 30, 186-198) somewhat optimistically estimates that ideally 10,000 well trained paychiatrists can be prepared in the next decade. . . Hershey Conference on Psychiatric Rehabilitation and Neuroses. Report of the H.Conference on psychiatric rehab. N.Y. Natl. Com. for Mental Hygiene, 1945) points out that the need for psychological help is at least as great as the need for medical help. There are 185.000 physicians practicing in this country. Dismissing the fact that psychological treatment usually requires more time than organic, there is thus every indication that the need for psychotherapy is far beyond what 10,000 psychiatrist could provide. be foolhardy to estimate the exact requirements but there is no question that medicine itself cannot meet the psychetherapeutic demand.

. The Hershey Conference says,

While to qualify as a psychiatrist under the requirements of the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology man must spend at least three years in exposing himself psychiatric experience in any one of 102 approved hospitals. there is in fact, no standardized course of training and much of what passes for training is educationally inadequate

- . . Without a doubt the majority of peychiatrists are primarily quetodial doctors, administratos, and neurologists. Dr. Roy Grinker (Grinker, R.R.; Freud and Psychiatry. Address delivered at the University of Chicago, April 14, 1947) has estimated that there are actually only from 400 to 500 competent psychotherapists in the country; more optimistically Dr. Rennie estimates that of the 3000 psychiatrists in the armed forces "not over 1/3 can be considered competently trained ... \*
- . . The widespread belief that any physician can assume a psychotherapeutic load after a few weeks orientation seems to be based on a halo effect overestimation of the average doctor's preparation and a depreciation of the difficulties of the field itself. At the ners by Conference Dr. Rennie said in this connection:

You would be surprised how many doctors and even psychiatrists, feel that a social worker is a lady bountiful who carries around with her a basket of food for the poor; indeed they con't know what their local agencies have for them ... in the way of help... Let us bear in mind that the average doctor knows nothing about our highly technical language, that he is scared of it, that it means nothing to him, and that we must translate our technical terms into language that is literally everyday language in order to reach the average doctor.

. Psychotherapy cannot be practiced, ethically and professionally, via a short course any more than can surgery. • • • The public cannot be expected to wait indefinitely before turning to quacks. The future demands the new psycheclinical profession.

# A Psychoclinical Training Program

pertinent to the field: word association, hypnosis, frustration, the systematic study of therapy records, autobiographies, anthrepological observations, opinion polls and psychometric tests. It would involve the legrning of statistical and other techniques, insofar as they are appropriate to such data...

In the clinic the student should have opportunity to understand the normal as well as the abnormal. He needs to collow cases over a period of time and to get a thorough familiarity with their behavior in diagnosis, therapy, and outside the clinic. In addition, he could be impressed with the idea - which payence inclans have been known to forget - that patients are people and cannot be abused for the personal curiosity or satisfaction of the clinician.

competence calls for familiarity with both psychological and organic factos. . . Often it is forgotten that the argument is a two-edged sword. There is as much danger when a psychiatric by naive physician deals with psychopathological problems without supervision as when the psychoclinician is faced with an organic case. Ironically, the former is legally sanctiones.

whereas the latter has been used as a basis for discouraging the psychoclinician. As has been indicated, to keep him from professional practice as a therapist would mean forcing patients to go without qualified help.

- - medical psychology: and that those who held this doctorate would be in two groups: 1) those with an M.D. and 2) those without an M.D. but who had been through a partial medical curriculum in medical schools and teaching applicates to give them those elements of the medical curriculum which are essential for psychotner.

The issue today is now whether we are to have a psychoclimical profession. Nonmedical people are performing such
a function now, and will continue to do so in greater numbers
as the communical demand from them grows. The real issue is
whether to continue to recruit and train the psychoclinician in an outmoded fashion or to recognize the reality situation
and take steps to educ te genuinely professional people.